The following was sent to me by a friend. It was suggested that I examine it, answer the charges herein. I do not know David L. Perry, Th.D., but the Father does and while it is an honor, it is with no great pleasure that I will point out his lack of knowledge regarding the Creation Calendar. His theological degree and my lack of the same will more than likely prohibit Dr. Perry from seeing or accepting any correction from of me. If he can see the light and accept this rebuttal, it will prove that he is a mightier warrior for truth than most. Time will tell. I am just sowing seeds. The soil will prove itself.

For several years now, I have been answering these rebuttals to the Creation Calendar. To date, not a single one of them has scratched, much less dented the calendar established at creation or the lunar Sabbath. I was forewarned that this fellow is “very demeaning” at times. If so, (and I have not read a single word of his study yet) Father, please keep me humble and keep me from taking his word’s personally. Help me remember that David L. Perry is fighting against You, O Yah, not me.

This is dated 4-6-2013, and is an open letter of refutation to the conclusion offered by David L. Perry regarding the Sabbath of Creation. I will respond in blue and have not altered his text in any way shape or form. Here is the link. http://www.yahsspiritoftruth.com/index_files/Page775.htm. Any comments, additions or corrections from Bro. Perry will be duly noted. All inserted bracketed statements or underlining are my own, unless otherwise indicated. Watch for end notes # too.

Lunar Sabbath Decisively Refuted

Isa.58:1 “Cry aloud, spare not, lift up thy voice like a trumpet, and shew my people their transgression, and the house of Jacob their sins.”

Rom.1:20 “For the invisible things … are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, … so that they are without excuse:”

1st … And ALWAYS … On Every topic you could name or will ever face … If the foundation is faulty so is the structure (Mt.7:13-27); no matter how eloquent, academic, reasoned or grand. If an opulent yet sturdy ‘alternate code yet functional’ beach cliff estate falls to the shore it overlooks with the cliff it is on; it is still trash. Yah’s Torah is our foundation …Any theological doctrine or construct must agree with Torah ( Isa.8:20)…to rundown every Lunar Sabbath ‘rabbit trail’ is needless, tedious, futile and a huge waste of time. A much used tactic of HaSatan (Gen.3:1). Better in every way to inspect the foundational premise; quicker to do; easier to understand.

I agree with everything he wrote here until he got to what I have underlined above. Here he is making a negative statement (in the hope of persuading his readers) without having proved anything thus far. He HOPES to prove this correct, and I will let you, ladies and gentlemen of the jury decide whether he is successful. Here is a prediction based on answering MANY other rebuttals to the Creation Calendar. When you are done with this you will admit that the only needless, tedious, futile waste of time will have been Dr. Perry’s attack on the Creation Calendar. Attacking the truth is indeed a much used tactic by Satan. Speaking of the truth, here is how I determine what is truth…

1. In Scripture: If I find 2-3 witnesses, texts saying the same thing, (Genesis 41:32, Deuteronomy 19:15, II Corinthians 13:1) then it is truth that I must admit and comply with.
2. In Scripture: If I find a single text that says “thus saith YHVH”, (Deuteronomy 8:3b, Matthew 4:10, Luke 4:8), it is truth; I must admit it and obey His command, whether there are 2-3 witnesses or not.

3. In Scripture: If I find a chain of texts where there is an unfolding of truth, one expounding upon the other, (Isaiah 28:9-10), this reveals truth that I am responsible for.

4. If it is in the law [Torah] or the prophets, it is true, Isaiah 8:20. If something outside of Torah and the prophets agrees with the Torah and the prophets, neither adding nor diminishing aught (Deuteronomy 4:2), it is the straight truth. The standard listed in Isaiah and Deuteronomy (above) was given to trump Test 1 IF the 2-3 witnesses are false witnesses (speaking not according to the Torah and the prophets).

5. A doctrine or accepted belief that lacks any of the above 4 tests means that it is NOT true. YHVH says that He will do NOTHING unless He reveals His secret to His servants the prophets, Amos 3:7. If the prophets are silent, then it did not come from YHVH. If there is no “thus saith YHVH, no 2-3 witnesses, no chain of inter-textual evidence and thus no support found in the Torah and the prophets, then it is my privilege to admit it and tell others that it has no foundation in truth.

6. Any historical evidence that agrees with Scripture (e.g. the Torah and the prophets) is acceptable as supportive evidence to the truth. Any historical record that disagrees with Scripture, failing the first 4 tests above, is a lie in the highest order of magnitude.

7. If someone makes a statement, it does not matter if a thousand witnesses (accusers, followers, scholars, etc.) come later saying that he did NOT say such or did not MEAN such and such; the spoken word of the person in question (i.e., Almighty Yah, the prophets, etc.) takes precedence over that of all his defenders OR detractors.

2nd …The Term ‘Lunar Sabbath’ is by and large an ‘oxy-moron’ … Biblically; all days are governed by the rotation around the Sun, hence all days are ‘solar’ days (including the 7th day Sabbath) and that grouping of days we call a ‘week’, hence are ‘solar weeks’ and can not be divided intelligently from that fact (Jer.33:20). Further; the contrived quote-unquote ‘lunar’ fiction calendar of these ‘Lunarists’ is not the ‘lunar’ calendar of the Bible, Torah, Scripture, Old or New Testament, Talmud, etc. of the ancient, period or modern Israelites.

Here are some examples of oxymorons: jumbo shrimp, a new classic, alone together, boneless ribs, Dodge Ram, fire water, gourmet pizza, half full, legally drunk, pretty ugly, sad smile, virgin birth, military intelligence, etc.

The idea is that two words used together are humorous opposites. Is the two word phrase “Lunar Sabbath” a humorous opposite in terminology? We shall see.

The earth does not rotate around the sun; the earth does not move. Here are three witnesses (Test 1)

Psalm 93:1 - YHVH reigneth, He is clothed with majesty; YHVH is clothed with strength, wherewith He hath girded Himself: the world also is stablished, that it cannot be moved.

Psalm 96:10 - Say among the heathen that YHVH reigneth: the world also shall be established that it shall not be moved: He shall judge the people righteously.
I Chronicles 16:29-30 - Give unto YHVH the glory due unto His name: bring an offering, and come before Him: worship YHVH in the beauty of holiness. Fear before Him, all the earth: the world also shall be stable, that it be not moved.

The sun circles the earth, not the other way around. Please see also Genesis 19:23 and Judges 9:33, et al, which proves the sun rises over the earth. The NT says the same, Matthew 5:45, Mark 16:2, James 1:11, et al. Well, what goes up must come down. Please see Genesis 15:12, 28:11, Psalm 50:1, 113:3 and Malachi 1:11, et al. The NT agrees: Luke 4:40, Ephesians 4:26, et al. Here I have applied Test 1 and 2. David says it best…

Psalm 19:4-6 - Their line is gone out through all the earth, and their words to the end of the world. In them hath He [YHVH] set a tabernacle for the sun, Which [the sun] is as a bridegroom coming out of his chamber, and rejoiceth as a strong man to run a race. His [the sun’s] going forth is from the end of the heaven, and his [the sun’s] circuit unto the ends of it: and there is nothing hid from the heat thereof.

David was a Hebrew; he had attended and been in a Hebrew wedding. Immediately before a wedding, the bridegroom stepped into a chariot or cart and was driven around the city or village. A herald ran before the bridegroom announcing at the top of his lungs that the feast was made ready and to fall in line for the wedding party. They made a circuit around the city then returned to the home of the groom’s father for the wedding. Granted, a race can be run in a straight line, but in context, this race seems to be in a circle, where the starting point is also the finish line.

King David says that the SUN (not the earth) is like the bridegroom, like a man running a race. If king David had said that the earth makes a circuit around the sun, he would have been duplicitous at best, a false teacher or false prophet with no light in him (Isaiah 8:20) at worst. After all, he also wrote that the earth cannot be moved. Neither you nor he can have it both ways. Ecclesiastes 1:6 further explains the word circuit. The wind goes south then north, completing its circuit. Now please note the following two witnesses (Test 1):

Joshua 10:12-13 - Then spake Joshua to YHVH in the day when YHVH delivered up the Amorites before the children of Israel, and he said in the sight of Israel, Sun, stand thou still upon Gibeon; and thou, Moon, in the valley of Ajalon. And the sun stood still, and the moon stayed, until the people had avenged themselves upon their enemies. Is not this written in the book of Jasher? So the sun stood still in the midst of heaven, and hasted not to go down about a whole day.

Habakkuk 3:11 - The sun and moon stood still in their habitation...

Only something that was previously moving can be said to have stood still.

Above, Dr. Perry wrote: hence all days are ‘solar’ days (including the 7th day Sabbath) and that grouping of days we call a ‘week’, hence are ‘solar weeks’ and can not be divided intelligently from that fact (Jer.33:20). [This is a perfect example of circular reasoning.]

Jer.33:20 “Thus saith YHWH; If ye can break my covenant of the day, and my covenant of the night, and that there should not be day and night in their season;” (Rom.1:20)
**Jeremiah 33:20** speaks of Yah’s covenant with the day and night. It says nothing about His covenant with the week, which Dr. Perry seems to be implying when he says “solar weeks”. There are no solar weeks. In fact, the current calendar (which is said to be solar) which Dr. Perry seems to be defending contains not a single solar component.

- The days in Scripture are not from midnight to midnight. This too is an Egyptian invention. Is the sun out at midnight to tell us that a new day has begun? No. So much for a solar day.

- The Gregorian calendar weeks are not linked to the sun either. If the Gregorian calendar did not exist, could you go outside, look at the sun and tell whether it is a Sabbath or saturday? No. So much for a solar week.

- The months in Scripture are lunar. In fact the English word “month” is from an older time when it was pronounced “moomth”. Are the Gregorian months linked to any lunar OR solar cycle? NO. So much for a solar month.

- The year in Scripture is from Spring to Spring (Abib to Abib actually, Abib meaning green ears, referring to the barley harvested that month). The Gregorian year begins on January 1, in the dead of winter. Is there any significant solar event to announce Jan 1? NO! So much for a solar year.

You wouldn’t even see a moon without the Sun. The moon itself is totally dependant reflecting the light of the Sun. The Moon rotates around the Earth which itself rotates around; both rotating in front of the Sun’s fixed position. It is the Sun and/or the lack of it that governs both the cycle of day and night, the ‘moon’ can not govern either one of these issues. [The moon does not regulate the day. The sun regulates the day; the moon shows what day it is.] It is blatantly apparent by the dark moon, that if we had no moon at all we would still have day and night. ‘Season’ is the only place in this verse where the ‘moon’ could possibly come in play; but even then it cannot be to the exclusion of the Sun as the sentence structure emphatically identifies. [Indeed, season, Hebrew word mo’edim, meaning set feasts or time appointed, is regulated by the moon.]

The earth does not rotate around the sun. Scripture says the earth does not move. See evidence above on pp 2-3.

3rd … Lunarists are leaning very hard to ‘moon worship’ … giving enormous weight and ‘worth’ to the cycles of the moon; enough to vandalize and violate the Torah text that all but ignore the cycles and ‘worth’ of the Sun. Lunarists seem to be academically agile enough to avoid even reassign obvious value-worth details of the truth. Enough to abandon everything normative of the Sabbath and Feastdays they themselves once held to (Prv.10:8, Jude1:3) and are more than willing to split hairs over definitions of specific words and verses to do it.

Me thinks the pot calleth the kettle black. If I worship the moon because I look at it to tell me when the Creation Sabbath is, then David Perry must worship the sun because he counts seven days (which are ruled by the sun) and calls the one dedicated to Saturn the Sabbath, thus he not only worships the sun, but Saturn as well. If his logic against lunar Sabbath keepers is correct, then his logic must also be applied to his own calendar observation. If it sticks, then the accusation slung becomes a fact.
Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, do you worship your watch because you look at it to tell the time? If not, then lunar Sabbatarians do not worship the moon. The sun and moon are the hour and minute hand of the Father’s celestial clock. And just like the hands of the clock on the wall or your wrist have patterns that denote different times, so do the sun and moon.

We do not devalue the sun; the sun plays a vital role in the Creation Calendar. An hour hand without the minute hand (or vice versa) would be rather worthless as a timepiece. And without even trying, I just described David Perry’s clock, worthless, as he ignores the moon in spite of Genesis 1:14.

His third point is more accusation than anything else. He gave us a backhanded compliment (that we are academically agile), but says that we use this ability to avoid or re-assign what we used to believe was true about the Sabbath (a simple way to say what he said underlined above). If what we once held as truth does not hold up under close scrutiny, what are we supposed to do with our academic agility? Cling to a half truth or error? Come now, let us reason together. The point is, we are more intelligent than he gives us credit or, so his accusation did not stick. No harm, no foul. If he wishes to willfully remain in darkness, that’s on him. Though I’m not sure why he feels qualified to assess the lunar calendar as he does not seem to know that much about it.

These Lunar Sabbath groups are a fast rising invasive new phenomenon not easily swayed by the details of the truth; [Is it not the details of the truth that makes something true?] dividing friend, family and congregation … like no other force outside the Messianic circle … be it atheists, Sunday keepers, Sabbath only keepers, Orthodox Jewry etc., or forces infighting within the Messianic circle, are particularly caustic in a very uniquely potent brand of logic. [Wow, the fact that the truth is divisive seems to be a new concept to David Perry. Shocking actually. Someone PLEASE read Matthew 10:34-36 and Hebrews 4:12 (Test 1) in Bro. Perry’s presence as he does not seem to remember these verses and will probably deny their existence. If so, I’d like someone to be able to bare testimony.] They act like Torah Sabbath/Feast Keepers, saying and using most of the same terms and phrases … but these terms and phrases they use though sounding the same do not define the same observance. For instance; Sabbath for them no longer means the 7th day of the Solar Genesis week; what we know as the modern ‘Saturday’ or more accurately Friday sundown to Saturday sundown. However this Lunar understanding would track with what is normal approximately 7 to 10 cycles or so; roughly every 10th month or so their week would coincide with everyone else’s being Sun thru Sat. i.e. Day 1 thru 7.

Let me give you a few more “for instances”. When we say week, we do not mean the pagan/papal seven-day planetary week, we mean the week described in Genesis 1-2, which is NOT a solar week.

When we say month, we do not mean the pagan zodiacal months. We mean the lunar months (new moon to new moon) found in Scripture. Leviticus 23, et al.

When we say year, we do not mean the pagan/papal Roman year from jan 1 to jan 1. We mean the lunar-solar year described in Scripture where the first month begins on the new moon nearest the spring equinox. The second witness is the barley. Look up—see the correct moon (Exodus 12:2), look down and the barley will be ready to harvest in 2 weeks for wavesheaf (Leviticus 23:5-11).

When we say day, we do not mean the Babylonian day (from sunset to sunset') or the Roman day (midnight to midnight). We mean the day defined in Genesis 1:3-5 and John 11:9; the light Elohim called day and the darkness He called Night. There is nothing “accurate” about sundown friday to sundown saturday. This is pagan Babylonian timekeeping that was later adopted by the Jews (who are Edomites, not Israelites).
The solar only calendar is a man-made invention, and I agree, it tracks time VERY well. But it is not the calendar in Genesis 1:14. And I would argue that the Gregorian calendar—which is known as a solar calendar—is not even that. The entire thing is a contrived, convoluted mess. The days in Scripture are not sunset to sunset, they are 12 hours long (from dawn to dusk—Genesis 1:4-5, John 11:9, Test 1). The sun is not out at midnight either. So much for a solar day. (My apologies for the repeat from p. 4)

The weeks in Scripture are not every seven days in succession they are from one quarter phase of the moon to the next. The Gregorian calendar weeks are not linked to the sun either. The sun is not on a 7 day cycle. Cycles have to be hinged to something stationary or a revolution that has a distinct starting point so one will know where they ARE in the cycle. Can you go outside, look at the sun and tell me whether it is a Sabbath or satyrday? Nope. So much for a solar week.

The months in Scripture are lunar (one new moon to the next). In fact the English word month is from an older time when this was a recognized fact. The word month comes from moomth, leaving a lunar legacy on a modern segment of time that is completely divorced from its lunar origin. Are the Gregorian months linked to any solar cycle? Nope. There is no 28 (29), 30 or 31 day solar cycle. So much for a solar month.

The years in Scripture are from Spring to Spring (Abib to Abib actually). Sure, the Spring Equinox is the sign in the cosmos that spring has begun and this is a solar measurement, but in Scripture, the new moon of the first lunar month was also in the equation, so the year in Scripture is a lunar-solar event. The Gregorian year begins January 1, in the dead of winter. Is there any significant solar event to announce Jan 1? Nope. So much for a solar year.

The Gregorian calendar is a fraud. It is a complete lying, fraud, claiming to be a solar calendar when in reality it is based solely upon the vain machinations of carnal men. The adjectives used to describe this calendar can also be used to describe the pious men who promote it as the Scriptural calendar.

The last line of the above paragraph needs to be addressed, and I’m certain you’ve forgotten what it says by this time: However this Lunar understanding would track with what is normal approximately 7 to 10 cycles or so; roughly every 10th month or so their week would coincide with everyone else’s being Sun thru Sat. i.e. Day 1 thru 7.

David Perry acts as if the Gregorian calendar (circa 1582) is the one spoken of in Genesis 1:14-17, when it is only a little over 430 years old. He acts as if we are committing a crime because we studied the Scriptures daily and discovered that the Gregorian calendar is not the calendar of Scripture. Perry acts as if we should care that our week is different from the pagan/papal seven-day planetary week he and the world observes, which causes our seventh day Sabbath to line up with satyrday approximately 2 months out of the year.

Bro. Perry, we DON’T care. We are a peculiar people; we are only sojourners here and while we can’t help being IN the world, we have chosen to not be OF the world. Lead, follow or get out of the way.

They (the Lunarists) would have little to no hope to foist this sophistry outside of the parameter of Messianic Torah Feast Keepers; hence their target group … similar to cancer rebelling against its own body. Gen.1 accounts for all days of the 1st week. They (the Lunarists) say that the Gen.2:3 ‘7th day’ is that of the week but is not the 7th day/date but the 8th day i.e. ‘date’ (the 8th) of this first Genesis month; starting with a non-count ‘0/void’ day? No doubt they sincerely against the text think this is so.
They even go so far as to deny a ‘week’ cycle being in the Bible at all, only recognizing ‘days’ (actually ‘dates’) of a/the month. Ask yourself. Who’s lying? Who’s making a false presentation of the facts; The Sovereign Eternal YHWH? or the Lunarists?

Who’s lying? David Perry is lying (Proverbs 18:13). Lunar Sabbath keepers have never denied the week. Every week in Scripture is 7 days long. Bro. Perry has now become an accuser of the brethren.

David Perry is severely misinformed. We have targeted no group. One has to believe that since he seems to identify himself with the Messianic Torah Feast Keepers, that he feels threatened by the Lunar Sabbath movement. If you visit one of the many Seventh-day Adventist study forums, they think we are targeting SDA’s. We are not targeting anyone. We are truth seekers and the truth seems to resonate with some, not others. Many Sabbath keepers later become feast keepers because they discover that the Sabbath and feasts are inextricably intertwined. Many of these new feast keepers later study the new moon and find out that it is a third category of day, and not counted against the week. And some of these folks go on to understand that this proves that saturday of the pagan/papal calendar is NOT the Sabbath of Scripture.

Don’t fool yourself, Bro. Perry. We are not after Messianic Torah Feast Keepers. Truth seekers are after the truth. They find us.

And you are quite correct, Genesis 1 does account for all the days of the first week. It all accounts for the segment of time that comes before the first week of any and every month since creation. It is called a new moon day. Creation week was the first week of the first month of the first year of earth’s history. Every month SINCE creation began with a new moon day, so what do you suppose the FIRST month of earth’s history began with?

You’ll find this new moon listed in Genesis 1:1-2. You see, light was NOT the first thing created during Creation. Time on earth began in Genesis 1:1, not 1:3, and this was a time consuming event. Please note that this nameless segment of time is NOT called a day of the week, nor numbered in the same. And the ONLY segment of time that can possibly come BEFORE the first week of a lunar month is new moon day. So, yeah, the first Sabbath of the month is the seventh day of the week, but it is the EIGHTH day of the month. Looks like this…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Moon Days</th>
<th>First Week Day</th>
<th>Second Week Day</th>
<th>Third Week Day</th>
<th>Fourth Week Day</th>
<th>Fifth Week Day</th>
<th>Sixth Week Day</th>
<th>Seventh Week Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New moon is not day zero or a non-day, it is just a day that does not count against the week. And interestingly enough, every weekly Sabbath in Scripture that can be date identified falls on the 8th, 15th, 22nd, or 29th days of the month, in both the Old and New Testaments. How do you suppose THAT happened, Bro. Perry?
Satan seeks to deceive the whole world … what part of whole are you not part of? 1st and foremost Satan wants to sever us; any of us from Yah’s Covenant and the Sabbath bond-sign to His people. [And Satan wants to deceive you into thinking you are serving YHVH when you are actually serving him. See below. David Perry is working diligently to make sure you never learn this.] They (the Lunarists) have allowed this to happen as much as any Sunday keeper with no hope but to accept their same reward … and this they seek to exact on others … other Messianic/Torah Observant … you!

Whose benefit would this be to? The enemy has got them (the Lunarists) thinking that they and their groups are the ones with this ‘special Lunar knowledge’, discovered gems and pearls of forgotten wisdom … a matrix of ‘fool’s gold’ that only makes sense to them. They reassign titles and values to agree with their skewed view. They (the Lunarists) will tell you; it’s ‘so simple’. Most things are ‘simple’ when you abandon the normal parameters i.e. thieves don’t have to work ‘so simple’, bums don’t have to do anything ‘so simple’, mooches don’t have to pay ‘so simple’, psychos don’t have to face reality ‘so simple’, religionists just disregard or reassign the texts that don’t agree ‘so simple’, etc.

Bro. Perry, you do err, not knowing the Scripture or the power of YHVH. See Proverbs 18:13.

Yes, Israel worshiped on Satyrday. But you have borne the tabernacle of your moloch [king] and Chiun your images, the star of your god which you made for yourselves. Amos 5:26. Chiun is the Chaldean word for Saturn. But that does not mean their worship was acceptable to YHVH. In this verse Amos sees a day where Israel worships a star-god that they would make for themselves which means that Israel would have been in apostasy at that time.

Stephen, in Acts 7:43 quotes Amos and is translated as Remphan, which is Greek for Saturn (Test 1). Satyrday is ONLY a day found on the pagan seven day planetary week. Satyrday was the first day (not the seventh) of this pagan calendar week from at LEAST 800 B.C. and perhaps further back. The Father’s calendar is found in the sun and moon (the two great lights), not in the planets.

Why am I spelling it satyrday instead of saturn’s day? Because saturn and the satyr are both linked to the same false system of worship.

I’m applying Test 3 from here to the calendar on the next page. Do this word study with your concordance. Isaiah 13:21 says… But wild beasts of the desert shall lie there; and their houses shall be full of doleful creatures; and owls shall dwell there, and satyr shall dance there.

The wild beasts of the desert shall also meet with the wild beasts of the island, and the satyr shall cry to his fellow; the screech owl also shall rest there, and find for herself a place of rest. Isaiah 34:14

In both cases, the Hebrew word here translated as satyr is Strong’s Hebrew #8163.

It would seem that the Father stopped Israel’s satyrday observance early on. Speaking to Israel after their deliverance from Egypt, YHVH said… And they shall no more offer their sacrifices unto devils, after whom they have gone a whoring. This shall be a statute for ever unto them throughout their generations. Leviticus 17:7. Notice the word for devils (below).

And they shall no 3808 more 5750 offer 2076 (853) their sacrifices 2077 unto devils, 8163 after 310 whom 834 they 1992 have gone a whoring. 2181 This 2063 shall be 1961 a statute 2708 forever 5769 unto them throughout their generations. 1755
Same Hebrew word, H 8163, Satyrs.

Jeroboam and his sons were later cursed for leading Israel BACK into idolatry. **II Chronicles 11:15** says... *And he [Jeroboam] ordained 5975 him priests 3548 for the high places, 1116 and for the devils, 8163 and for the calves 5695 which 834 he had made.* 6213

Same Hebrew word, H 8163, Satyrs.

Jeroboam brought back what YHVH had removed from Israel in **Leviticus 17**. And nearly every time Jeroboam’s name is mentioned thereafter it is either prefaced or followed by the statement, *who has made my people Israel to sin.* Amos reminded Israel of their saturday idolatry, Stephen repeated it and it got him killed.

Some people are touchy about their idolatry. I hope you are willing to examine why the Father calls saturday worship idolatry. Saturday was not the 7th day of the week until 321 A.D. when Rome adopted the pagan 7 day planetary week. Saturday was the first day of this 7 day week for its entire history (during the time Scripture was being written), and not promoted (demoted actually) until Constantine venerated the day of the sun, moving saturday from the first to the last day of the week.

Here is a pencil sketch from a first century clay tablet calendar. Across the top, the days are inscribed as follows:

satur’ day, sun’s day, moon’s day, mars’ day, mercury’s day, jupiter’s day, venus’ day.

As the True Israel of Yah faded from the scene, scattered to the 4 corners of the earth, the Jews became more prominent. Let me share with you why I believe it is a good idea to examine the foundation of the day that most Sabbitarians call “Sabbath”. Watch for the **bold blue font** below because at least one particular scholar DOES believe that saturday worship is linked to saturn worship (and worse), and he proves it VERY convincingly.

Ever heard of a dual counterfeit? Only the enemies of YHVH would accept an obvious counterfeit, but for the more elect, the Adversary has a not-so-obvious counterfeit.

*How long halt ye between two opinions? if the LORD be Almighty, follow Him: but if Ba’al, then follow him. And the people answered him not a word.* 1 Kings 18:21.

Ba’al is the obvious fraud, but what about “the LORD”? Every time you read LORD or GOD in all capital letters in Scripture, the underlying Hebrew is YHVH, the Creator’s Name. Ba’al means “Lord” in Hebrew. In Hebrew there is no deception, His Name is YHVH, (which is how the Hebrew reads) but in English there is no right answer from which to choose, both mean Lord. YHVH tells us to stop calling Him “my Lord” (Hosea 2:16-Baali means “my Lord”). Friends, what we have here is a dual counterfeit; one is obvious, the other not-so-obvious.
Which day do YOU keep holy?

- Sunday, the current first day of the pagan Roman/papal calendar
- Saturday, the current seventh day of the pagan Roman/papal calendar.

I say “current” because these days were not always the first and seventh days of the week, respectively.

As a side note, I find it interesting that we pronounce the “n” in woden’s day (wednesday), but we don’t for “saturn’s day” (saturday). Have you ever heard of a satyr? The cloven footed half-man, half-goat—the universal symbol of Satan himself?

Sunday is the obvious fraud. Only the enemies of YHVH would observe Sunday, in honor of the pagan sun-god. But every Satyrday, of every week, of every year, Sabbatarians everywhere, in spite of themselves, worship on Satyrday — a day named after Satan himself.

Very cleverly, the Adversary receives his worship every week, all year long, by sincere folks who believe they are following YHVH. Friends, what we have here is a dual counterfeit; one is obvious, the other not-so-obvious. Even if Saturday really is named after Saturn, don’t think we are going to get off the hook so easily. Saturn worship is the oldest form of Satanism and is clearly and historically linked to Satyr, the horned goat. The Adversary does not care how you spell his many different names, or under which one you worship, as long as you do not worship YHVH. Please feel free to connect the dots…

Satan (or Pan) is also called the “Horned God”

Pan a lusty satyr, half-man, half-goat, was given to every form of licentiousness and debauchery.

Kronos, the father of the gods……for a certain wicked deed, was called Titan, and cast down to hell. Kronos is none other than Satan himself. Titan, or Teitan, as it is sometimes spelled, is the Chaldee form of the Hebrew word Sheitan (Satan), the common name for the Adversary.

In the region where the Chaldean Mysteries were originally concocted,—that Adversary who was ultimately the real father of all the pagan gods,—and who (to make the title of Kronos (Saturn), “the Horned One,” appropriate to him also) was symbolized by the Kerastes, the Horned serpent.

Horned goat, horned serpent, father of the gods….hmm. Kronos claims to be in charge if TIME! Kronos is where we get our word Chronology, the study of time. Kronos, said another way, is also known as Father Time. Cue Scriptural support: Daniel 7:25, see also Isaiah 14…

For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of Elohim:
I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the Most High.  **Isaiah 14:13-14**

The word *congregation* here is the Hebrew word Mo’edim, which means “appointed times”. A mountain in Scripture is a controlling power or authoritarian presence. The prophecy above is proclaiming that someone will claim to sit on the mount of YHVH’s appointed times. He is saying that he will control the calendar, and the appointed times. And indeed he does, but only in the hearts and minds of his followers, having no control of YHVH’s calendar appointed times.

**Now, the name Saturn in Chaldee is pronounced Satur; but, as every Chaldee scholar knows, consists only of four letters, thus — S T U R. This name contains exactly the Apocalyptic number 666…**

| ᵃ | S = 60 |
| ᴴ | T = 400 |
| ṫ | U = 6 |
| ṭ | R = 200 |

666

The information in the **bold blue font** on the last two pages was gleaned from Alexander Hislop’s classic, *The Two Babylons.* I’ve applied Test 6 from the beginning of page 10 to here.

Truth is a two edged sword. If we are going to condemn “sunday” observance as worshipping on the “venerable day of the sun,” satyrists need to look in the mirror. Is worshipping on a day named after Satan any better? Satyrday bears the name of our enemy.

**Fact:** A counterfeit has to look a great deal like the original. Sunday cannot rightly be called a Sabbath (spurious or otherwise) as it is not the 7th day of anything. Sunday does not “look” like a Sabbath, so it is an obvious fraud. Satyrday, however, looks a lot like the 7th day of the week, just the wrong week, so satyrday observance is the other half of a very clever dual counterfeit.

“These imported [from Babylon] superstitions eventually led Jewish rabbis to call Saturn Shabbiti, ‘the star of the Sabbath,’ [and]...it was not until the first century of our era, when the planetary week had become an established institution, that the Jewish Sabbath seems always to have corresponded to Saturn’s Day [Satyrday].”  *Hutton Webster* in his book, *Rest Days,* p. 244.  Test 6.

Truth be told, the “Jews” (not the Israelites) purposely adopted Saturn as the symbol of their sabbath. Truth be told, the star of David IS the occult symbol for Saturn, which predates King David by hundreds of years. (See links below for further evidence.) Truth be told, Bro. Perry, you don’t know the truth about the day you call Sabbath and you don’t know a thing about lunar Sabbath keeping or those who keep them.

www.henrymakow.com/the-star-of-david.html

www.moresureword.com/starof.htm

Now, Bro. Perry. Who is deceiving whom? It is better to do your homework before you make a comment, wouldn’t you agree? See Proverbs 18:13.

They want you to know the ‘worth-ship’ of the Moon to the exclusion and meaning worth of the Sun (Gen.1:14). If this assessment is correct and/or mostly correct; the Bible clearly condemns this. Satan can and is clearly using this on several fronts to attack; trying to cause you to not do what you are to do while causing you to do what you are to not do; using this on several fronts on the ones witnessing this fiasco; from the churched to the un-churched from among the believers to the atheists. This includes their own families and that of others.

Isa.40:21 “Have ye not known? have ye not heard? hath it not been told you from the beginning? have ye not understood from the foundations of the earth?” (Rom.1:20)

I have no idea what Bro. Perry is trying to convey above. There is a lot of mumbo jumbo in his manner of writing. I think he is trying to say that we put the moon before the sun in importance, which is not true. Genesis 1:16 lists the sun first (the greater light to rule the day). It goes without saying that without the sun, there would be no moonlight, so for him to say that we either ignore the sun or place the worth of the moon above the sun is the ignorance of David Perry showing through.

By Scripture the cycle of the week is 7 days there is no other; there are no punctuating non-count days … ever! [Prove it! He won’t because he can’t. All he can do is SAY that the weeks are not interrupted by new moon days because Scripture says otherwise. He has no evidence, Test 5.] The ancients did not follow the error of the ‘Lunarists’, nor did they abandon it only to lament at any point to return to the error of the ‘Lunarists’. The moderns (except Lunarists) do not follow nor have ever followed this error for a very good reason; very simply the cycle of a 7 day successive week has never changed. The whole point of being deceived is you don’t perceive it. Many times by their own words it is clear that at one point they did. They have been sold a bill of goods, a lie that many of them now defend and present to others to their mutual peril … and at that not very well … especially when the fundamentals are studied. Regretfully; ask them how they would calculate the 7 Sabbaths to ‘Shavuot’/’Pentecost’, and watch the inventive maneuvers.

So, ancient Israel did not observe the lunar Sabbaths, huh? Watch what Test 6 does here…

“The New Moon is still, and the Sabbath originally was, dependent upon the lunar cycle.” Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, p. 410. No quote can be clearer than this.

“…each lunar month was divided into four parts, corresponding to the four phases of the moon. The first week of each month began with the new moon so that, as the lunar month was one or two days more than four periods of seven days, these additional days were not reckoned at all.” Universal Jewish Encyclopedia, Vol. 10, p. 482. Article “Week.”

“…the Hebrew Sabbathon … was celebrated at intervals of seven days, corresponding with changes in the moon’s phases…” Encyclopedia Biblica, 1899. p. 4180

“In the time of the earliest prophets, the New Moon stood in the same line with another lunar observance, the Sabbath. Ezekiel, who curiously enough frequently dates his prophecies on the New Moon … describes the gate of the inner court of the (new) temple looking eastward as kept shut for the six working days, but opened on the Sabbath and the New Moon.” Scribner’s Dictionary of the Bible (1898 edit.), p. 521
“The Hebrew month is a lunar month and the quarter of this period—one phase of the moon—appears to have determined the week of seven days.” Encyclopedia Biblica, p. 4780. And why have we never been taught any of this???

“...The [early] Hebrews employed lunar seven-day weeks...which ended with special observances on the seventh day but none the less were tied to the moon’s course.” Hutton Webster, in his book, Rest Days, page 254.

In the article Shawui Calendar: Ancient Shawui Observance, we find confirmation of a radical change in YHVH’s calendar. “The [lunar]...calendar was used by all the original disciples of Yeshua... This original Nazarene lunar-solar calendar was supplanted by a Roman ‘planetary week’ and calendar in 135 C.E. -- when the ‘Bishops of the Circumcision’ (i.e. legitimate Nazarene successors to Yeshua) were displaced from Jerusalem. This began a three hundred year controversy concerning the true calendar and correct Sabbath.”

“This intimate connection between the week and the month was soon dissolved. It is certain that the week soon followed a development of its own, and it became the custom -- without paying any regard to the days of the month (i.e. the lunar month) -- ...so that the New Moon no longer coincided with the first day [of the month ].” Encyclopedia Biblica, (1899 edit.), p. 5290.

“... the custom of celebrating the Sabbath every 7th day, irrespective of the relationship of the day to the moon’s phases, led to a complete separation from the ancient view of the Sabbath...” Encyclopedia Biblica, (1899 edit.), p. 4179.

“The calendar was originally fixed by observation, and ultimately by calculation. Up to the fall of the Temple (A.D. 70), witnesses who saw the new moon came forward and were strictly examined and if their evidence was accepted the month was fixed by the priests. Eventually the authority passed to the Sanhedrin and ultimately to the Patriarch. ... Gradually observation gave place to calculation. The right to determine the calendar was reserved to the Patriarchate; the Jews of Mesopotamia [Ed.—this is the land of Babylon] tried in vain to establish their own calendar but the prerogative of Palestine was zealously defended. So long as Palestine remained a religious centre, it was naturally to the homeland that the Diaspora looked for its calendar. Uniformity was essential, for if different parts had celebrated feasts on different days confusion would have ensued. It was not until the 4th century A.D. that Babylon fixed the calendar...” Encyclopedia Britannica: Vol. 4, article “Calendar”.

“With the development of the importance of the Sabbath as a day of consecration and the emphasis laid upon the significant number seven, the week became more and more divorced from its lunar connection...” Universal Jewish Encyclopedia: Vol. 10, 1943 edit. Article, “Week,” p. 482.

“The four quarters of the moon supply an obvious division of the month...it is most significant that in the older parts of the Hebrew Scriptures the new moon and the Sabbath are almost invariably mentioned together. The [lunar] month is beyond question an old sacred division of time common to all the Semites; even the Arabs, who received the week at quite a late period from the Syrians, greeted the New Moon with religious acclamations. ... We cannot tell [exactly] when the Sabbath became disassociated from the month.” Encyclopedia Biblica, 1899 edit., pp. 4178-4179.
How is it that scholars cannot tell when the Sabbath was disassociated from the lunar cycle while modern satyrists in general (and David Perry in particular) deny that the Sabbath was ever connected with the moon in the first place?

David Perry signs this off at the end as his master’s thesis. I thought manuscripts such as this had to be researched and documented. It appears that Bro. Perry did no such thing, so I can only assume that he got a failing grade or got an A in his mind alone. *The ox knoweth his owner, and the ass his master’s crib: but Israel doth not know, My people doth not consider.* [Isaiah 1:3](https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Isaiah%201%3A3&version=NIV)

Two sentences above need to be addressed: “The whole point of being deceived is you don’t perceive it.” and “Regretfully; ask them how they would calculate the 7 Sabbaths to ‘Shavuot’/’Pentecost’, and watch the inventive maneuvers.”

The whole point we are trying to present is that there was a calendar shift in the 3rd and 4th century A.D. and the Hebrew Sabbath got buried in the rubble. This “false” Sabbath has continued on unscrutinized and unquestioned primarily because the Jews keep this false Sabbath. It is assumed that they are the descendants of Jacob/Israel, which is not true. The modern Jews are actually Edomites, 3 Esau’s descendants who adopted Judaism (the half pagan religion of the Babylonian rabbis—the ones who did not return to Israel with Ezra and Nehemiah) from 700-900 A.D.

Here are our “inventive maneuvers” involved in the count to Shavuot or Feast of Weeks (aka Pentecost). Examine them for yourselves, and see if we have stepped outside of Scripture, nature or the historical record. There are three studies. In you are on-line, click here, here and here. It is all there, and it is all substantiated. In these studies Tests 1, 3, 4 and 6 are successfully applied.

Sabbath to these Lunar Sabbath proponents, because of their cryptic calendar understandings; can now be any set day of the ‘7’s’ (week- Hebrew <shabuwa’>, Greek <sabbaton>) of a particular month i.e. all 3rd days (Tues.) next month all 4th days (Wed.), etc. [We don’t worship in t-day or w-day. If these days happen to fall on the seventh day of the Creation Calendar week, so be it.] This cryptography is accomplished by choosing to reason that “New Moon” can be ‘either’ 1 or 2 days, (itself) unbiblical [Then why can I find a two day new moon in the OT and the NT? 4] and that these up to 2 days are not counted as weekdays nor days of a week (again unbiblical); only days/dates of a month (again unbiblical). [Then why does Ezekiel 46:1, Amos 8:5, Isaiah 66:23, and II Kings 4:23 all prove that the new moon days do NOT fall during the week? Test 1 and 2.] They actually state that the 7th day of the first creation week, was in reality the 8th day of the first creation month sighting the (supposed) Sun/Moon conjunction (that had not yet been created) before the Creation of the 1st day of the week (now actually the 2nd moomh date to the Lunarists) that both ‘God’ and Moses forgot to tell us about. So now this 1st day of the creation week is now actually the 2nd date of the creation month/moonth, with this Genesis first 7th Day Sabbath of Creation (Gen.2:3) now actually (to the Lunarists) the 8th day of the 1st month/moonth of creation. [I’m a little non-plussed here. As of this writing, it is april, 2013. When I look at the Gregorian calendar, David Perry’s first seventh-day (of the week) “Sabbath” is the sixth day of the pagan month. Last month, his first seventh-day (of the week) “Sabbath” was the second day of the pagan month. Next month, his first seventh-day (of the week) “Sabbath” will be the fourth day of the pagan month. He acts like this is some new thing or that we are doing something stupid, when his “Sabbaths” fall on different days of the month too. Does he really think that the seventh day Sabbath of every week has to fall on the seventh day of the month!] This coupled with their re-defined terms and values gets more convoluted from here. Example;
[Note; They will swear up and down that their calendar is ‘different’ but what they evidence is exactly this!]  Braketed statement here is Perry’s.

Remember; the Lunarists say that all weekly Sabbaths from your Bible are on the 8th, 15th, 22nd, 29th … They are tenaciously adamant that this is and can be no other. In any confrontation, have them write out the math.

Legend … ‘nm’ - new moon (in blue) … weekly 7th Day Sabbath in red … ‘-’ = next cycle of 7 days/the week. Normal - Date- Adar: 27th, 28th, 29th,( ) Abib: 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th … [30th] added to some months] Lunar – Weekday … 5 6 7-.( )<nm> 0- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7- … [2nd ‘0’], a 2nd non-count day] Normal Week cycle… 5 6 7- nm> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7- 1 (Note; Adar 30th would be a 1st day (Sun.) with the 1st date of Abib ‘nm’ a 2nd weekday or a ‘Mon.’)… with some ‘Lunar’ months having 2/two ‘0’ count weekdays. This they will avoid to show in print (Prv.14:8), or validate as so in any way [This has never been anything we have shied away from, see endnote 2. Scripture proves that new moon is not a week day.], but this is the only way they could set their alternate Sabbath Days to always be the 8th, 15th, 22nd, 29th of any given moonth.

Surely Bro. Perry must have known that in a different format, what he wrote might not translate very well. Here is what I THINK he was trying to present…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Moon Days</th>
<th>First Week Day</th>
<th>Second Week Day</th>
<th>Third Week Day</th>
<th>Fourth Week Day</th>
<th>Fifth Week Day</th>
<th>Sixth Week Day</th>
<th>Seventh Week Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And I’ll write out the math as Bro. Perry suggested so you don’t have to ask. Prepare yourself! I’m applying Test 4 and the test of nature. Behold, the Word…

In the beginning, Elohim created the heavens and the earth, And the earth was without form, and void; and darkness was upon the face of the deep. And the Spirit of Elohim moved upon the face of the waters. And Elohim said, Let there be light... And there was light. And Elohim saw the light, that it was good: and Elohim divided the light from the darkness. And Elohim called the light Day, and the darkness He called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day. Genesis 1:1-5

What did YHVH make on the first day? Light did you say? Friend, did you miss the Creation event that occurred prior to the creation of light? It says, “In the beginning, Elohim CREATED heaven and earth.” Time on earth began at Genesis 1:1 and this was a time consuming event that took place. Obviously, there were many things created prior to “day one” when light was created. What was the first thing created (in order of events)? The heavens and the earth! However, it does not say, “and the evening and the morning were the ____ day,” after this first creation event. WHY?
Because the sun had not been lit yet.

When we read about the “first day” of Creation week, Elohim said, “Let there be light,” and there was light... And the evening and the morning were the first day. The first day of what? Clearly this is the first day of the week. Is there any light in the universe that does not have a source? It would be ridiculous to say that YHVH was the light source during day one because He is a Being of light. On day one of earth’s Creation week He didn’t create the light that emanates from Himself. The light referred to in Genesis 1:3-5 separated light from darkness creating Day and Night. The light from YHVH does not do this. The book of Revelation says that where YHVH is—there is no night. There is only one luminary in the cosmos, as seen from earth, that can regulate an evening and morning; that can divide light from darkness, and cause night and day to appear on the earth. The SUN!

Isn’t it interesting that after Elohim created light, then and ONLY then was there an evening and a morning which brought to an end the first day of Creation week. “Houston, we have a problem...”

Days are regulated by the sun! Yom, the Hebrew word for sun means heat (as in from the sun). How can we have a “day” as seen from earth before the sun was “created” on day four? Interestingly, there is second day of creation and a third day of creation all BEFORE the sun was “made” on day four. How is this possible? The evidence from Scripture reveals that the sun was ignited on DAY ONE!

Friends, YHVH created the heaven and the earth on this un-numbered creation event that took place before the “first day”. Earth was without form and void, and the other heavenly bodies must not have been in much better shape. On this un-numbered segment of time (Genesis 1:1), Yah put into place all the material He would need, then He advanced upon this material for six days before He rested.

Evidently, after this un-numbered creation event, the sun was just a big ball of gas, then on day one... ...and the evening and the morning were the first day.

I know what you are thinking... “I’ve never HEARD of such a thing. Scripture doesn’t say that, does it?”

Yes, it does. Not in English, but the proof for such an odd statement is found in the underlying Hebrew used in the fourth day creation account:

And God said, Let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and years:
And let them be for lights in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth: and it was so.
And God made two great lights; the greater light to rule the day, and the lesser light to rule the night: he made the stars also.
And God set them in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth,
And to rule over the day and over the night, and to divide the light from the darkness: and God saw that it was good.
And the evening and the morning were the fourth day. Genesis 1:14-19
This word “made” (Strong’s H# 6213) used in Genesis 1:16 is used several times during the creation week. The Hebrew word is asah, (ah-saw). The Hebrew word bara means something was created from scratch, asah means advanced upon or appointed, made, like making a bed in the morning. The bed is made, which only means rearranged, not created from scratch. For example: He appointed (asah) the moon for seasons… Psalm 104:19. Here David very nearly quotes Genesis 1:14, only he’s very specific about the role of the moon. Seasons here in Psalm 104:19 is the same Hebrew word found in Genesis 1:14; mo’edim, which means the appointed times or set feasts. Leviticus 23:1-3 proves that the weekly Sabbath is the FIRST feast. Test 1.

So on day four, YHVH advanced upon the luminaries that He had created earlier [when He created the heavens and the earth and then illuminated on day one], and then ordained them, appointing them as time keepers. We are not wresting Scripture when we make this statement because the underlying Hebrew could have been (and we are suggesting—should have been) translated in this way. The fact is, there were 3 days and nights before the 4th day of Creation week, and there is only ONE light seen from earth that can regulate these days. The sun. There, we did the math, and guess what? 2 + 2 = 4.

There is a lesser known group of Lunar Sabbath proponents that observe an alternate month date and weekly Sabbath day counting of 7, 14, 21, 28 with their ‘New Moon’ being on the 1st date of the month/1st day of the week. Initially this would seem to satisfy some of the objections listed in this presentation … however … a similar problem exists in the tracking of the month date and weekday sequencing that similarly again must always (without exception) produce the/their Sabbath on the 7th, 14 th, 21 th and 28 th of any given month. Both groups inherently insure themselves a ‘0’ or a multiple ‘0’ (non-day) weekday count; one or both at the end; of the week; as to start every month on their lunar 1st day (respective) that does not have any respect to the normal solar week count.

It is true. Those new to the Creation Calendar sometimes do not understand that the new moon days in Scripture do not count against the week. And when they put the new moon as the first day of the month, it is also the first day of the week. A well known fact is that the first day of the week is a work day. If the new moon day is the first day of the week it violates Ezekiel 46:1, which says that the gate to the inner court would be shut all SIX working days and open on new moon days and Sabbaths.

And here I must ask Bro. Perry, if the segment of time devoted to the first creation event in Genesis 1:1 (and it IS a segment of time) is not included in the Creation Week, why would the new moon of any other month be included in the work week?

The problem with the 7, 14, 21, 28 scenario is that it forces Passover (the 14th day of Abib) to be a weekly Sabbath, and Passover is ALWAYS a preparation day for the Sabbath of Unleavened Bread. This creates a catastrophic collapse of the calendar of YHVH, and honest truth seekers quickly remedy this by segregating the new moon days from the work week.

The actual Hebraic/Jewish “Orthodox” Calendar which is lunar based is 354 +/- days long with 12 months (except for a leap 13th month ‘Adar Bet’) that contain both 29 to 30 days. Both 29 day months and 28 day months do not divide equally into such a year; hence the addition of days to some months … and in that the 2 New Moon ‘rub’ that gives way to the similar ‘void’ day error of the 29 day Lunar guys … that of an inventive ‘void’- non-count and/or re-set weekday count sequencing or most notably; the outright existence denial of a ‘week’ (7 day or not) even in your Bible. Anyone can see it would be real handy for the lunist not to have that pesky detail to deal with. [It is not a pesky detail. It is a simple matter of fact. The new moon days do not count against the week in Scripture, Ezekiel 46:1. You can continue to say they are not all you want, but repeating a lie will never make it a truth.]
If I was a lunist I wouldn’t want to have to explain how it could be the 7th Day (Saturday/Sabbath … even worse a Tuesday or Wednesday, etc.) and jump to the (or in some cases to another) 1st Day (Sunday) 3 to 4 days later depending on which brand of lunist your talk’n to? Then try to prove that position from your Bible?; Against the Bible?; Against the plain text of Genesis?

We don’t have to worry about Scriptural support. We have it. Do you?

1. Where does it say in Scripture that saturday is the Sabbath?

2. Where does it say in Scripture that the Sabbath is every seventh day in succession?

Answer these 2 simple questions and this issue goes away. All Scripture EVER says is that the Sabbath is the seventh day of the week; it NEVER says that it is on a repeating 7 day cycle. The ONLY reason you believe that it does is because the calendar deception you have accepted shows saturday, the current seventh day of the pagan/papal planetary week, on a repeating 7 day cycle. That’s it. You have no other proof. Bro. Perry, saturday used to be the first day of the 7-day pagan planetary week. You can count backward by 7 all you want, but the moment you reach 321 A.D. your pagan “sabbath” will fall on the first day of the week. Constantine, a pagan Roman emperor, moved it from the first day of the week to the seventh when venerating the day of the sun from the second day of the week to the first. The order did not change, only the column in which they were written in (by men). So, in truth, you are STILL worshipping on the first day of the pagan planetary week. (Test 5)

Note: the “leap month” of ‘Adar II/Adar Bet’ is added to the third, sixth, eight, eleventh, fourteenth, seventeenth and nineteenth year of every nineteen year period because of the loss of 207 days tracking the Solar calendar within these said 19 years. The lunar Month/Date collating with the solar Week/Day sequencing track of this alternate group of Lunar Sabbath (7th, 14th, 21th and 28th of any given month) proponents; would look something like this;

Legend … ‘nm’- new moon (in blue) … weekly 7th Day Sabbath in red … ‘n’ = next cycle of 7 days/the week. Normal - Date- Adar: 27th,28th, 29th, 30th Abib: 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th … [30th] added to some months] Lunar – Weekday … 6 7- 1/0 <nm> 2/0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7- … [2nd ‘0’), a 2nd non-count day] Normal Week cycle… 6 7- 1 <nm>2 3 4 5 6 7-1 2 … (Note; See above calendar)

Readers, if you can’t figure this out, just imagine the calendar model I’ve presented above (p. 15) with 7, 14, 21, 28 in the seventh column followed by days 29 and 30 as non-week day new moon days. This creates the issue Bro. Perry points out below (I think), that there are now three days that have to be called new moon days, because day 1 is new moon for sure, but what are you going to do with days 29 AND 30 in a 30 day month? The problem with this (and with saturday Sabbaths) is that EVERY weekly Sabbath that can be date identified by the context of the passage in Scripture, falls on the 8th, 15th, 22nd or 29th days of the month. There are no exceptions.

The problem is now compounded. How could it possible be that what is to start the month is doubled (2 New Moon days) and at that to include the last date (the 30th) of the preceding month … that ended? To start a ‘new month’? … Hence ‘new moon/th’? The Bible states; “in the beginning” of your months (Num.10:10) NOT ending. [Agreed.] This is most chilling, I have spoken to a past friend that dabbled in ‘Satanism’; the prime directive is to ‘reverse’ everything … write it backwards, say it backwards, read it backwards, etc. This ‘new’ moon(th) 30th Lunarian Schizophrenic reasoning alone is against Scripture … an impossibility that has that same earmark. [I disagree.]
Lunarists clearly are transgressing and are severed from the Sabbath and the Feastsdays of the Covenant; as much as any Sunday keeper. This month Sabbath is on all Wed. next month it’s on all Thurs., etc. is very clearly wrong … and this calculated (some months) from twin New Moon ‘0’ non-count-weekdays, to calculate Feasts? This defies logic in and out of the Biblical arena. Biblically all things are to make sense; (Isa.1:18, Isa.28:10); the reassigned value-worth of terms and the foundational tenants of Lunar Sabbath flat do not!

No, we are not, we are observing these appointed times as instructed in Torah and the prophets. Not only is Scripture and nature on our side, so is the historical record. All you are a doing Bro. Perry is SAYING it is wrong. You have not proven anything, nor even tried to back up your conclusion with Scripture, nature or the historical record. WHERE is your evidence? You bring up Isaiah 28:9-10 (Test 3), but you’ve yet to apply it. Bro. Perry, you opened this dance; it’s not like you have not had a chance to prove your point.

The idea of ‘New Moon’ ‘non-count’ ‘0/zero start weekday’ apologized in any way, by itself is a clear violation of Bible; it is ‘vain reasoned imagination’ … clearly a Schizophrenic (Prv.15:2, Rom.1:22) secession of normal rational cognitive thought ; a cerebral exercise breaching the solar successive nature of a day and a week. They have taken leave of their intellect and common sense; subjectively inviting you to the same!

I thought we just read the words in Genesis 1:1-2, Ezekiel 46:1, Amos 8:5, Isaiah 66:23 and II Kings 4:23. I guess if Moses, Ezekiel, Amos and Isaiah are spiritually schizophrenic, we are in pretty good company. What I find so amazing is that even though written over a span of hundreds of years, all these men were schizophrenic in the EXACT same way. The WOW factor of this is off the scale. I mean how clever was the Adversary to confuse and bewilder these poor prophets of YHVH into writing so cohesively.

Oh, wait a minute. Only YHVH does such creative work, the Adversary is the author of confusion. Bro. Perry, your horse is dead. Stop beating it.

Jer.33:20 “Thus saith YHWH; If ye can break my covenant of the day, and my covenant of the night, and that there should not be day and night in their season;” Gen.1:14

Clearly what they (the Lunarists)) link to as original foundation does not offer a foundation to the imagination of Lunar Sabbath at all. Only by wedging their thoughts and words into the text can they wrench the facade of a footing. Letting the Bible define the Bible will dispel this apparition in short order. However if this is ignored or reassigned, the error of Lunar Sabbath will only continue to deceive, morph, grow and fester.

Oh, oh. I’m so glad you brought this back up. Let me quote a sentence found on p. 5 above: “For instance; Sabbath for them no longer means the 7th day of the Solar Genesis week; what we know as the modern ‘Saturday’ or more accurately Friday sundown to Saturday sundown.” [Underlining is my own.]

Now Bro. Perry wants to trot out Jeremiah 33:20 as evidence to support his conclusion. Without realizing it, he is looking down the barrel of the gun he thinks he is pointing at the lunar Sabbath and Lunar Sabbath keepers. Watch now, I’m going to use Test 1 and 3 to prove that Bro. Perry does not know much about the day or the texts he is using…. 
And Elohim said, Let there be light: and there was light.
And Elohim saw the light, that it was good: and Elohim divided the light from the darkness.
And Elohim called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day.  **Genesis 1:3-5**

Day and Night are divided RIGHT at Creation. Divided means they do not touch or overlap in ANY way. David Perry is not alone in his folly, many think that the day starts at night, and here is what the YHVH and prophets think about this…

*Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!  **Isaiah 5:20**

Job just says people like this are not very smart…

*But as for you all, do ye return, and come now: for I cannot find one wise man among you. My days are past, my purposes are broken off, even the thoughts of my heart. They change the night into day: the light is short because of darkness.  **Job 17:10-12**

The root word meaning for evening (erev in Hebrew, H6153, 6150) means *to cover, to be darkened*. This is the divider that separates day from night (spoken of in Genesis 1:4-5), where the light of the day is covered. This is a time frame that is neither day nor night and it is called erev (evening).

The root word meaning for morning (boqer in Hebrew, H1242, 1239) means *to break forth, inspect, admire*. This is the divider that separates night from day (spoken of in Genesis 1:4-5), where the light breaks forth and is Uncovered so we can appreciate it. This is a time frame that is neither night nor day and it is called boqer (morning).

There is a saying (Mark 10:9): What Yah has joined together, let not man put asunder. The opposite is also true. What Yah has separated, divided, let not man join together. It ain’t rocket science. Day does NOT begin at night. This is a pagan Babylonian doctrine that the Edomite Jews adopted. The day is 12 hours long (John 11:9), that begins at dawn and ends at sunset (Genesis 1:3-5). If on-line, click [here](#) for a complete study on this subject.

Ex.12:2 “This month shall be unto you the beginning of months: it shall be the first month of the year to you.”

This verse clearly does not mean nor stipulate the beginning of Days or Weeks reset to coincide monthly from other than Gen.1 … ever! [Really?  **Genesis 1:1** is about the first day of the year.  **Exodus 12:2** is about the first day of the year.] Anything else is a huge assumption … a leap or more accurately a lapse of the instruction. This first of the solar year, first of the lunar month does not reset the succession of solar days nor with it solar weeks … days are not/can not be calculated by the moon neither can the grouping of them we call a week. The moon can only give us a lunar date not a solar day … or the succession of them i.e. a ‘week’.

I guess I was forewarned that David Perry is not very nice, and is very demanding, but the only huge assumption here is his. In this “study” he has attempted to demean Lunar Sabbath keepers in a most prolific manner, but has not made a single attempt to prove his allegations. He only repeats his accusations, but a false accusation repeated is still a lie.
Who said the year was solar? Oh, that’s right, the Egyptians said that first, then the Greeks, then the Romans, now modern scientists. Do you see the prophets of YHVH on this list? Test 5. If you can’t prove a solar year in Scripture, then there isn’t one, and I don’t see David Perry making an effort to do so apart from his vitriolic bully pulpit. Saying something repeatedly or loudly does NOT make it true Bro. Perry.

**Exodus 12:2** proves that the moon (as well as the sun of course) is involved in the beginning of the Father’s year. I admit, the sun COULD have been marked off by a solar marker, such as the vernal equinox (which is at least close to the beginning of the Scriptural year) or the winter solstice (which is at least close to the beginning of the Roman year (jan 1), but they did not. There is NOTHING special or unique about jan 1 in the solar cycle, you cannot go outside, look at the sun and say, alas, it is January 1. So while they SAY there is a solar year, this “year” does not begin or end as one. Rome moved the beginning of the year from March 1 to January 1 in 153 B.C. for a political reason, not an astronomical one, so this “year” is just as maneuverable or pliable as the days of the pagan week proved to be in 321 A.D. It is so strange that Bro. Perry accuses us of observing a calendar that moves or shifts all over the place, when his calendar has been changed by pagans and papists alike for centuries. And the ONLY reason he believes our calendar is so malleable is because he is holding it up to the anchorless pagan/papal/Roman/man-made/solar-only calendar. The Father’s calendar is completely fixed, nothing moves and nothing can be changed. The Sabbaths are always the 8th, 15th, 22nd and 29th days of the month. The new moon is always the first day of the month. The year begins with the solar signal coupled with the lunar signal at the new moon nearest the spring equinox.

Exodus 12:2

**This month shall be unto you the beginning of months:**

The first meaning of chodesh is new moon (renewal), it only means month by implication, and should have been translated thus:

**This month [chodesh, meaning renewal of the moon, or new moon] shall be unto you the beginning of months [chodesh, meaning months by extension]: it shall be the first month of the year to you. Exodus 12:2**

YHVH is pointing to a specific moon in the verse above. Looks like this:

This bowl shaped moon is seen ONE time a year, the new moon nearest the vernal equinox. The first visible crescent seen the month before and the month after are tipped slightly to the left. As time progresses the first visible crescent is seen tipped increasingly to the left until it is a backward C at the beginning of the seventh month, then from the beginning of the seventh month, the first visible crescents each month begin to tip increasingly to the right until at the beginning of the first month it is flat once more. A 13th month does not interfere with this process one bit.
All nature screams the majesty of Yah.

I admit, Exodus 12:2 says nothing about the new moon days resetting the month, but Bro. Perry knows that they do. This verse is about the year primarily, which begins at the new moon of the first month, but a case could be made that the new moon begins EVERY month, and Genesis 1:1-2, Ezekiel 46:1, Amos 8:5, Isaiah 66:23 and II Kings 4:23 together prove that the new moon days are not week days. The new moon days are days of the month (which is mentioned in Exodus 12:2) AND days of the year, (which is also mentioned in Exodus 12:2). This verse does not fight against these other verses, it fits hand in glove with them.

1Sam.20:5 “And David said unto Jonathan, Behold, to morrow is the new moon, and I should not fail to sit with the king at meat; but let me go, that I may hide myself in the field unto the third day at even.”

Doesn’t look too obedient or worshipful does it? King David must not have been a good ‘Lunarist’.

Au contraire. See end note 4.

Ps 81:3 “Blow up the trumpet in the new moon, in the time appointed, on our solemn feast day.”

Ps 81:3 is the only place in the entire Bible you will find ‘new moon’ and ‘feast’ in the same sentence. [Totally untrue. Proof on p. 31] This can only be the 1st day of the 7th month, the Lev. 23:24 Feast of “Trumpets”. This also does not give multiple choice … ‘day’ not ‘days’ of ‘new moon’ feasting. New Moon is never called; only alluded to as a ‘feast’ and at that only in conjunction with Feast of “Trumpets” at Lev. 23:24. [Agreed.] New Moon is not so much as named by YHWH or Moses as such (a feast i.e. an appointed time) in the Lev. 23 instruction. Nor is ‘New Moon’ ever mentioned in any of the Covenants. New Moon is however a Melchizedek Priesthood issue in that ‘Joseph’ knew to blow the shofar even while in Egypt directly having bearing on the Genesis account.

Ps.81:4 “For this was a statute for Israel, and a law of the Elohim of Jacob. This he ordained in Joseph for a testimony (<`eduwth> witness), when he went out through the land of Egypt: where I heard a language that I understood not.”

Well, I’m not sure how Bro. Perry earned his Th.D. because he clearly does not know much about Scripture. It is as if he believes it was originally written in the King James English.

_Psalm 81:3_ **Blow up** H8628 _the trumpet_ H7782 _in the new moon_ H2320 _in the time appointed_ H3677 _on our solemn feast_ H2282 _day._ H3117

**H2320** chôdesh _kho’-desh_ From H2318; the new moon; by implication a _month_: - month (-ly), new moon.

**H3677** kese’ _kesh_ H3680; properly _fulness_ or the _full moon_, that is, its festival: - (time) appointed.

**H2282** chag _chag, khawg_ _A festival, or a victim_ therefor: - (solemn) feast (day), sacrifice, solemnity.
Please note that the Hebrew word for feast here is NOT H4150, mo’edim, it is chag. The Hebrew word chag (H2282) appears 62 times in Scripture and in EVERY single case it is used in reference to one of the pilgrimage or traveling feasts found in Exodus 23:14-17 and Exodus 34:18, 22. (Test 2 and 3) Please note, ladies and gentlemen of the jury and Bro. Perry, that Feast of Trumpets is NOT listed as one of the pilgrimage feasts. This was a festival you got to stay home and observe.

I agree, the new moon is not listed with the other feasts, save for the seventh one—Feast of Trumpets. However, that Joseph knew to blow the shofar at the beginning of each month and later Israel was reminded of this fact in Numbers 10:10.

Num.10:10 “Also in the day of your gladness, and in your solemn days, and in the beginnings of your months (‘New Moon’), ye shall blow with the trumpets over your burnt offerings, and over the sacrifices of your peace offerings; that they may be to you for a memorial before your Elohim: I am YHWH your Elohim.”

I will give credit where credit is due. Bro. Perry is finally giving a list of different texts he feels supports something he is trying to prove.

As clearly as can be stated; ‘New Moon’ is a Memorial, it is not a ‘Feast’ in the same sense or class of ‘Appointed Times’ specified in/at Ex.23/Lev.23. It (‘New Moon’) is not a Sabbath, just as Passover can fall on any day including a 7th Day Sabbath but itself is also not a Sabbath; it is a Memorial (Ex 12:14) … as a point of interest Passover is also not mentioned in Ex.23 … part of the Book of the Covenant (Ex.19:5-24:8). Passover is only mentioned out side of this context. Passover is Melchizedek Priesthood law (Ex.12:14 vs. Ex.40:13-15) in the sense of a precept …a prescriptive ‘memorial’ ordinance …’New Moon’ falls in this same category. [Passover and new moon are in Torah, which means they are OURS to obey.]

I agree that new moon is not a Sabbath (other than the seventh one), but the statement I underlined above is true only when you superimpose the Creation Calendar over the Gregorian calendar and assume that the calendar traits of the pagan/papal calendar apply to the Creation Calendar. They do not. Passover is always Abib 14, and it is always a preparation day, meaning the 6th day of the week. Again, David Perry makes an unsubstantiated statement and does not back it up, he just expects you to believe it because he does. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, if this does not offend you, it should.

Jos.5:10 “And the children of Israel encamped in Gilgal, and kept the Passover on the fourteenth day of the month at even <`ereb> in the plains of Jericho. 11 And they did eat of the old corn of the land on the morrow after the Passover, unleavened cakes, and parched corn in the selfsame day. 12 And the manna ceased on the morrow after they had eaten of the old corn of the land; neither had the children of Israel manna any more; but they did eat of the fruit of the land of Canaan that year.
I wish he had commented on his use of the passage, but he did not. I can show you how this verse supports the Creation Calendar if he had tried to take it out of context, but simply quoting it is not taking it out of context. He deserves a break.

Lev.23:5 “In the fourteenth day of the first month at even is Yahweh’s Passover. 6 And on the fifteenth day of the same month is the feast of unleavened bread unto Yahweh: seven days ye must eat unleavened bread.

To those that will allow the text to say what it says; Lev.23:6 is most defining. It distinguishes between the 7 Days of ‘unleavened bread’ starting on the 15th and the V:5 ‘1 Day’ of Passover on the 14th. The phrase ‘at even’ is defined by Gen.1 not by Jewish lore or historical apostate usage; an example not to follow!

Yes, Passover and Unleavened are separate feasts. Who among us thought they weren’t?

Gen.1:5 “… the evening and the morning were the first day”

There are those that adamantly assert that; the Jews reckon this or that even contrary to the plain text. I would remind these ones that Yahweh is neither limited by, nor beholding to, what the Jews or anyone else (Scholar, Doctor, Pastor, Rabbi or Reverend, etc) would think or presume to teach contrary to Yahweh’s Word.

Isa.55:8 “For My thoughts are not your thoughts, Nor are your ways My ways, says Yahweh. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, So are My ways higher than your ways, And My thoughts than your thoughts.”

** This was spoken to the Israelites/Jews by an Israelite/Jew on Yahweh’s behalf.**

Now that we have that straight from the Bible; Gen.1:5 defines which ‘at even’ “in” the “14th” Lev.23:5 is talking about … the night before morning of the same Genesis day one thru seven i.e. the one that would start that 24 hr. ‘Day’ called ‘Passover’ of the Abib14th (not a Sabbath) The next ‘at even’ would be “in” the “15th” (In this case Abib 15th the Annual Sabbath that can fall on any day of the week of any given year)

Indeed, YHVH’s ways are higher than our ways, and His ways are not limited by, nor beholden to you either, Bro. Perry. The man from Galilee said that the days are 12 hours long (on the sundial of course—John 11:9). Genesis 1:4-5 says that the lit part of the 24 hour cycle is called Day, and the dark part of the 24 hour cycle is called Night. The “thing” that the Creator designed to divide day from night is the focus of this particular point… erev, translated as even or evening. I’m going to go out on a limb here and ask if Bro. Perry is insisting that even means night (underlined above by me). Erev does not literally mean night, only the very beginning of night. There is a Hebrew word for night, when night is referenced: Layil, H3915. Evening is ONLY a divider between day and night, the Hebrew root meaning covering, to grow dusky (at sundown). Also, the second underlined (by me) statement above is yet another claim without evidence or substantiation. Abib 15 can fall on any day of the pagan/papal week of any given year, but it is always the seventh day of the week on the Father’s calendar. I’d like just ONCE for David Perry to put up (or shut up). His open ended and empty claims are starting to sound like a clanging brass gong—loud and annoying, while I’m answering his objections with real evidence.
How do the Jews reckon the “even” contrary to the plain text? Once again, Perry makes a claim, does not explain or substantiate it, then walks away as if we should get it, just because he says so. As aggravating as this is, we will continue to plod through this indelicate, inefficient and in some cases, incomprehensible study.

Friends, there are no 24 hour days in Scripture. That is why it is recorded that it rained for 40 days and 40 nights during the Flood. If it had rained for 40 days, that would have meant it stopped raining at night. If Scripture had said that Moses was on Mt. Sinai for 40 days, that would have meant he came down each night, which is why it specifically states that he was on the Mt. for 40 days AND 40 nights. It ain’t rocket science. Bro. Perry wants us to read the ink on the page as it is written then refuses to hold himself to the same standard.

Lev.23:11 “And he shall wave the sheaf before Yahweh, to be accepted for you: on the morrow after the [weekly] sabbath the priest shall wave it.”

Whoa! Did you just see that? David Perry just violated Deuteronomy 4:2 by adding to Torah! The Sabbath in question is actually a weekly Sabbath, but in context it is referring to the 15th of Abib, the first day of Unleavened Bread. David Perry thinks it is referring to a pagan/papal satyrday “sabbath”. Go ahead, I dare you to look and see for yourself that Bro. Perry does err. The context of this passage begins in Leviticus 23:6 to verse 11. And the only Sabbath in this picture is found in 23:7, the first day of Unleavened Bread.

Lev.23:14 “And ye shall eat neither bread, nor parched corn, nor green ears, until the selfsame day that ye have brought an offering unto your Elohim: it (is) a statute for ever throughout your generations in all your dwellings.”

Everything is in the Bible for a reason … [That’s right, it is. That is why the only weekly Sabbaths that can be date identified are always on the 8th, 15th, 22nd or 29th days of the month. The opposite is also true. There are things that are NOT in Scripture for a reason. That is why the new moon days are shown to never fall during the work week. That is why Scripture never calls satyrday the Sabbath or shows a weekly Sabbath on an unbroken seven day cycle.] Now it gets interesting; the forensics of the Lev.23:14 statute says that “ye shall eat neither bread, nor parched corn, nor green ears, until the selfsame day” after the sheaf has been waved in accordance to Lev.23:11 which has to be waived “on the morrow after the Sabbath”. Jos.5:10 says, “the children of Israel … kept the Passover on the fourteenth day of the month at even”. A Genesis ‘day’ starts at ‘even’ <‘ereb>, which is defined as;

06153. bre ‘ereb, eh’-reb … from 6150; dusk:--+ day, even(ing, tide), night

06150. bre ‘arab, aw-rab’ … a primitive root (identical with 6148 through the idea of covering with a texture); to grow dusky at sundown:--be darkened, (toward) evening.

A Genesis 1:3-5 24 hour cycle goes like this chronologically. Let there be light, and there was light (the light of Day)…and (later) there was evening, and (later still) there was morning, Day one. Do you think that when the Creator commanded the light to come forth that it took 12 hours to come on? Don’t you think that if this were so, that verse Genesis 1:5 would come before verses 3 and 4? Bro. Perry, what don’t you understand about this? It was light (Day), then evening, then morning. The first 24 hour cycle ended at dawn, which means the second day began at dawn when the first 24 hour cycle ended, and the morrow after the Sabbath in the context of this passage is always Abib 16.
As further proof, I offer you Flavius Josephus (quote below) who lived from about 37 CE to 100 CE. There are in Scripture several passages that prevent any child of the King from ever accidentally falling into the wrong calendar for worship. *Exodus 12:2-6* and *Leviticus 23:4-14* introduce us to three fixed date work days. Abib 10, Abib 14 and Abib 16.

Abib 10 is the day to set aside the Passover lamb. This is a day of commerce. A shepherder may have a suitable lamb, but a fisherman or a tent-maker may not. Abib 10 is the day to PURCHASE that suitable lamb. Abib 10 floats on the Gregorian calendar [translation: saturday falls on Abib 10 at times]. Commerce is prohibited on Sabbath and there is NO legislation in Torah to purchase the Passover lamb on either the 9th or 11th of Abib IF saturday just happens to land on Abib 10.

The 14th day of Abib is always the preparation day for the first day of Unleavened Bread. *Matthew 27:62, Mark 15:42, Luke 23:54–24:1, John 19:14, 31, 42—John 20:1*. Saturyday falls on Abib 14 from time to time. The fact is that the 10th, 14th and 16th of Abib are, without exception they are commanded work days. The barley could be harvested on the 16th after the Wave Sheaf had been offered, *Leviticus 23:9-14*. Can we harvest our fields on Sabbath?

If there is a continuous 7 day cycle, every few years either the 10th, 14th or 16th will fall on a saturday. Evidence: saturday fell on Abib 10 in 2003. Saturyday fell on Abib 14 in 2004 and 2008. And the pagan/papal saturday fell on Abib 16 in 2002, 2006 and 2009. YHVH never instructed Israel to do common work on a rest day Sabbath. He is not the Author of confusion.

It is Abib 16 that Josephus writes about, his words hammering the counterfeit calendar and its proponents. Please understand that Josephus is writing to a non-Israelite audience. In *The Antiquities of the Jews, Book 3, Chapter 10, section 5*, he has this to say about the Passover season:

(248) “In the month of Xanthicus, which is by us called Nisan, and is the beginning of the year, on the fourteenth day of the lunar month, when the sun is in Aries (for in this month it was that we were delivered from bondage under the Egyptians, and law ordained that we should every year slay that sacrifice which I before told you we slew when we came out of Egypt, and which was called the Passover; and so we do celebrate this Passover in companies, leaving nothing of what we sacrifice till the day following. (249) The feast of unleavened bread succeeds that of the Passover, and falls on the fifteenth day of the month and continued seven days, wherein they feed on unleavened bread... (250) But on the second day of unleavened bread, which is the sixteenth day of the month, they first partake of the fruits of the earth, for before that day, they do not touch them. ... (251) They take a handful of ears, and dry them, then beat them small and purge the barley from the bran; they then bring one tenth deal to the altar, to Elohim; and, casting one handful of it upon the fire they leaven the rest for use of the priest; and after this it is that they may publicly or privately reap their harvest.”

Josephus is explaining to the Gentile nations how the barley could be harvested on the 16th, and says exactly what *Leviticus 23* says about the feast of Passover, Unleavened Bread and wavesheaf. I’m sorry Bro. Perry, but Josephus was THERE 2000 years ago, and he was an Israelite priest and historian who probably knew the Torah (don’t you think?), and he calls the second day of Unleavened Bread which is the day of the first fruit offering, the 16th day of Abib,. What don’t you understand about this?

You are not fighting against Lunar Sabbath keepers or the Lunar Calendar, you are fighting against YHVH.
A Genesis ‘day’ starts at ‘even’ <‘ereb>, therefore the Jos.5:10 “children of Israel” kept the ‘Passover’ the ‘even’/’evening’ that begins that 24hr. 14th of Abib Day of ‘Passover’ [The horse is dead. Stop beating it. Continuing to say that the days in Scripture begin at even when it is more than clear that they do not will not make it true. You do err, not knowing the Scriptures… The days in Scripture begin at dawn when it becomes DAY. Why do you call Night the beginning of Day? This is about as half-baked as it is illogical.] Jos.5:11 identifies that they “did eat of the old corn of the land on the morrow after the Passover, unleavened cakes, and parched corn in the selfsame day.” Lev.23:14 states that ‘eating’ cannot be done until after the wave-sheaf is waved that can only be done according to Lev.23:11 “on the morrow after the Sabbath the priest shall wave it.” this identifies that the ‘Passover’ of Jos.5:10 (itself not a Sabbath) in fact fell on the (normal) weekly Sabbath (14th) of that particular month, of that particular year. This tears a big hole in the ‘Lunitarian’ theory, for their 2nd Sabbath, according to them, that has ‘always’ been … is always on the 15th of every month … Remember? Further Jos.5:11 defines that the ‘Lunar’ weekly Sabbath of the 15th; in this case Abib15th (also the 1st day of the Feast of Unleavened Bread itself an Annual Sabbath) that year fell on a ‘1st Day’ that year; what most are taught to call ‘Sunday’.

Well he finally fell into his own trap, I wondered back on the bottom of p. 23, top of p. 24 if he was going to go over the edge on this one, and there he went. David Perry does err, not knowing the Scriptures…

**And the children of Israel encamped in Gilgal, and kept the passover on the fourteenth day of the month at even in the plains of Jericho.**

**And they did eat of the old corn of the land on the morrow after the passover, unleavened cakes, and parched corn in the selfsame day.** **Joshua 5:10-11**

Regarding this passage in Joshua, we have been told by Bro. Perry (and others) that …Israel ate of the new grain on the “morrow after” the Passover, and they believe that that particular year the Sabbath fell on the 14th day of the month and on Abib 15th which is the Sabbath of Unleavened Bread (and allegedly in their view, the first day of the week) Israel offered the wave-sheaf.

The issue is when Israel could eat the new barley which they could do only after wave sheaf. **Leviticus 23:9-14** indicates this was waved on the 16th of Abib, the day after the Sabbath. Interestingly, the Interlinear agrees with the KJV, they ate the old corn on the morrow after the Passover (the 15th of Abib) not the new. It says so right in Joshua 5:11. The catch is the phrase **parched corn in the selfsame day.**

If they had to parch the corn for wave sheaf (barley in this instance) that meant that it was still a couple of days shy of being ready to harvest. Because if they had waited until the next new moon to start Abib the harvest would have been lost, fallen out of the heads onto the ground. So when the timing of the moons in relation to the harvest was a little out of sync (meaning just a few short days), which it was occasionally, the barley was roasted a little to have a properly ripened wave sheaf offering. The evidence for this is found in **Leviticus 23:14.**

The answer to this problem is discovered when one looks up **parched corn** in Strong’s and the Interlinear. The word used in Joshua is not the same as in Leviticus. It means **roasted grain** in Leviticus. In Joshua the word is only **roasted.** For a fact: Israel observed YHVH’s calendar. **Joshua 5:12** clearly says the Israelites ate the new produce of the land the morrow after they ate the old corn on the 15th, which means they would have been eating the new grain on the 16th, JUST as Scripture says.
Here is a second way to disprove David Perry’s false allegation. Remember, these folks are trying desperately for Passover (Abib 14) to fall on the Sabbath in Joshua 5:10-12. Joshua 1:11 tells us that three days before crossing the Jordan, Israel was commanded to prepare food. Joshua 4:19 says they crossed over on the 10th of Abib, meaning that they were preparing victuals on the 7th of Abib. If the 14th (see calendar model below) is a Sabbath as our friend alleges, so is the 7th. Apparently our friend would have Israel breaking the Sabbath.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In truth, the calendar model above violates the Calendar of Yah again by placing the new moon day as the first week day, in clear violation of Ezekiel 46:1, and against the example of the calendar model described in Genesis 1:1-2, Amos 8:5, Isaiah 66:23 and II Kings 4:23.

I’m guessing some of you did not get this. Here it is again in slow motion. >grin<  Commentary in Red…

**Joshua 5:10** And the children of Israel encamped in Gilgal, and kept the passover on the fourteenth day of the month at even in the plains of Jericho. Kept Passover on Abib 14

**Joshua 5:11** And they did eat of the old corn of the land on the morrow after the passover, [which is Abib 15] unleavened cakes, and parched corn in the selfsame day. Ate old corn (not the new first fruit offering) on Abib 15. The word corn is supplied by the translators, it is not in the text, meaning something was parched, but it certainly was not the barley as they ate the old barley on this day.

**Joshua 5:12** And the manna ceased on the morrow after they had eaten of the old corn of the land; [Ate old corn on Abib 15, and the day after the manna stopped] neither had the children of Israel manna any more; but they did eat of the fruit of the land of Canaan that year. After Abib 15 (which would be Abib 16), Israel ate the fruit of the land, meaning the new barley in context.

There is always harmony in the truth and in case you have not noticed, David Perry has stepped in a pile, painted himself into a corner, made unforced errors by not examining the underlying Hebrew and/or stuck his foot in his mouth in nearly every paragraph he’s written. His batting average is what, 0 for 28 pages so far? Did I not predict this on the first page?

A Genesis ‘day’ starts at ‘even’ <’ereb>, [No, it does not!] therefore the Jos.5:10 “children of Israel” kept the ‘Passover’ the ‘even’/’evening’ that begins that 24hr. 14th of Abib Day of ‘Passover’ Jos.5:11 identifies that they “did eat of the old land on the morrow after the Passover, unleavened cakes, and parched corn in the selfsame day.” Lev.23:14 states that ‘eating’ cannot be done until after the wave-sheaf is waved that can only be done according to Lev.23:11 “on the morrow after the Sabbath the priest shall wave it.” this identifies that the ‘Passover’ of Jos.5:10 (itself not a Sabbath) in fact fell on the (normal) weekly Sabbath (14th) of that particular month, of that particular year. This tears a big hole in the ‘Lunitarian’ theory, for their 2nd Sabbath, according to them, that has ‘always’ been … is always on the 15th of every month … Remember? Further Jos.5:11 defines that the ‘Lunar’ weekly Sabbath of the 15th; in this case Abib15th (also the 1st day of the Feast of Unleavened Bread itself an Annual Sabbath) that year fell on a ‘1st Day’ that year; what most are taught to call ‘Sunday’.
Only the eating of the new barley cannot be done until after the wave sheaf offering. Israel did not eat new grain on Abib 15. Joshua 5:11 plainly says they ate the old corn. And he ignored the fact that if the 14th of Abib this month was the Sabbath it would force the 7th of Abib to be a Sabbath (and Israel was ordered to prepare food on this day). David Perry only wishes this tore a hole in the “Lunitarian” theory. He actually just shot a hole in his own boat. Here’s a life-line for you Bro. Perry…

Repent.

Deut.19:15 “… at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the mouth of three witnesses, shall the matter be established.”

Agreed, and I have applied this successfully throughout this rebuttal.

Ezk.46:1 “Thus saith Yahweh; The gate of the inner court that looketh toward the east shall be shut the six working days; but on the Sabbath it shall be opened, and in the day of the new moon it shall be opened.”

Notice clearly “in the day of” as in only ‘1’ and not ‘2’! … 12 in a year not 24 +/- ‘New Moons’ … also “on the Sabbath” would include the multiples of all Weekly and Annual Sabbaths as well (Lev.23). Note; this is the lone verse that many torture to “prove” a ‘no work’ command on ‘New Moons’. In fact here is Biblical evidence to the direct 180° contrary!!! Gen.8:13, Num.1:1 and Ezra 7:9, there is no such command/ment!

The number of new moon days is not in question here. Whether there is one or two days of new moon, the gate is open as well as on the Sabbath, but it is SHUT on all six work days. The new moon of the month this is being written was on a pagan w-day. Is w-day one of the six work days, Bro. Perry? Should this gate be open or shut, Bro. Perry? I’ll have your answer. Mine looks like this…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Moon Day</th>
<th>First Week Day</th>
<th>Second Week Day</th>
<th>Third Week Day</th>
<th>Fourth Week Day</th>
<th>Fifth Week Day</th>
<th>Sixth Week Day</th>
<th>Seventh Week Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Blue and red, gate open. Black, gate shut. Please note that OVER and OVER again Scripture proves that the new moon days do not fall on a week day.

Note for what I’ve highlighted above. No one that I know tortures Ezekiel 46:1, saying that no work can be done on new moon days. The only useful purpose for this verse is to prove that new moon is not numbered IN the work week. Certain work could be done on new moon days but they do seem to be a non-commerce days (Amos 8:5). For instance…
The foundation of the earth was laid on new moon (Genesis 1:1), this segment of time coming BEFORE the first work day of the first month of the first year of earth’s history. The desert tabernacle was erected on new moon (Exodus 40), the foundation of Yah’s temple was laid on new moon (I Esdras 5:57) and the foundation for Solomon’s Temple was re-laid on new moon day (Haggai 1:1, 2, 8, 12). There is a convocation that takes place on new moon day, but it is the convocation that is called “holy”, not the entire day. For the weekly and annual Sabbaths, the DAY is called “holy” (set-apart).

And thank you Bro. Perry, for not beating yet another dead horse. I can actually share an additional truth to topple your allegations, your empty accusations and your erroneous conclusions…

Please see what I have underlined in the paragraph on the previous page. Originally, there were twelve 30 day months for a 360 day lunar-solar year. The prophetic year in Daniel is 360 days; why wouldn’t the literal year be the same? In Genesis 7:11 and 8:4 it says that the waters prevailed upon the earth from the 17th day of the second month to the 17th day of the seventh month (that’s five months). In Genesis 7:24 and 8:3 it reveals that the waters prevailed upon the earth for 150 days.

5 x 30 = 150. Said another way, 150 / 5 = 30. This proves that the months were originally all 30 days in length because there are not five 30 day lunar months in succession in this day and age. We all know that there are only 7 day weeks. 7 x 4 = 28, meaning there were TWO days of new moon every month from creation until YHVH moved the sun and moon out of their original orbit. These “extra days” are not week days; they are new moon days which fall outside of the weekly count.

Early in Israel’s history (some believe about 800 B.C.), YHVH slightly dented His clock. There was a cosmic unsettling in our solar system that altered the relationship between the earth and the sun and moon. I believe this was done on purpose by YHVH to shake out the unbelievers. At that time, all nations had a lunar-solar calendar similar to Israel’s. And true to form, these pagan nations all scrambled to come up with new calendars, everyone except the Hebrews.

For those that will let the text say what it says; there Biblically according to Ex.23 and Lev.23 is a set distinction; 1st of all between the Weekly Sabbaths as a <mow’ed> and the Annual Sabbaths as a <mow’ed> as Lev.23:2 as apposed to 23:4 would decisively indicate by the spoken word. YHWH Himself has set a/the/that difference … one is weekly the other is annual … one is basically solar the other is basically lunar … but neither is to the mutual exclusion of the other. To ignore or reassign that is apostasy of the highest degree (Isa.14:12-14). 2ndly YHWH Himself and through the prophets has set a similar singular distinction for the ‘New Moon’ designation; that extends even into the New Testament … and therefore beyond … Isa.66:22-23.

Whoa, whoa, whoa! Did you see that? David Perry just violated Deuteronomy 4:2 again, adding to Torah! See underlined above. I’m having a hard time believing my eyes and ears. He even claims it is apostasy to reassign something that YHVH never assigned! I’m beginning to wonder just who David L. Perry thinks he is!

Yes, there is a distinction between the weekly Sabbath in Leviticus 23:3 and the annual Sabbaths listed from 23:5-37. But I don’t see anything in this chapter (or the rest of the book, or the whole of Scripture) that says the weekly Sabbath is solar and the annual Sabbaths are lunar. Can any of you in the jury find this verse for me? What I DO see is that in 23:2, the weekly Sabbath is called a mo’edim, and in Leviticus 23:4 the annual Sabbaths are called mo’edim, and in Psalm 104:19, the moon is named as the luminary in the heavens that regulates the mo’edim! THIS, Bro. Perry is evidence.
The distinction is that one is weekly and the others are annual, but there the dissimilarities end. They are all mo’edim and Genesis 1:14 says that either the sun or moon regulate the mo’edim. Psalm 104:19 tells you which one. The only thing that is solar-only in the Father’s calendar is the day. The Hebrew year is lunar-solar, beginning on the new moon day of the first lunar month, the new moon nearest the spring equinox.

1Chron.23:31 “And to offer all burnt sacrifices … in the (1) sabbaths, in the (2) new moons, and on the (3) set feasts, by number, according to the order commanded unto them …” (2Chron.2:4, 8:13, 31:3; Nem.10:33; Lam.2:6; Ezek.45:17)

Whoa! If you read David Perry’s statement on p. 22, he says that Psalm 81:3 is the only place that new moon and feast appear in the same sentence, yet he JUST gave us 6 more texts that have new moon and feast in the same passage (underlined above). Lamentations 2:6 is the lone exception. In addition, let me add a seventh, Hosea 2:11.

Do I have any witnesses to Bro. Perry’s false statement? Does this bother anyone besides me?

Col 2:16 “Let no (un-regenerated 1Cor 6:3) man therefore judge you in meat, or in drink, or in respect of an (3) holyday, or of the (2) new moon, or of the (1) sabbath days: 17 Which are (present tense) a shadow of things to come (future tense); but the body of Messiah.

The true “Body of Messiah” (1Jn.2:3-6; Rev.14:12; 22:14) is to weigh in on these issues … the ‘family’ is to ‘judge’ (correctly assess) the ‘family’ concerning ‘family’ issues. [Agreed.] Lunarists try to mandate a regimen that always has the ‘new moon’ after the (28th or) 29th day of the preceding month (4th ‘Sabbath’ – their ‘7th day be it Mon., Tues., Wed., etc of an particular month’) … obviously there cannot be ‘six working days’ between these days … Ever! (the last/4th Sabbath of the Lunarist’s 29th day (including the 28th day variety) of the month and the Lunarist’s ‘New Moon’).

[Lunarists strictly observe seven day weeks. It is Bro. Perry who is demanding that everyone include new moon days in the work week; YHVH does not. And it is Bro. Perry who thinks that because Lunar Sabbath keepers do not include the new moon days in the week that the 1-2 new moon days at the end of the month must therefore create an 8 or 9 day week. This is simply not true. It is not our fault that YHVH did not include the new moon days in the work week, nor is it our fault that David L. Perry is too blind, stiff-necked or unteachable to recognize this.] We know (in normal tho’) that the 1st date/day of the Month is not (in most cases) the first day of the week (i.e. Julian Calendar ‘Sunday’), further that 1/1 (January 1- even Abib 1) can be on any day of the week (whether counted/called 1st, 2nd, 3rd or M, T, W, etc.) in any particular year. [Naturally, he says all this, but offers no evidence that these days can fall wherever.]

Lunarists teach that there can be a 1 or 2 day ‘new moon’ that is ‘not counted’ as weekdays (monthly ‘0’ weekday ‘void’ day/s) hence the Lunarist 7th day of the week Sabbath can actually be (in luni’s) the 8th day/date of the month ergo their lun’ist 7th day count of the Sabbath from ‘New Moon’ dates is (1nm), 8th, 15th, 22nd, 29th or (0, 1nm), 8th, 15th, 22nd, 29th depending on which month; with ‘New Moon’ the very next day. [This is kind of true. New moons are not void days, just not week days. They are very much a part of the month and year.] A 2 or 3 day consecutive ‘sabbath’ in the sense of ‘rest’ i.e. the cessation of servile work ‘conjuncting’ every Sabbath end of the month with every ‘new moon’ start of the/every next month, which is plainly not in Torah or Scripture.
Who said new moon days were rest days? Some in the lunar camp initially believed this, but not after examining the evidence. They are days when the shofar is blown, a day that includes a set-apart convocation and certain “non-commerce” labor performed. I’m thinking that new moon days were days off from regular work where a family could catch up on chores around the house, volunteer to work on the tabernacle/temple or take off and go on a picnic, something they would not have time for during the regular work week, and/or perhaps not appropriate for Sabbath.

In any other 7 day succession [like their (Lunar) Sabbath 15th]... the preceding Sabbath was 8 days prior i.e. 15th, 14 th, 13 th, 12 th, 11 th, 10 th, 9 th, 8 th ... But the Lun’ists play this game that 8 days prior to their 7th day (8th monthly date) reason takes a holiday so that 8 days prior to their (Lunar) Sabbath 7th day is a ‘0’/zero non-count day of the week ... a magical ... sub-extra-un-natural week that never occurs in either Testament. [Another false statement. See end note 4.] They say the word ... use the name ‘New Moon’ as some kind of ‘end-all-wand’ to excuse this untenable message.

Me thinks the pot again calleth the kettle black. Here is a calendar like the Gregorian calendar Bro. Perry observes. And please note that from one Sabbath to another is also 8 days. Watch now...

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14 – 13 – 12 – 11 – 10 – 9 – 8 – 7

That’s right Bro. Perry, from the 8th to the 15th is 8 days inclusive. I’m still scratching my head that you have earned a Th.D and can’t figure out that it is the same from satyrday to satyrday. The Sabbath following Tabernacles (the 22nd of the 7th month) is actually CALLED the 8th day, naturally.

OK, now on the Creation Calendar. Please count from new moon day to the 8th day of the month. Is this not also 8 days? If this is magical, or untenable, I can’t for the life of me think of why.

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is here that I will assert and expand on a decisive observation that others have made as well. Ex.16 is where the Ancient Israelite Slaves started to receive ‘daily’ manna. The caveat/proviso was that on the 6th day they were to gather the double so as to not ‘work’ on the Sabbath, directly because of/as to not transgress i.e. ‘sin’ against the Covenant/Commandment. There is no Command-ment ‘New Moon’ caveat/proviso to gather the triple ... 3 days worth (6th, 7th, nm) or joy of joys ... the quadruple ... 4 days worth (6th, 7th, nm, nm). This is the cycle they kept for 40 long years ... So if you are besieged by (or considering) ... ask your resident or local Lunarists; exactly when were they to gather for these extra non-workdays they espouse everyone to convert to? (And while you’re at it, ask them to draw out their lunar Calendar with both lunar dates and days of the week paying close attention to how they end one month going into the next, especially to what they don’t show you.)
I’m sure Bro. Perry thinks he has Lunarists over a barrel and that we should be quivering with concern. But that’s not going to happen today. Satyrday Sabbatarians have tried to use the story of the manna as “proof” that the Lunar Sabbath is a false teaching for some time. They say the narrative in Exodus 16 offers no instruction for Israel to pick up manna (or not) during their 40 years in the wilderness. These same folks admit that the new moons were observed, but because there is no mention of them in conjunction with the falling of the manna, they say that this proves that the seven day weeks are not interrupted by the new moon days and therefore, manna MUST have fallen on the new moon days. In the same breath, these same well meaning folks insist that the days of the week go 1-2-3-4-5-6-7 uninterrupted all the way back to Creation, and with no evidence other than our present Gregorian calendar and their tradition, say that Satyrday IS that seventh day.

Let me propose that this is not the case—for several reasons. (1) When Israel was walking in the Torah, they always observed the same lunar-solar calendar established in Genesis 1. (2) With this calendar, the days of new moon are described as a third category of day in Ezekiel 46:1, II Kings 4:23, Isaiah 66:23 and Amos 8:5. (Test 1) Since new moon is a third category of day (neither a work day nor Sabbath) elsewhere in Scripture, then it was also a third category of day during Israel’s wilderness sojourn. (3) By insisting that Israel gathered manna on new moon days during their wilderness sojourn, they are adding to Torah, in violation of Deuteronomy 4:2. Scripture does not say whether Israel gathered or ate manna on new moon days, so they can only speculate. Where Scripture is silent, silence (on our part) is golden. (4) Satyrday is only the seventh day of the week by virtue of Emperor Constantine. In 321 A.D., he venerated the day of the sun which moved satyrday from its coveted position as the first day of the week to the seventh day of the week.

Historically, Israel fasted on new moon days. Says Hutton Webster, “the establishment of a periodic week ending in a Sabbath observed every seventh day was doubtless responsible for the gradual obsolescence of the new moon festival as a period of general abstinence, since with continuous weeks the new-moon day and the Sabbath Day would from time to time coincide” (Rest Days., p. 255).

“Each new moon was celebrated with a fast” (Jewish Family Celebrations, p. 35).

And we find the following: “the sacred festival of the new moon, which the people give notice of with trumpets, and the day of fasting, on which abstinence from all meats and drinks is enjoined . . .” (Philo, Book 26, XXX, 159). (Test 1 and 6) Philo lived in the first century A.D.

I find it interesting that science has shown that fasting once a month is great for your health. Satyrday Sabbath keepers who have knowledge of the health message should jump up and shout, but they won’t because they must also admit defeat regarding the Lunar Sabbath and manna issue.

The land Sabbaths were important enough to the Father to cause Israel to go into captivity for 70 years in Babylon for NOT observing them. These land Sabbaths were also in 7 year sequences (like the days of the week). Israel could sow and reap for the 6 years, but on the land Sabbath, they were to abstain. The Father caused a triple portion of produce to be harvested on the 6th year to provide food for Israel during the 6th year, the 7th year (land Sabbath) and the first year of the subsequent 7 year sequence, since there was no sowing/reaping during the 7th year land Sabbath. Also, there was neither sowing, nor reaping during the year of Jubilee. So the 48th year of the Jubilee cycle had to provide produce for FOUR years (the 48th, 49th, 50th and the first year of the subsequent Jubilee cycle).
**My point:** Just because there is nothing said about eating manna on new moon days during the 40 year wilderness sojourn does not prove a thing. This in no wise disproves the Creation Calendar. Actually, the Jubilee cycle proves just the opposite. The day(s) of new moon interrupt(s) the weeks, and the 50th year interrupts the seven 7 year counts, it cannot double as the first year of the following Jubilee cycle because you can sow and reap on the first year of each land Sabbath, Leviticus 25:3. Israel apparently fasted on new moon, and in similar fashion, no one could sow and reap during the 50th year of Jubilee, Leviticus 25:11. New moon days are a third category of day; the year of Jubilee is a third category of year. It is neither one of the six year of sowing/reaping, nor is it a 7th year land Sabbath. (Test 1)

There is always perfect harmony in the truth. The Spirit of YHVH is 100% in revealing the present truths of YHVH. That same Spirit is also 100% in destroying the vain doctrines of carnal men.

So the Ancient Israelite Slaves just quietly toughed it out and starved a couple of days every month for 40 + years and at that, during the ‘Tanakh’ prophets and writings right down to the time of Yahshua; that He and the Apostles forgot to mention? Yeah Right! The Ancient Israelites and the Slave fathers before them complained about everything else … where is the complaints about this monthly starving? Why doesn’t any body know of this monthly starving today? [It seems that only staunch satyrday sabbatarians are the only ones that don’t know about Israel fasting on new moon days. It’s not like this has been a secret or anything.] The Orthodox don’t accept Yahshua or the New Testament and are therefore under the same (supposed) ‘no work’ stats identical to that as the ‘freed’ Egyptian Slave fathers of Ex.16. None of us that are Torah Observant are to ‘work’ on a non-work day. On preparation, do Lunarists actually prepare the triple or more? Note; some try to say <mishneh> at Ex.16:22 can mean more than 2 (as in double portion) it overwhelmingly does not.

Starved huh? Are we to suppose that Bro. Perry has never fasted a day in his life? Moses survived for 40 days and 40 nights on Mt. Sinai without food. The man from Galilee survived the same thing in the wilderness. What, do you suppose that these tough, work-hardened “slaves” who had just worked their behinds off for the last 400+ years under the harshest treatment and the bleakest of conditions could not survive for TWO days without food? Come now, let us reason together.

Which brings up this observation, Israel and Judah were continually apostatizing from and returning to being observant. Starving every month would certainly encourage its abandonment. [Presumptive nit picking does not present well from a Th.D. does it?] Not reckoning time as the other nations would again certainly encourage its abandonment. [Israel was surrounded by heathers and all manner of idolatry. I don’t recall YHVH asking them to blend in, do you?] Why is there no evidence of this type of lamenting ever in the entire Bible to go back to this abandoned Lunarist calendar? [It is not my fault that Israel either did not complain about fasting on new moon, or if they did, the penmen of Scripture were not inspired to include it. The fact is that they did. Relax.] The later Roman occupation, before and during the time of Yahshua, would not have acquiesced to such an obtuse reckoning of calendar time, from the confusion it would cause alone … plenty for the Jews to lament over … Yahshua was all about correcting back to Torah values (Jn.7:16) but not one Old Testament or New Testament word from Him or anybody else about lamenting over any aspect of ‘new moons’ abandon or broken lunist calendar. Why? … Just an oversight? [Here’s a novel idea. Just stop fretting about it. We have evidence, you don’t. I should think you would be used to this by now.] Openly this lun’ist calendar, in the way the ‘Lunarists’ tell it is a ‘vain imagination’ … it is a ‘fable’ (Titus 1:14) that is no where to be found in the record, when fully accounted for, though wrenched, contrived and adamantly attested to, it is just simply not there [Is that so?]; actually being fashioned from the Babylonians. Many things were lost and added by this captivity, including the pagan fertility names of the lunar moonths. [A true statement—giving credit where credit is due.]
Lastly; from your New Testament there is a ‘coupe de gras’/ ‘slam dunk’ refute of both 28 day and 29 day ‘Lunar Sabbath’ varieties. John 7:37 identifies Yahshua at the ‘last great day’ (an Annual Sabbath) attached to the Feast of <Sukkot> Tabernacles (Lev.23:39 see <ak> “also”). This ‘day’ from Torah is the 22nd day of the 7th month i.e. the ‘8th day’ (i.e. ‘last great day’) attached to the 7 day ‘feast’ that started on the 15th (Lev.23:34-39) itself also an Annual Sabbath. John’s account identifies the end of this ‘last great day’ at v:53. John then picks up in the next day starting at John 8:2; this is the same day that Yahshua healed a blind man (John 9:6-7). This ‘same day’ after the ‘last great day’ (the 22nd) is now the orthodox lunar 23rd and is identified at John 9:14 as the weekly 7th Day Sabbath. This summarily/effectively eliminates the reoccurring monthly 21st and 22nd ‘Sabbath’ cycle claim respectively of both the 28 day and the 29 day month Lunar proponents. We must also realize that this “one with {the all knowing} Father (John 1:1; 10:30); ‘eternal’ Yahshua (Jn.8:58) knew that this ‘Johnny-come-lately’ wind of doctrine would appear and would have to be dealt with. Finally; beyond being more than irrefutable … Here is a proof that involves the words of Yahshua the Messiah Himself and the events surrounding His crucifixion. Yahshua Messiah did say;

This pops up from time to time as if it is some new idea. It’s not. Been there, disproved that. Here it is again: From John 7:2 to John 9:14 you can read the whole narrative; here is a short commentary…

7:37 – Yahshua spoke during the last great day (John 7:2 says this was the feast of Tabernacles.)

7:43-53 – There was contention between the people and the religious authorities. After which everyone went home.

8:1 – Yahshua went to the Mount of Olives.

I was taught that the eighth day of the Feast, Tishri 22, is the last great day of Tabernacles. Scripture apparently does not teach this. Leviticus 23:34-36 says that Tabernacles begins on the 15th day of the seventh month and is a seven day feast. Count the days… 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

The 8th day was a holy convocation, a day added to the 7 day feast. The 8th day would be the 22nd day of the 7th month. The last “great” day of a SEVEN day feast is the 21st day of the 7th month. The 8th day was NOT part of Tabernacles because Israel did not have to dwell in their booths on the 8th day, Leviticus 23:42. It was just the day following Tabernacles no different than Passover is the day preceding Unleavened Bread. Let’s see what Scripture calls the day after the last day of the seven day Feast of Tabernacles. Watch now…

8:2 – The next day (the 22nd of the month), Yahshua returned to the temple. (Why? We shall soon see…) where another lengthy debate took place…

8:59 – …After which Yahshua was nearly stoned, but he passed through…

9:1 – as Yahshua left the temple, He passed by a blind man….read John 9:14:

Now it was the Sabbath when Yahshua made the clay and opened his eyes.

This proves that the 22nd day of the 7th month was and is the weekly Sabbath, just like it says in Leviticus 23. Yahshua returned to the temple because it was the Sabbath. A weekly Sabbath, not an annual Sabbath. And Yahshua attested to this fact--without protest, I might add.
Yahshua, his disciples and all of Israel observed this Sabbath, not as part of the seven day feast, but as the EIGHTH day. The “eighth day” is used as a Hebrew idiom for the weekly Sabbath in some instances in Scripture.

What is complicated about this? It is merely reading the verses and using simple addition. Why do you think that the last “great” day of a seven day feast is the day following the last day of the feast? There are seven cans of soda in a row. If I were to ask you to hand me the last can of soda, are you going to hand me the box of Cracker Jacks instead?

Bro. Perry, is this the legacy you wish to leave your readers?

Mt.12:40 “For as Jonah was three days and three nights in the whale’s belly; so shall the Son of man be three days and three nights in the heart of the earth.”

It is the hermeneutics of Hebrew (having no modern punctuation) that when an issue is restated it is being stressed; similar in use to our exclamation mark. [Agreed.] It is apparent that Messiah was crucified on Passover; on the 14th day of the lunar month of ‘Abib’. His body had to be taken down and entombed before the Sabbath [See comment #1 below.] … this particular Sabbath was an “High Day” (John 19:31); meaning it was not the weekly Sabbath; it was the 1st day of the Feast of Unleavened Bread; an Annual Sabbath (Lev.23:6-8). [A normal weekly Sabbath was just that, a weekly Sabbath of rest. When an annual Sabbath and weekly Sabbath coincided, these were called high days. This happened EVERY year. For example, the 15th day of Abib and the 15th day of the seventh month always fall on the weekly Sabbath.] Note being ‘Annual’ in normal thought and application can fall on any ‘day’ of the week of a given year. [So Perry says, but cannot prove.] Rejecting the ‘Catholic Easter Story’ that can not produce “three days and three nights”; most (if not all) Messianic agree that this Passover and crucifixion was on a ‘4th Day’ (i.e. Wed.), [Oh my, the agreeing Messianics mean that something is true, in spite of the evidence to the contrary? See comment #2 below.] with the next day ‘High Day Sabbath’ Yahshua being in the tomb before this ‘5th Day’ (i.e. Thurs.). The Gen. ‘even to even’ “day” would dictate Yahshua’s body down from the ‘cross/stake’, in the garden tomb on the tail end of that ‘4th Day’ (i.e. Wed.), just before the ‘5th Day’ (i.e. Thurs.). This reckoning insures a late ‘7th day’ (i.e. Sat.) “three days and three nights” resurrection; as it came to the ‘1st day’ or the dawning of the week. This chronology necessitates that this resurrection on this weekly ‘7th day’ (i.e. Sat.) ‘Sabbath’ was at the close of/on Abib 17th. [I am amazed that he makes this admission. It is quite true that the resurrection would have had to have occurred at sunset on the 17th. The problem with that is the NT disproves this theory. See comment #3 below.] To appeal to any other reckoning is to violate Messiah’s own words and parameters of “three days and three nights” going back to the “Sun Rise” ‘Catholic Easter Story’

Who said anything about three days and three nights in the grave/tomb? 5

Comment #1: Luke 23:54 is the nail in the coffin as far as the NT texts go. The translators wrote “As the Sabbath drew on” referring to the time right before Yahshua was entombed, but a Strong’s concordance will tell you that the phrase “drew on” in the Greek means “to grow light.” This proves that the Sabbath (and every day of the week) begins at dawn, not sunset, but I digress…
Face it friends, it took a great deal of time took to bury the man from Galilee… He was on the stake at about 9:00 a.m. (third hour of the day). It was dark from noon until 3 p.m. (the sixth to the ninth hours). Then when even was come, Joseph of Arimathaea requested the body. In context, Joseph did not ask for the body until sunset, not shortly before as we have been taught, but sunset…

And now when the even WAS come, because it was the preparation, that is, the day before the sabbath, Joseph of Arimathaea, an honorable counselor, which also waited for the kingdom of YHVH, came, and went in boldly unto Pilate, and craved the body. Mark 15:42-43

If “even was come” and it still was not the Sabbath, then the days do not begin/end at sunset (even). So perhaps the days begin/end at NIGHT. Actually, one is forced to recognize dark as the beginning of the day in this model just to get 30-45 minutes (the approximate time from sundown to dark) to work with, because Joseph did not even ask for the body until it was already sunset. Please examine the events and ask yourself is it POSSIBLE for all the below events to occur in 30-45 minutes time?

Joseph went to Pilate when even was come already. Did he gain an immediate audience with Pilate at that time? What bureaucrat is available the moment you get to his office? Later, Pilate, who did not believe that Yahshua was dead, summoned the Centurion in charge to inquire of him (Mark 15:44-45). [Crucifixion normally took several days to kill the condemned.] The Centurion in charge was back at the site of the crucifixion. Now, how far was it from Pilate’s office to Golgotha? Let’s assume about 5 minutes. So from sunset, we have Joseph’s 5 minute walk to Pilate’s office, then an unknown amount of time to gain an audience with Pilate, several minutes pleading with Pilate, then a messenger had to be dispatched to Golgotha (another 5 minutes) and the centurion then returns to Pilate’s office (another 5 minutes, plus the time to interrogate the centurion. Now, once permission was granted, Joseph had to return to the hill (another 5 minutes). They did not have cars and still had to get back to Calvary before dark (in an evening to evening scenario). Joseph might have waited 20 minutes just to gain an audience and we have 20 minutes of travel time back and forth between Golgotha. We have just lost nearly all of our allotted time, add the pleading and interrogation time, and we’ve lost all of it. No wonder Nicodemus and Joseph were in a hurry.

Here is a full size man nailed to a stake. He was “dead weight” and not one bone in his body was to be broken. So, they had to rig up some sort of scaffolding so several people could climb up and UNnail him, and let him down gently. If it was a stake (and not affixed to a real tree as some scholars believe), then they could dig the thing up and with ropes and scaffolding and let it down gently. Friends, I don’t care HOW you slice it, you just ran out of time. It says that there was a tomb near by; it says nothing about a Tru-Value hardware store or lumber yard. Even if there were ladders already at the scene, it would require several men to ascend them, undo the ropes and/or pull the nails then extract the body without slipping themselves or dropping the deceased. Are they going to make it before Sabbath begins? Only a few minutes remained before dark when Joseph returned to Golgotha.

The bad news: we are nowhere NEAR done with the events that supposedly took place before dark when evening is truly over. Nicodemus brought about 100 lbs of spices that we assume were lovingly wrapped about the body of the deceased, not dumped and wrapped in 5 minutes time. How long did it take to roll the great stone that covered the entrance of the tomb? Luke 23:55-56 says that the women in his life came and observed where the men lay his body then they went back home and prepared MORE spices BEFORE the Sabbath. Luke 23:56-24:1 says they went home and PREPARED these additional spices THEN rested according to the commandment. Where on earth did the get the time to obey of the commandment if the Sabbath started at dark (at the very latest)? The “even to even” Sabbath theory is already shot all to kingdom come.
Once dead, the preparation of the body was a rather serious matter. They were respectful of the dead, and took their time wrapping and adding the spices with great care. What makes perfect sense is that when it says the Sabbath drew on that it meant the following morning, because, clearly, there are several HOURS worth of work to do AFTER Joseph begged for the man’s body. And the Greek in Luke 23:54 actually says that it was growing light when the Sabbath was about to begin.

Comment #2: So, the Messianics agree that the crucifixion took place on a w-day. Too bad they did not consult Scripture or YHVH before coming to this agreement. I should rather be a minority of one standing on the truth than part of a majority drowning in error.

Honest truth seekers will have already spotted the problem…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the 17th of Abib is a weekly Sabbath, then so is the 10th of Abib, and Abib 10 is a commanded work-commerce day, when the Passover lamb was commanded to be procured. If you did not own one already, you had to buy one. There is no such thing as “Holy” commerce, and there is no postponement instructions to buy these lambs on either the 9th or 11th just in case the 10th fell on a weekly Sabbath. The reason for this lack of instructions is that Abib 10 NEVER fell on a Sabbath. Every month the calendar looked something like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The commanded work days in the month of Abib (the 10th, 14th, and 16th) destroy every man-made calendar idea I’ve had thrown at me by satyrists. These days will NEVER fall on the weekly Sabbath. Based on all the hoops Bro. Perry and the Messianics have had to jump through to come to their false conclusion, one might wonder if they would rather climb a tree and tell a lie than to stand on the ground and tell the truth?

Comment #3. Let’s assume for a moment that the remark about the sign of Jonah WAS Prophetic, the prophecy was for 3 Days and 3 Nights (in that order). And remember, order is everything in a prophecy. It is one way to determine if it was a false prophecy or a false fulfillment. If the man from Galilee was buried at even (at sunset) on the 14th, then he was in the tomb for 3 nights and 3 days, not 3 day and 3 nights. (14th n, 15th d/n, 16th d/n, 17th d) This would be a false fulfillment, right? And by forcing a 3 day/3 night prophecy onto this passage (and applying it to a calendar) he would have been raised at sunset on the 17th, the alleged seventh day sabbath, not at dawn the first day. But in order to be a full 72 hours in the grave, that is the end result. According to the NT record, he was resurrected on the first day of the week, not the seventh (Matthew 28:1, Mark 16:2, Luke 24:1 and John 20:1), one of the few things that every gospel writer wrote about in agreement.
Again this proof of this particular weekly Sabbath on the 17th of any month (especially this month) shreds the untenable claims of both brands of ‘Lunarists’; respectively ‘always’ producing their 2nd weekly Sabbath of the month to be on the 14th … the 15th respectively.  [Now I really am laughing. “Proof,” he says. LOL. I did not see any proof. I saw a lot of calendar corruption presented that withered under the spotlight of close scrutiny.] Even if you chose to reckon a Sun. morn resurrection this would then be the 18th of Abib “three days and three nights” still producing this Sabbath on the 17th. Similarly; if you chose a ‘5th Day’ (i.e. Thurs.) Passover/Crucifixion as the 14th it would still produce this weekly Sabbath on the 16th still revealing the ‘Lunarists’ error in ‘class action’ across the board.

Wow, talk about waffling. Oh yeah, one more thing. Bro. Perry used Wed, Thurs, Sat, and Sun several times in the previous two paragraphs, applying them to the crucifixion. Wed and Thurs were not on the first century Roman calendar, nor were Wed, Thurs, Sat, or Sun. recognized by Israel. These were the names of pagan gods, which the covenant prohibits us from taking upon our lips (Exodus 23:13). Again I have to wonder that David Perry believes that the modern Gregorian calendar goes not only back to the crucifixion, but all the way back to Creation, even though it is only 430+ years old. A real head-scratcher.

Further; John 19:31 reveals it an impossibility for Yahshua to have been crucified ‘on’ the Sabbath. [Naturally.] This alone eliminates the 7th, 14th, 21st, 28th ‘Lunarists’ claim, for his body had to be taken down ‘before’ the Sabbath any Sabbath. [Indeed, and in our calendar model, there was time to take him off the tree and be in the ground before the Sabbath began, as well as rise on the first day. The satyrists can’t even come up with a scenario where he is resurrected at dawn of the first day, which the NT record demands.] Similarly; the claims of the 8th, 15th, 22nd, 29th ‘Lunarists’ variety evaporates upon the realization that the 15th of Abib is always the 1st day of the Feast of Unleavened Bread; [Agreed.] an Annual Sabbath (Lev.23:6-8) [Of course.] this would leave no choice but to agree that this day after the crucifixion was this said same ‘High Day Sabbath’ of John 19:31 [Absolutely.] producing the weekly Sabbath on a day outside the confines of their own ‘handy-dandy’ ‘precisely calculated’ ‘can be no other way’ parameters. [In what way? See the calendar on the previous page. The 15th is the weekly Sabbath, followed by wavesheaf on the 16th, just where Scripture and Josephus put it.] Either this or they will have to violate the only ‘sign’ that Yahshua ‘stressed’ to ever give to this ‘perverse’ generation (Mt 12:39-40). Actually this verse says “evil and adulterous” which these ‘Lunarists’ own every inch of; breaching the Covenant 7th Day Sabbath ‘bond sign’ (Ex.31:13) and the Annual Appointed Times; evangelizing this schizophrenic lunasty vandalizing the Torah instruction, the Torah and semi-Torah observant or those unstudied/uninitiated duping the lot to believe this convoluted, untenable, merit-less, asinine, catawampus, blatantly obvious obtuse reckoning of the ‘Lunars’.

I am honored. I have never been in the presence of the “greatest ____” or “most ____”. But I can now say that I have truly been in the presence of the most pleasant and agreeable man on the planet.

David L. Perry has tried to establish the pagan/papal calendar he observes as the one in Scripture (and failed miserably, I might add), then tries to force the Creation Calendar to hold up to that standard. He assumes the prerogative to demand that the crucifixion was on a w-day (a day that did not exist in the first century) the tries to force the 15th day of Abib (the first day and Sabbath of Unleavened Bread) to fall on what he calls a Thurs (another day that did not exist in the first century). To add to his multitude of errors, Perry then says that we are unstudied, asinine, schizophrenics who can’t figure out that his calendar idea forces a weekly Sabbath on Abib 17—when Abib 10 obliterates his entire theory.
Pardon the personal observation, but I am not the least bit impressed.

Pardon the personal observation; I’m sorry but clearly this ‘Lunar’ giant wears a size #3 hat.

Perhaps, but he’s using every brain cell available to him. I can’t say the same for all the satyrists.

To those that champion or would consider enacting this Lunar Sabbath error; I directly Exhort and Implore you to return to the Sabbaths of the Covenant. [I agree. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we’ll leave the light on for you.] Until you do; by scripture I have but one choice. I now wash my hands of your sin (Mk.6:11), your blood (Ezk.33:6) and your awaiting judgment (Heb.10:29).

Fair enough. Everything said, done and implied here are recorded in heaven. We can both be wrong, but we both can’t be right, so unless one of us repents and recants, we shall never be neighbors in the Kingdom.

Let Yahweh’s Word be true and every man a liar. (Rom.3:4)

I could not have said it better.

I would very much prefer that all things dealt with and conveyed could be pleasant and of good report, of agreement and love, but the reality is we are not there yet [Really? It seems odd that I don’t recall the carpenter of Nazareth carrying on like you have here. When he came up against a false doctrine or hypocrite religious leaders, he spoke the truth. He quoted Scripture that put them in their place. He pointed his followers and detractors BACK to Torah. He did everything that David L. Perry did not do.] … divisive doctrines (like this) will not let us be there yet … we are in a spiritual battle; [The truth is a divisive issue, Matthew 10:34-36 and Hebrews 4:12. What did you expect? Everyone to just accept every word that proceedeth from your mouth?] with salvation on the line; there are, will and will continue to be captives. Do not get in that line. [The truth sets the captives free.] The closer you get to and seek to enact the truth the more fierce the battle becomes. [That’s odd; I’ve found just the opposite to be true. I’m fighting in Yah’s Army. I believe this is the winning team. Every truth I have accepted has brought me more peace. Whose team are you playing for?] The shifting winds of doctrines are becoming more proliferic, diverse and fierce directly because of the loss, ignoring, reassigning, twisting, the willful release/relinquishing of foundational information as we draw closer to the end of the age. [Indeed, there is a foundation of truth. The problem is that we all build different things on these foundations. But a poorly constructed edifice on a good foundation will fall. Those of you in the jury have just witnesses such a collapse.] The One we are to know very much cares what we know … that we even care to know and what we do with what we know. He has given us His Truth to know what is not true … this too is the love of Yah.

I do plead with you; for if you refuse to love the truth … you will believe the lie (2Thss.2:10-11).

And now you’ve all witnessed the truth of this statement.


In His grip,

Troy Miller
www.CreationCalendar.com
End notes:

1) “The Babylonian calendar was a lunisolar calendar. The Babylonian day began at sunset, and each month notionally began with the first rising of the crescent moon; in essence, a Babylonian month was a synodic lunar month, represented as a 29 or 30 day month. Contemporary records show that the start of the month was actually determined by observation of the new moon wherever possible, or by prediction if not.”

   www.tyndale.cam.ac.uk/Egypt/ptolemies/chron/babylonian/chron_bab_cal.htm

“The months began at the first visibility of the New Moon, and in the 8th century BC court astronomers still reported this important observation to the Assyrian kings.

“Thus, the Babylonian calendar until the end preserved a vestige of the original bipartition of the natural year into two seasons, just as the Babylonian months to the end remained truly lunar and began when the New Moon was first visible in the evening. The day began at sunset. … The Jewish adoption of Babylonian calendar customs dates from the period of the Babylonian Exile in the 6th century BC.”

   http://www.ancienthistoricalsociety.org/CalendarsBabylonian.html

Test 1 and 6

2) Torah observers very astutely (and correctly) say that when the words Commandments, Statutes, and Judgments appear in the same passage of Scripture that they cannot be referring to the same thing. These are the three separate divisions in YHVH’s codified law, there are not just two (Moral and ceremonial) as is commonly taught. The penmen of these passages were not saying, Ten Commandments, Ten Commandments, and Ten Commandments. The two divisions of the law were invented to stem the tide of the Reformation and is the work of Catholic Scholar, Thomas Aquinas.

That said, please consider the following: When work days, new moon days and Sabbath days appear in the same passage, is it ever possible for these days to concurrently occupy the same 24 hour period of time, or do these days fall into three separate categories?

It is of note that the 8 or so passages that mention the feast days, new moons and Sabbaths are listing them comparatively, as they are similar in nature; they are all appointed times. However, the passages below that mention the different types of days contrast the work days as being very different from the worship days, unequal to the new moon or Sabbath days. They are not similar in nature.

   Such is the Sovereign YHVH; The gate of the inner court that looketh toward the east shall be shut the six working days; but on the Sabbath it shall be opened, and in the day of the new moon it shall be opened. Ezekiel 46:1, see also verse 3.

What happens if new moon falls on a Tuesday (on man’s calendar)? Is the gate open or shut? There is no right answer. The solution is that the new moon NEVER falls on a work day because it is NOT a work day. It is a third category of day. The gate is closed on all SIX work days. This passage in Ezekiel is the only one in Scripture that mentions all three types of days in the same passage, but the following passages very clearly imply that the work days are separate from the new moon days and Sabbaths.

In context of the passage, it was during a work day that the Shunammite woman’s husband asked?

   Wherefore wilt thou go to him to day? it is neither new moon, nor Sabbath. II Kings 4:23
Future work days will also be observed as separate from the worship days: *And it shall come to pass, that from one new moon to another, and from one Sabbath to another, shall all flesh come to worship before me, saith YHVH.* **Isaiah 66:23** [Worship days are not work days.]

... *When will the new moon be gone, that we may sell corn? and the Sabbath, that we may set forth wheat, making the ephah small, and the shekel great, and falsifying the balances by deceit?* **Amos 8:5**

These wicked retailers were seeking to set out their “goods” on the appropriate days, the six working days.

I’ve just applied Tests 1 and 2. The New Moon is not a weekly Sabbath; a new moon day is a non-commerce day; a commerce day is not a worship day. The Sabbath and new moons are worship days. Do the math—you will discover that there are 3 separate categories of days in YHVH’s calendar: new moon days, work days, and Sabbaths. Since Scripture indicates that these days cannot take place at the same time, do not overlap or share the same space, then we need to rework our understanding of the calendar. Clearly, the Gregorian calendar has not been ordained by YHVH. No true doctrine will lose anything by close examination.

3) Applying Test 1. “Here is a paradox . . . A most ingenious paradox: an anthropological fact, many Christians may have much more Hebrew-Israelite blood in their veins than most of their Jewish neighbors.” *Jewish author, Alfred M. Lilienthal, What Price Israel, p. 223.*

The 1980 Jewish Almanac, p. 3, in the first chapter entitled, “*Identity Crisis*” said… “Strictly speaking, it is incorrect to call an ancient Israelite a ‘Jew’ or to call a contemporary Jew and ‘Israelite’ or a ‘Hebrew.’”

A percentage of the people known today as Jews are descendants of Abraham, but not of Isaac and Jacob. History reveals that they are actually the descendants of the fierce Turkish, Khazar tribes which roamed regions of southern Russia and who adopted Judaism between 7th and 9th centuries A.D.

“No one can deny that the Jews are a most unique and unusual people. **That uniqueness exists because of their Edomite heritage.** You cannot be English Jews. We are a race, and only as a race can we perpetuate. **Our mentality is of Edomitish character,** and differs from that of an Englishman. Enough subterfuges! Let us assert openly that we are International Jews.” *Manifesto of the “World Jewish Federation,” January 1, 1935, through their spokesman, Gerald Soman.* [Emphasis my own.]

From the above, we can clearly see that the Jews fully understand their Edomite heritage and as the third edition of the *Jewish Encyclopedia* for 1925 records:

“Chazars [Khazars]: A people of Turkish origin whose life and history are interwoven with the very beginnings of the history of the Jews of Russia. The kingdom of the Chazars was firmly established in most of South Russia long before the foundation of the Russian monarchy by the Varangians.” (855).

“Edom is modern Jewry.” —*The Jewish Encyclopedia, 1925 edition, Vol.5, p.41*

“Today, the Khazars are known as ‘Jews,’ not because of any racial question, but because of their religion.” *(Jewish historian, Arthur Koestler, The Thirteenth Tribe, New York: Random House, Inc. 1976)*
Hasdai ibn Shaprut, who was foreign minister to Abd-al-Rahman, Sultan of Cordova, in his letter to King Joseph of the Chazars (about 960 AD) speaks of the tradition according to which the Chazars once dwelt near the Seir Mountains. The ‘Seir Mountains’ are none other than the original land of Esau-Edom - ‘Thus dwelt Esau in mount Seir: Esau is Edom’ (Genesis 36:8). Seir was a mountain range sought of the Dead Sea and was also known as the ‘land of Edom’ (Genesis 36:21). The Seir Mountains were the home of the Edomites for nearly a millennium (The Edomites arrived in Edom or Seir at the end of the 14th and beginning of the 13th century B.C. Encyclopedia Judaica, Vol. 6, p. 372). Thus we have it from Jewish sources that the Khazars originally ‘dwelt near the Seir Mountains’ so are racially of Edomite stock, not Israelite. But how and when did Edomites get to Khazaria? There is evidence that in the 6th century BC, some of the Edomites fled their homeland of Seir and migrated north, ‘After the fall of Jerusalem, in 586 BC, the Edomites began to press northward (Ezekiel 36:5). The New Westminster Dictionary of the Bible, ed. by Henry S. Gehman, The Westminster Press, Philadelphia, 1970, p. 418.” (The Jewish Encyclopedia, Vol. IV, (1905), p. 3).

Koestler also wrote that during the Middle Ages, they lost the name Khazar and became known as Jews. (The Thirteenth Tribe, New York: Random House, Inc. 1976, pp. 152-161)

4) There is a two day new moon in the NT:

Acts 20:5-7. Paul took five days to get to Troas after the last day of Unleavened Bread, which is Abib 21. So he arrived on the 26th day of Abib then stayed 7 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These going before tarried for us at Troas.
And we sailed away from Philippi after the days of unleavened bread, and came unto them to Troas in five days; where we abode seven days.
And upon the first day of the week, when the disciples came together to break bread, Paul preached unto them, ready to depart on the morrow; and continued his speech until midnight. Acts 20:5-7

The only way for Paul to arrive in Troas on the 26th, be in Troas for 7 days and speak until midnight of the first day of the week ready to depart on the morrow is if there is a two day new moon. Day 30 and day 1 (the 2 days of a 2 day new moon celebration) would not be counted against the week. Look above and count ‘em: 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 1, 2 (that’s seven days) as he was going to depart on the morrow, and day 2 MUST be the first day of the week in order for this to work. This passage is a stumbling block for the Gregorian calendar as there is no way to “create” or force the end of the 7 day stay to fall on the first day of the week successfully. If you think of a way, go ahead and try. I will await your assessment.

I’ve seen some try this little trick to force their conclusion, but it blows up. They force the Calendar of Creation into the Gregorian mold. The month of Abib is in blue, the second month is in black…
This is their little trick. They force Passover to fall on the pagan w-day. And if this is done, then from the last day of Unleavened Bread (Abib 21) Paul took 5 days to arrive in Troas (Abib 26), where he abode 7 days (26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 1, 2) and spoke the night of the first day of the week, ready to depart on the morrow. It fits, right? Do you see the problem? Do you remember Abib 10, that commanded work/commerce day? Passover lambs MUST be set aside or bought on Abib 10. There is not a single calendar machination of man that the Calendar of YHVH does not blow completely out of the water.

There is naturally a two day new moon in the OT:

It was on the 29th day of the month that David said to Jonathan, “Tomorrow was the new moon.” (1 Samuel 20:5, Jonathan agreed in verse 18) David understood that there would be two days of feasting (Day 30 and Day 1) which is why he requested that Jonathan come into field to shoot the arrows on the third day (the day following the second day of the new moon celebration). David knew that the king would expect him for the two days in question, and he was right. The king ignored his absence the first day thinking him unclean or something, but was wroth when he was not there the second day - 1 Samuel 20:24-30. The underlying Hebrew in 1 Samuel 20:27, 34 where it says 2nd day of the month literally means the 2nd day of the chodesh or new moon (celebration) not the 2nd day of the month as translated. (I asked a man who is fluent in Hebrew who also does NOT believe in the Creation Calendar what this verse means, second day of the month or second day of new moon. He said without hesitation that it was the latter, not the former.). This man didn’t just convince me by his answer. Nature did. Nature is the first gospel. There are sometimes one dark day after the last Sabbath of the month, sometimes two. Here is this calendar puzzle on paper.

23 24 25 26 27 28 29
30
1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Jonathan and David agreed upon the 29th day of the month. David was not at the Kings table on the 30th, nor on the 1st. Jonathan shot his arrows on the 2nd. When there are two days of new moon celebration, the second is always the first day of the new month. I just applied Test 1.

5) Where does it say Yahshua would be in the grave for 3 days and 3 nights?

Heart of the earth means the land of Israel. Please understand that Scripture is its own dictionary and the FIRST time this phrase is used is in Genesis 48:16. Matthew was writing his gospel to Israelites so he wrote in terms that they would readily recognize. “In the heart of…” is very much a Hebrew idiom or expression, a figure of speech. This phrase is found several times throughout the Old Testament, which was the only Scripture extant at the time Matthew wrote. Let’s examine some of them.
The Angel which redeemed me from all evil, bless the lads; and let my name be named on them, and the name of my fathers Abraham and Isaac; and let them grow into a multitude in the midst of the earth, Genesis 48:16. See also Exodus 8:22, Psalm 74:12, and Daniel 4:10

Out of heaven he made thee to hear his voice, that he might instruct thee: and upon earth he showed thee his great fire; and thou hearest his words out of the midst of the fire. Deuteronomy 4:36

There be three things which are too wonderful for me, yea, four which I know not: The way of an eagle in the air; the way of a serpent upon a rock; the way of a ship in the midst of the sea; and the way of a man with a maid. Proverbs 30:18-19

Midst of the earth and heart of the earth are the same thing. Heart of the earth is a Hebrew idiom used in both the OT and the NT and it never means grave. If Yahshua had wanted to say he was going to be in the “grave” for 3 days and 3 nights, he had plenty of words and expressions to choose from: grave, sheol, hell, the pit, gehenna, tartarus, the abyss, hades, etc. Granted, some of those are Greek, not Hebrew/Aramaic, but the Greek translators did not use the Greek equivalent for grave either.

He is saying that he will be in the middle of Israel for three days and three nights. What exactly is meant by this is open to debate, but what cannot be successfully argued is that this phrase means grave when it literally means something on the surface of the earth, not 6 feet under (so to speak). How can we know? Do any of the above passages in any way indicate a location beneath the surface of the earth or sea? Is a ship that is in the heart of the ocean on the surface or has it sunk to the bottom? Was the heavenly voice heard from underneath the fire or out of the middle of it?

Many (including me at one point) thought that the sign of Jonah meant the time he spent in the belly of the great fish. And if you only read Matthew’s account, this is easy to think. But stopping there will show our lack of studiousness. Read Luke’s account.

If you compare Matthew 12 to Luke 11:29-32, the sign of Jonah is about the message Jonah gave the Ninevites, not the interesting journey Jonah endured getting to Ninevah. Evidence: What was the only sign Jonah gave the Ninevites? It was “repent or die.” The Ninevites had no knowledge of Jonah’s interesting ride to the shore. In case you have never considered this, Ninevah is about 600 miles from the shore where Jonah was vomited out on the beach. Do you suppose that it was one of Darwin’s fish that had sprouted legs permitting this great fish to walk on to Ninevah? There is no evidence that Jonah gave this testimony in Ninevah, so the Ninevites had no knowledge of it. So how could it be a sign for the Ninevites if they knew nothing of it?

What was the ONLY sign Yahshua gave to the scribes and Pharisees? The same one Jonah gave Ninevah. Repent or die. There is always perfect harmony in the truth.

In order to have Yahshua in the tomb for three full days and be resurrected on a “Sunday” some say that Passover must have been on a “Wednesday”. Even then the resurrection is forced to take place minutes after sundown on the Sabbath (from sunset on the 14th to sunset on the 17th) when Scripture said it took place shortly before dawn on the first day of the week. (Matthew 28:1, Mark 16:2, Luke 24:1 and John 20:1) This segment of their calendar looks like this:

```
  4  5  6  7  8  9 10
11 12 13 14 15 16 17
18 19 20 21 22 23 24
```
Interestingly, if you were to count backward by seven using today’s calendar, Passover was on what we now call Tuesday (if 31 A.D. is the crucifixion year), not Friday or Wednesday. Look at the calendar model above. Let’s assume for a moment that the remark about the sign of Jonah WAS Prophetic, the prophecy was for 3 Days and 3 Nights (in that order). Order is everything in a prophecy. That is one way to determine if it was a false prophecy or a false fulfillment. If he was buried at even (at sunset) on the 14th, then he was in the tomb for 3 nights and 3 days, not 3 day and 3 nights. Which would be a false fulfillment, right? And in order for him to be the wavesheaf, he would have had to be resurrected right at dawn, the morrow after the Sabbath. Yet by forcing a 3 day/3 night prophecy onto this passage (and applying it to a calendar) he would have been raised at sunset on the 17th, the seventh day, not at dawn the first day: 14th n, 15th d/n, 16th d/n, 17th d -- that’s 3 nights and 3 days. And according to the NT record, he was resurrected on the first day of the week, not the seventh (see passages above).

Understanding the weekend of the crucifixion in this manner creates another very critical problem. See the first calendar model above. It is correctly said that the Sabbath that occurred after Passover was the first day of Unleavened Bread (Abib 15). The problem is that this forces the 17th of Abib to be some spurious “weekly” Sabbath after which would follow resurrection Sunday (the 18th as presented here). Now, count backward seven days from the 17th. Do you know what the 10th day of Abib was for?

Every year, on the 10th day of Abib, Israel was commanded to go into the field and select the Passover lamb and set it aside. Folks who did not have livestock went to the temple and purchased a sacrifice. There are no instructions to postpone the purchase or to make the purchase a day early if the 10th just happened to fall on the Sabbath. Isn’t that odd? You cannot say that this was Holy Work or a Holy Purchase because these were common men, not the priests. There is no such thing as a Set-Apart (holy) purchase. Commerce is commerce.

Those who force Passover (Abib 14) to fall on a Wednesday have a problem. See again the calendar model on the previous page. If the 17th was a Sabbath, so was the 10th. The 17th is nowhere called a Sabbath, but the 10th is a commanded work day, see Exodus 12:3-6. If you will read Leviticus 23 you will find that the Passover is the 14th of Abib, the Sabbath is the following day, the 15th so the morning AFTER the Sabbath is the 16th. Unless I’ve missed something somewhere, it is always best to make things line up with Torah. The resurrection took place on the 16th in the NT if the OT is to be believed. What is NOT to be believed is that the phrase “in the heart of the earth” has anything to do with a grave/tomb.

The day after Passover in the year of the crucifixion was most certainly the weekly Sabbath, see Mark 15:42, Luke 23:54-56, John 19:31. Now, let’s put the Abib 14 back where it belongs as preparation day for the Sabbath (see below) and add the false prophecy of 3 days and 3 nights IN THE TOMB, and now Yahshua would have been raised at sunset on the 17th, the second day of the week, not the first day at dawn. There is nothing about the statement in Matthew 12 that is prophetic or that found fulfillment at the crucifixion.
There are many places where the man from Galilee said he would rise on the third day. Third day and 3 days and 3 nights are two different things. Let me explain. It rained for 40 days and 40 nights. If the text said it had only rained for 40 days, that would mean it stopped raining at night, raining only during daylight hours. That’s why the flood account reads as it does, specifically mentioning day AND night.

Third day means exactly that. We have an example in **Exodus 19:11**.

*And YHVH said unto Moses, Go unto the people, and sanctify them today and tomorrow, and let them wash their clothes,*  
*And be ready against the third day: for the third day YHVH will come down in the sight of all the people upon mount Sinai. **Exodus 19:10-11***

Look at the context (verse 10). Today, tomorrow and the third day comprises the three days in question.

There are MANY places in the 4 gospels where the man from Galilee says he will rise on the third day. Only one where he says in three days he would rise (John 2:19), and in this account he distinctly does not add “three nights” to his statement. In the context of how days are counted, he was killed on the 14th, rested on the 15th, and raised on the third day (the 16th). The accounts in Matthew 12 and Luke 11 taken together say nothing about him being in the grave for any amount of time. There isn’t anything in either passage that resembles the grave nor is there a word/phrase that means “grave” in either passage.

*To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them. **Isaiah 8:20***

A couple of things:

1. **Leviticus 23:4-14** gives the timeline for this particular event. If it is prophetic, then anti-type has to line up with it to the letter. **Leviticus 23** is Torah. In this passage, Abib 14 is passover, the next day is the Sabbath of unleavened Bread, and the morrow after the Sabbath (Abib 16) is wavesheaf. If you want a historical reference for this, is Josephus acceptable?

In The Antiquities of the Jews, Chapter 10, section 5, he has this to say about the Passover season:

(248) “*In the month of Xanthicus, which is by us called Nisan, and is the beginning of the year, on the fourteenth day of the lunar month, when the sun is in Aries (for in this month it was that we were delivered from bondage under the Egyptians, and law ordained that we should every year slay that sacrifice which I before told you we slew when we came out of Egypt, and which was called the Passover; and so we do celebrate this Passover in companies, leaving nothing of what we sacrifice till the day following. (249) The feast of unleavened bread succeeds that of the Passover, and falls on the fifteenth day of the month and continued seven days, wherein they feed on unleavened bread... (250) But on the second day of unleavened bread, which is the sixteenth day of the month, they first partake of the fruits of the earth, for before that day, they do not touch them. ... (251) They take a handful of ears, and dry them, then beat them small and purge the barley from the bran; they then bring one tenth deal to the altar, to Elohim; and, casting one handful of it upon the fire they leaven the rest for use of the priest; and after this it is that they may publicly or privately reap their harvest. “*
Josephus is explaining to the Gentile nations how the barley could be harvested on the 16th, and says exactly what Leviticus 23 says about the feast of Passover, Unleavened Bread and wavesheaf.

2. If you are going to apply the timeline of Leviticus 23 to the crucifixion, than you are obliged (dare I say, forced) to stay within the parameters of the text. That means you have the 14th, 15th, and 16th to work with. If it speaks not according to this word, there is no light in it.