Re: Your booklet, *Exposing The Lunar Sabbaths*

Dear Brian,

I had asked some months ago if I could rebut each chapter in your book and send them one at a time, but I never heard back from you.

I could have saved you a lot of grief if you had looked at the Hall of Shame on the website, [www.CreationCalendar.com](http://www.CreationCalendar.com), in the big red rectangular box near the bottom of the home page. Here I have rebutted many arguments against the Lunar Sabbath and have never encountered a single valid accusation brought against it. Therefore I can honestly predict that you will not have a single valid accusation against the Sabbath of Scripture either, but then, I’ve told you that before too.

Now that you have gathered your thoughts into a booklet, it is time to show you that you do err, not knowing the Scriptures, the historical record and for a man who values his Native American heritage, you don’t know much about the calendar in nature either. All nature screams the majesty of Yah. Nature does not lie, men do.

Brian, it is time to stand up and be counted. You cannot continue to fight against the Lunar Sabbath because you are fighting against הוהי. My rebuttal of your booklet should prove this to you. I’ve always considered you a man of integrity and your war against the Lunar Sabbath is the only thing I’m aware of that tells me otherwise. Please look at this information with a mind free of tradition because it is your tradition that has weighed you down and kept you from seeing this. You will not be able to move forward and you will receive no further light from on High.

You were shown the Feasts and the Sacred Name long ago, and here you have dug in your heels and refused to move forward. When you showed me the feasts and Name so eloquently, it caused me to wonder what else I might have been lied to about. I did not begin searching for anything because how can you know if you have accepted a lie? So I prayed that the other lies I had been taught would be made manifest as I was ready to receive them. So far, there are 14 or more “truths” that have not been revealed to you because you have refused to take the next step after the Feasts and Sacred Name. I’m not saying that there are only 14 more, just that this is how many more truths have been revealed since I accepted the Father’s Name and Feasts. I expect there to be more, but much of my time has been spent in UNlearning the many lies and half-truths I was taught in the Adventist denomination. I think there are only 2 of the 27-28 fundamental beliefs in Adventism that are the whole truth. It is bad Brian. So bad that it pains me to see you wallow in your tradition way back along the trail. Open your eyes man! I have said it before because I know you of all people know what it means, now I shall say it again because you have not yet taken me up on this challenge: *I adjure you in the Name הוהי to examine the evidence for the Lunar Sabbath, and to do so with your eyes open.* While you’re at it, remove your SDA colored lenses. You cannot see the truth as long as you have a pre-programmed prejudice against it.
Shall we begin...? Here is how I determine what is truth...

1. In Scripture: If I find 2-3 witnesses, texts saying the same thing, (Genesis 41:32, Deuteronomy 19:15, II Corinthians 13:1) then it is truth that I must admit and comply with.

2. In Scripture: If I find a single text that says “thus saith YHVH”, (Deuteronomy 8:3b, Matthew 4:10, Luke 4:8), it is truth; I must admit it and obey His command, whether there are 2-3 witnesses or not.

3. In Scripture: If I find a chain of texts where there is an unfolding of truth, one expounding upon the other, (Isaiah 28:9-10), this reveals truth that I am responsible for.

4. If it is in the law [Torah] or the prophets, it is true, Isaiah 8:20. If something outside of Torah and the prophets agrees with the Torah and the prophets, neither adding nor diminishing aught (Deuteronomy 4:2), it is the straight truth. The standard listed in Isaiah and Deuteronomy (above) was given to trump Test 1 IF the 2-3 witnesses are false witnesses (speaking not according to the Torah and the prophets).

5. A doctrine or accepted belief that lacks any of the above 4 tests means it is NOT true. YHVH says that He will do NOTHING unless He reveals His secret to His servants the prophets, Amos 3:7. If the prophets are silent then it did not come from YHVH. If there is no “thus saith YHVH, no 2-3 witnesses, and thus no support found in the Torah and the prophets, then it is my privilege to admit it and tell others that it has no foundation in truth.

6. Any historical evidence that agrees with Scripture (e.g. the Torah and the prophets) is acceptable as supportive evidence to the truth. Any historical record that disagrees with or contradicts Scripture, failing the first 4 tests above, is a lie in the highest order of magnitude.

7. If someone makes a statement, it does not matter if a thousand witnesses (accusers, followers, scholars, etc.) come later saying that he did NOT say such or did not MEAN such and such; the spoken word of the person in question (Almighty Yah, the prophets, or whomever is speaking) takes precedence over that of all his defenders OR detractors.

8. If someone claims to be Messiah, or a prophet, or to have a special message for the body of believers, but fail to provide Tests 1, 2 and 3 above, then Isaiah 8:20 and Deuteronomy 18:18-22 (in essence, Tests 4 and 5) need be applied. If what they say doesn’t come to pass or they speak not according to the Torah or the testimony of the prophets, there is no light in them.

These are the tests that the New Testament (NT) authors recognized and taught their converts. John and Paul instructed their converts/followers to try the spirits (I John 4:1), to prove all things and hold fast that which is good (I Thessalonians 5:21), and also to search the Scriptures daily to see whether these things (that Paul taught them) were so, Acts 17:10-11) As silly as this might sound, the Carpenter from Nazareth never quoted a verse from the NT because the ONLY Scripture in existence during the first century was the Old Testament. In other words, the NT must meet the standard of the OT, not the other way around. In essence and in truth, if a NT writer does not meet the standard of the law [Torah] the testimony of the prophets, there is no light in his writing either.
“1. **Every word must have its proper bearing** on the subject presented in the Bible;
2. All Scripture is necessary, and may be understood by diligent application and study;
3. **Nothing revealed in Scripture can or will be hid from those who ask in faith, not wavering;**
4. To understand doctrine, bring all the scriptures together on the subject you wish to know, then let every word have its proper influence; and if you can form your theory without a contradiction, you cannot be in error;
5. Scripture must be its own expositor, since it is a rule of itself. **If I depend on a teacher to expound to me, and he should guess at its meaning, or desire to have it so on account of his sectarian creed, or to be thought wise, then his guessing, desire, creed, or wisdom is my rule, and not the Bible.**” *A quote from William Miller, Review and Herald, 11-25-1884.*

“All error does not become truth by reason of multiplied propagation, nor does truth become error because no one will see it.” *Gandhi*

“Men occasionally stumble over the truth, but most of them pick themselves up and hurry off as if nothing ever happened.” *Winston Churchill*

“A long habit of not thinking a thing wrong gives it the superficial appearance of being right.” *Thomas Paine.*

“The most useful piece of learning for the uses of life is to unlearn what is untrue.” *Antisthenes,* written somewhere between 444 and 371 B.C.

“Most of our so-called reasoning consists in finding arguments for going on believing as we already do.” *James Harvey Robinson.*

“All truth passes through three stages. First it is ridiculed, second it is violently opposed, and third, it is accepted as self-evident.” *Arthur Schopenhauer*, Philosopher, 1788-1860

“Truth is not what I believe. Truth is not even what I know. Truth is Fact. I may not believe it. I may not know it. That does not change it. It is there nevertheless, waiting to be discovered and believed. Truth does not depend on the unsettled and changing opinions of men. It was truth before it was believed. It remains truth, whether it is believed or not. Reason does not originate or create it. It merely discovers it.” *Carlyle B. Haynes*

**With all due respect, the truth has not been suspended just for you.** Almighty Yah does not care what you think is true; He wants you to follow HIM. The way to do this is by proving all things and holding fast only that which is good. We learn this by trying the spirits to see whether these things are of Yah, and by searching the Scriptures daily to see whether these things (that we’ve been taught) are true. (I Thessalonians 5:21, I John 4:1, Acts 17:10-11)

“It is not the truth that hurts us; it is that we have taught so many things that are NOT true.” *Phil Wilson*

“Never does nature say one thing and wisdom another.” *Juvenal*
“There is a principle which is a bar against all information, which is proof against all arguments, and which cannot fail to keep a man in everlasting ignorance; that principle is contempt prior to investigation.” 

Herbert Spencer

I will respond in blue, red and [bracketed in blue] when I insert a thought into a paragraph of yours. In your first chapter you wrote:

Origins of Lunar Sabbaths

What are the Origins of the Sabbath? Depends on whom you ask? For those who have faith and trust in the Scriptures the answer is easy! Genesis 2:1-3 tells the origins of the Sabbath plain and simple. But the higher critics are still looking for the origins of the Sabbath. In the Encyclopedia Britannica (1964 edition) under Sabbath I found some very interesting things concerning the Lunar Sabbaths, this Encyclopedia states the Sabbath is “the seventh day of the week as a rest and sanctification according to the Jewish religion.” [Brian, no Lunar Sabbath was mentioned in this quote, if it did, you did not quote that part for your readers. The heading was Sabbath, not Lunar Sabbath.] Notice it states that this is according to Jewish religion. Then under “Origin” it states: “The question concerning the origins of the Sabbath and the part it played in the life of the Israelites before the Exile is still shrouded in mystery, despite the attempts that have been made to shed light upon it.” Notice step one, doubt is cast upon Genesis 2:1-3 that Yahuwah Elohim created the world in six days and made the seventh day to rest upon. So still searching for the origins and ignoring Gen. 2:1-3, makes it out that the Jewish Sabbath evolved into what it is today from their “supposed” original Lunar Sabbaths. [Brian, I agree that some higher critics do not come right out and give the credit to YHVH for the Sabbath of Israel, but this quote above does not mention a Lunar Sabbath either. Again, if it did, you neglected to share it with your readers. Usually when men HAVE a quote that defends their position, they do not hesitate to offer it up for examination by their readers.] Thus certain academics make the Lunar sabbaths appear as the oldest and thus the original. The Encyclopedia continues:

“Friedrich Delitzsch (Babel und Bible) was the first to propound the theory of the Babylonian origin of the Jewish Sabbath. From Babylonian sources it is known that certain days of the month, the 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th, were days in which the king might not perform certain acts, such as eating roast flesh, changing his dress, offering sacrifice, mounting his chariot or pronouncing judgment; neither might the magus prophecy, nor the physician minister to the sick. Thus Delitzsch found the original home of the biblical Sabbath in Babylonia.” Then the same Encyclopedia Britannica refutes the idea: “This theory remains unconvincing for the following reasons: The Babylonian days were ‘evil days’, not propitious for certain actions. Furthermore, those actions were prohibited only to particular persons, such as the king, the magus and the physician. In addition to the days mentioned, the 19th day of the month also was an ‘evil day’, on which those actions were prohibited. Those days in the Babylonian calendar must have had their origin in some magical concept. This has nothing on common with the biblical Sabbath as a day of rest for all men, slave and stranger included—an institution that is bound up with the ethical and monotheistic concept of God as Creator.”

Encyclopedias (honest ones) try to present all the evidence. They might present both sides of the coin before offering their conclusion. Why do you think that this quote somehow enables Lunar Sabbatism? The Lunar Sabbath did not come from Babylon, and this Encyclopedia later came out in support of the Sabbath as an institution that came from YHVH as Creator.
Although this edition of Encyclopedia Britannica refutes the idea of the Lunar sabbaths, [No, Brian, it refuted the idea that the Hebrew Sabbath evolved from the Babylonian calendar. That’s all it does. You are reading the Lunar Sabbath angle into it, adding something that is not there. The Hebrew calendar and the Lunar Sabbath calendar does not set aside the 7th, 14th, 21st, 28th or 19th days of the month as ‘evil days’ or Sabbaths. What is there to compare them?] other including older editions of the Encyclopedia Britannica promote the Lunar sabbaths as being the original Sabbath and advance the idea that the Jewish Sabbath somehow evolved into what it is now from the Lunar sabbaths. [And this is correct, they did.] This idea that the Jewish Sabbath evolved from the Lunar sabbaths tries to leave Yahuwah your Elohim Creator of heaven and earth out of the picture, [not if the calendar of Scripture and the Sabbath of Genesis 2:1-3 was CREATED to be determined by the lunar cycle] much like the Evolution Theory, this idea leaves out Genesis 2:1-3 as the origin of the Sabbath, and reduces the Sabbath to a mere human institution. “Humanism” is the principle of Jesuitry!

No Lunar Sabbatarian tries to take YHVH out of the equation. You are not looking for the truth; you are doing exactly as James Robinson said so many years ago: “Most of our so-called reasoning consists in finding arguments for going on believing as we already do.” Rather than try to see if there is a lunar connection to the Sabbath in Scripture, you are accusing Lunar Sabbatarians of adopting the Lunar Sabbath from Babylon. This is not good research, shows no effort or initiative and is little more then being an accuser of the brethren. Humanism? Accusing someone else of a crime to mask your own guilt is one of the basest human responses.

You probably kicked up a stink about the Sacred Name when you were first exposed to the idea, but something resonated in your spirit and you DUG until you found the truth. You have not done this with the Lunar Sabbath. Far from it. You have used your own tradition as evidence that Lunar Sabbatarians are in error, and the further I get into rebutting your booklet (Exposing The Lunar Sabbaths), the more obvious this will become.

Second Chapter:

Bable/Bible Controversy

Around the turn of the 20th century significant events were taking place setting up the Luciferian Utopia or New World Order. Back around 1900 the United States and Great Britain were leading the field in archaeology especially in Assyrian archaeology and Germany was striving to out do them, national German pride was struggling to be the leader in this field. Their man was Friedrich Delitzsch, Friedrich Delitzsch was quite the Assyriologist, and his studies were a contribution to the advancement of Assyrian archaeology. But his pride got the best of him.

So, Brian, you were there to know that his pride got in his way? Come now, let us reason together. Is this not just your opinion since your own opinion is biased against his? Please understand, I’m not defending Delitzsch, I’m asking for your evidence of his pride.

“Delitzsch attempted to put the fledgling discipline of Assyriology on an equal footing with biblical studies and to champion Babylonian religion and culture over against that of the Hebrew Bible.” Journal of Biblical Literature Vol. 121 No. 3 p. 441-457.
And this is the voice of someone who also does not like Delitzsch’s conclusions or his attempt to undermine the Hebrew Scriptures. I will add my voice to this dissent. Any attempt to undermine Scripture is deplorable. But as you will soon see, when he was pondering the origin of the Hebrew Sabbath, there IS a Lunar connection. Delitzsch got his wires crossed assuming that the Hebrew calendar evolved from the Babylonian calendar thus casting a negative light upon the Sabbath and the Scriptures, but even then he was on the right track, he just came to the wrong conclusion.

Whether he intentionally came to the wrong conclusion is the question because, you’ve come to the wrong conclusion as well, Brian.

For years you have pecked and pecked away at the Lunar Sabbath, and to everything that came my way, I’ve responded, now you have produced your *magnum opus* against the Creation Calendar and Lunar Sabbath. My rebuttal will painstakingly prove to you that you have come to the wrong conclusion about the Hebrew calendar just as Delitzsch did before you.

“Such competition among the nations is not itself alarming, even when the archaeological enterprise of the Deutsche Orient – Gesellschaft is described as contributing to ‘Germany’s honor’ and ‘Germany’s science’. But when this sentiment is combined is Delitzsch’s subsequent work with his rejection of the authority and validity of the Hebrew Bible, this nationalism takes on a very different tone.

“Delitzsch began the second lecture by rejecting the ‘verbal inspiration’ of the Hebrew Scriptures and denying the concept of ‘revelation’ . . . Delitzsch now moved to an attack on the idea that the Hebrew Bible was authoritative for modern German Christians. (He) bemoaned the fact that the Hebrew Bible still serves believers of the West after these many centuries and an authoritative book of morality and edification.

“Delitzsch added his voice to those in Germany who sought to eradicate all things Jewish, and thus he anticipated certain German Christians of the Third Reich.” *Journal of Biblical Literature* Vol. 121 No. 3 p. 445, 446

It is difficult to put much stock in an argument that took place years ago. This is a he said, she said issue. The *Journal of Biblical Literature* (JBL) has taken umbrage with Delitzsch over his position on the authority and inspiration of Scripture. And while I agree with JBL, this issue has nothing to do with whether Israel had a Lunar Sabbath.

Is there anything wrong with nationalism, Brian? If the American way of life was being attacked, wouldn’t you feel threatened?

Since you have brought up this topic (and the commentary about Hitler, below) I’m guessing that you have no idea why some “in Germany sought to eradicate all things Jewish.” Please read the included report that *The Jews declared war on German in 1933*. What this report does not tell you is why there was such animosity toward the Jews and why Germany (after WWII) has been the most blessed of all the nations that were involved in the war. This I will explain later.

“Further, Delitzsch believed that the Babylonians were not purely Semitic, but included some Aryan stock. This is where he first hints at the view that (Yahushua) was Aryan, though his position does not yet have the insidious certainty it will later have in *Die Grosse Tauschung* (The Great Deception).”
Semitic, derives from the word Shemite. In this regard, I doubt of the Babylonians were Semitic at all. The Genesis account reveals that Shem’s descendants were not at the Tower of Babel, but remained in the mountains of the east (Genesis 10:21 to 11:2). If by Aryan, he means Caucasian, I agree. There wasn’t a “Jewish” bone in Yahushua’s body.

“Delitzsch’s views came to full expression in his last work, the two volume Die Grosse Tauschung. The title refers to the Hebrew Bible, which he wanted to expose as an untruthful historical record in order to draw conclusions about ‘the Jewish question’. Delitzsch’s anti-Semitism and anti-Judaism are no clearer than here. He expressed concern that the history of the Jewish people posed a threat to the future of the German people. “It is obvious that such a people, which is deliberately landless and an international people, presents a great, a frightening danger for all peoples of the earth.” Ibid No. 3 p. 449

Brian, at first you said that it was Delitzsch’s pride that got him, but quote a reference that says his conclusions were reached because of fear. Pride and fear are not synonymous. Fear does not fuel pride, nor does pride fuel fear, so we can (and should) ignore your statement about his pride.

For the record, I agree 100% with the last sentence above from the JBL, No 3, p. 449. The Jews are a VERY frightening danger because they are not Israelites. This fact was not only lost on Delitzsch, it has also been lost on you, Brian. Delitzsch was trying to pin the Jews’ calendar to a pagan calendar but he’s questioning the ancient Israelites and their calendar based on the false understanding that the Jews ARE Israelites. The Jewish calendar DOES have a pagan origin; the Israelite calendar came directly from YHVH, but by believing that the Jews ARE Israelites you have both come to VERY wrong conclusions. Please see the enclosed study about Who are the Jews? Brian, they are Edomites, not Israelites. And they admit it. I have not offered you any he said, she said garbage, I’ve got quotes directly from the Jews themselves.

As you can see the views of Delitzsch were embraced by Adolph Hitler.

Every German had reason to embrace Delitzsch’s views about the danger posed by the Jews, as does every American. YHVH said, Jacob (Israel) have I loved, Esau have I hated (Malachi 1:2-3, Romans 9:13). You don’t want to embrace the enemies of YHVH. The Jews have been hated and feared for thousands of years by men greater than Friedrich Delitzsch, and it had nothing to do with the crucifixion. The leaders of Israel did that. Please see enclosed study, What World-famous Men have said About the Jews.

Why is it that Germany is now the richest nation in Europe and Great Britain and the United States of America are falling into chaos, beset by crushing debt and moral decay? Could it have anything to do with GB and the USA bringing about the current nation of Israel in 1948, allowing Edomites to reclaim their lost birthright, and the promised land? Esau has no claim to that land and you know it.

Germany is where the tribe of Judah settled after the dispersion. Germany was the heart of the Reformation. And as stated earlier, Germany is the richest, most powerful nation in Europe since WWII. The Father does not bless aggressors unless they have a righteous cause, kind of like Jericho. Sure, Germany lost the war, but does it show today? Who came out of the war smelling like a rose?
Delitzsch “countered that his work was a ‘dispassionate, strictly objective discussion’ of issues such as the institution of the Sabbath.” Ibid No. 3 p. 449

Perhaps he was dispassionate, he was just blinded because he believed a lie, that the Jews are Israelites. If Delitzsch had understood the difference between these two tribes, perhaps his zeal would have been directed elsewhere, but the fact that the Jews posed an immediate threat to Germany, and the centuries that had gone on with thinking, learned men believing that the Jews are Israelites, his focus was skewed. If I’m looking at an Israelite and you are telling me all the atrocities that have been foisted upon man by the Jews, and I don’t know the difference, I’m going to be suspicious of all things Jewish, Hebrew or Israelite.

But I do recognize the difference. I can tell where Delitzsch is correct in his conclusions, and I can understand how he came to several false conclusions. He did not have all the facts. Neither do you. My concern is that you don’t seem to WANT all the facts. I pray that this is not true.

Oh, the Sabbath! This is where it gets real interesting.

“The Babylonians celebrated the 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th of Elul and probably every other month.” Encyclopedia Britannica under Sabbath

Clearly this is not a Hebrew calendar.

Notice the above quote can’t even prove that the Babylonians themselves observed Lunar Sabbaths, but they did observe the 7th, 14th, 19th, 21st and 28th days of the 6th month Elul.

But that does not prove that the Hebrews did not observe a Lunar Sabbath.

Chapter Three.

The Jesuit Agenda

In this chapter, you rattle off as many unsubstantiated claims as you can in as short a time as you can to bewilder your readers. When you are correct, this is actually a very clever way of presenting information, looks like you have your information down pat. But when you are incorrect, all you are doing is spewing, with lies and half truths going everywhere like dirty water from an overflowing toilet.

I will point out these unsubstantiated claims for you, but first…

The secret Society of Jesus called “Jesuits” have infiltrated every religious denomination. A special section or branch of the Jesuits have also infiltrated Judaism called the Illuminati. Their goal always has been and always will be to stop the Reformation, and produce a counter-reformation. They seek to stop every true revival that might pop up, which can be only produced by real Bible study and the aid of the Holy Spirit. Therefore all these secret organizations will seek to undermine your confidence in the Scriptures, or misinterpret them for you, malign the character and reputation of someone who does understand the truth.
But it’s OK to malign the character of someone who has been led to the Lunar Sabbath by searching the scriptures daily to see whether these things are so? By proving all things, holding fast that which is good? By trying to spirits to see whether they are of Yah?

And here’s one that is going to come back to haunt you. You also malign the characters of those who accept and apply every jot and tittle of this text: *To the law [Torah] and to the testimony [of the prophets]: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them. Isaiah 8:20*

**Watch now while I apply Isaiah 8:20 to satyrday worship.**

Where is satyrday called the Sabbath in the Torah or the Prophets, Brian? Satyrday is actually mentioned in the Old Testament. Did you know that my friend? The Torah and the Prophets call satyrday worship idolatry. I admit it, Israel worshiped on satyrday. *But you have borne the tabernacle of your moloch [king] and Chiun your images, the star of your god which you made for yourselves.* The prophet Amos 5:26. Chiun is the Hebrew word for Saturn. But that does not mean their worship was acceptable to YHVH. In this verse Amos sees a day where Israel worships a star-god that they would make for themselves which means that Israel would have been in apostasy at that time.

Stephen, in Acts 7:43 quotes Amos and is translated as Remphan, which is Greek for Saturn. Satyrday is ONLY a day found on the pagan seven day planetary week. Satyrday was the first day (not the seventh) of this pagan calendar week from at LEAST 600 B.C. and perhaps further back. The Father’s calendar is found in the sun and moon (the two great lights, Genesis 1:14) and the stars also (see Genesis 1:16). There is a confluence of stars to announce the Jubilee.

Why am I spelling it satyrday instead of saturn’s day? Because Saturn and the Satyr are both linked to the same false system of worship.

Do this word study with your concordance. The prophet Isaiah 13:21 says… *But wild beasts of the desert shall lie there; and their houses shall be full of doleful creatures; and owls shall dwell there, and satyrs shall dance there.*

**Prophet:** The wild beasts of the desert shall also meet with the wild beasts of the island, and the satyr shall cry to his fellow; the screech owl also shall rest there, and find for herself a place of rest. Isaiah 34:14

In both cases, the Hebrew word here translated as satyr is Strong’s H8163.

It would seem that the Father stopped Israel’s satyrday observance early on. Speaking to Israel after their deliverance from Egypt, YHVH said… *And they shall no more offer their sacrifices unto devils, after whom they have gone a whoring. This shall be a statute for ever unto them throughout their generations.* **Torah:** Leviticus 17:7. Notice the word for devils (below).

*And they shall no 3808 more 5750 offer 2076 (853) their sacrifices 2077 unto devils, 8163 after 310 whom 834 they 1992 have gone a whoring. 2181 This 2063 shall be 1961 a statute 2708 forever 5769 unto them throughout their generations. 1755*

Same Hebrew word, H 8163, Satyrs.
Jeroboam and his sons were later cursed for leading Israel BACK into idolatry. **II Chronicles 11:15** says... *And he [Jeroboam] ordained 5975 him priests 3548 for the high places, 1116 and for the devils, 8163 and for the calves 5695 which 834 he had made. 6213*

Same Hebrew word, H 8163, Satyrs.

Jeroboam brought back what YHVH had removed from Israel in **Leviticus 17**. And nearly every time Jeroboam’s name is mentioned thereafter it is either prefaced or followed by the statement, *who has made My people Israel to sin.* Amos reminded Israel of their satyrday idolatry, Stephen repeated it and it got him killed.

Some people are touchy about their idolatry. I hope you are not and that you are willing to examine why the Father calls satyrday worship idolatry. Satyrday was not the 7th day of the week until A.D. 321 when Rome adopted the pagan seven day planetary week. Satyrday was the first day of this seven day week for its entire history (during the time Scripture was being written), and not promoted (demoted actually) until Constantine venerated the day of the sun, supplanting satyrday as the first day of the week.

Here is a pencil sketch from a first century clay tablet calendar. Across the top, the day’s gods are inscribed as follows:

- satumn’s day, sun’s day, moon’s day, mars’ day, mercury’s day, jupiter’s day, venus’ day.

The pencil sketch above came from this pagan first century clay calendar tablet.
Let me share with you why I believe it is a good idea to examine the foundation of the day that most Sabbitarians call “Sabbath”. Watch for the **bold red font** below because at least one particular scholar DOES believe that satyrday worship is linked to saturn worship (and worse), and he proves it VERY convincingly.

Ever heard of a dual counterfeit? Only the enemies of YHVH would accept an obvious counterfeit, but for the more elect, the Adversary has a not-so-obvious counterfeit.

*How long halt ye between two opinions? if the LORD be Almighty, follow Him: but if Ba’al, then follow him. And the people answered him not a word.*  
1 Kings 18:21.

Ba’al is the obvious fraud, but what about “the **LORD**”? Every time you read LORD or GOD in all capital letters in Scripture, the underlying Hebrew is YHVH, the Creator’s Name. Ba’al means “Lord” in Hebrew. In Hebrew there is no deception, His Name is YHVH, (which is how the Hebrew reads) but in English there is no right answer from which to choose, both mean Lord. YHVH tells us to stop calling Him “my Lord” (*Hosea 2:16*, Ba’ali means “my Lord”). Friends, what we have here is a dual counterfeit; one is obvious (Ba’al), the other not-so-obvious (the LORD).

Which day do YOU keep holy?

- Sunday, the current first day of the pagan Roman/papal calendar
- Saturday, the current seventh day of the pagan Roman/papal calendar.

I say “current” because these days were not always the first and seventh days of the week, respectively.

As a side note, I find it interesting that we pronounce the “n” in woden’s day (wednesday), but we don’t for “saturday” (saturday). Have you ever heard of a satyr? The cloven footed half-man, half-goat—the universal symbol of Satan himself?

**Sunday** is the obvious fraud. Only the enemies of YHVH would observe sunday, in honor of the pagan sun-god. But every satyrday, of every week, of every year, Sabbatarian everywhere, in spite of themselves, worship on **saturday** — a day named after Satan himself.

Very cleverly, the Adversary receives his worship every week, all year long, by sincere folks who believe they are following YHVH. Friends, what we have here is a dual counterfeit; one is obvious, the other not-so-obvious. Even if saturday really is named after saturn, don’t think we are going to get off the hook so easily. Saturn worship is the oldest form of Satanism and is clearly and historically linked to satyr, the horned goat. The Adversary does not care how you spell his many different names, or under which one you worship, as long as you do not worship YHVH. Please feel free to connect the dots…
Satan (or Pan) is also called the “Horned God”

Pan a lusty satyr, half-man, half-goat, was given to every form of licentiousness and debauchery.

Kronos, the father of the gods……for a certain wicked deed, was called Titan, and cast down to hell. Kronos is none other than Satan himself. Titan, or Teitan, as it is sometimes spelled, is the Chaldee form of the Hebrew word Sheitan (Satan), the common name for the Adversary.

In the region where the Chaldean Mysteries were originally concocted,—that Adversary who was ultimately the real father of all the pagan gods,—and who (to make the title of Kronos (Saturn), “the Horned One,” appropriate to him also) was symbolized by the Kerastes, the Horned serpent.

Horned goat, horned serpent, father of the gods….hmm. Kronos claims to be in charge if TIME! Kronos is where we get our word chronology, the study of time. Kronos, said another way, is also known as Father Time. Cue Scriptural support: Daniel 7:25, see also Isaiah 14…

*For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of Elohim: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the Most High.*  Isaiah 14:13-14

The word congregation here is the Hebrew word Mo’edim, which means “appointed times”. A mountain in Scripture is a controlling power or authoritarian presence. The prophecy above is proclaiming that someone will claim to sit on the mount of YHVH’s appointed times. He is saying that he will control the calendar, and the appointed times. And indeed he does, but only in the hearts and minds of his followers, having no control of YHVH’s calendar appointed times.

Now, the name Saturn in Chaldee is pronounced Satur; but, as every Chaldee scholar knows, consists only of four letters, thus — S T U R. This name contains exactly the Apocalyptic number 666…

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{S} & = 60 \\
\text{T} & = 400 \\
\text{U} & = 6 \\
\text{R} & = 200 \\
\text{666} & 
\end{align*}
\]

The information in the **bold red font** on the this page was gleaned from Alexander Hislop’s classic, *The Two Babylons.*

Truth is a two edged sword. If we are going to condemn “sunday” observance as worshipping on the “venerable day of the sun,” satyrday Sabbath keepers need to look in the mirror. Is worshipping on a day named after Satan any better? Satyrday bears the name of our enemy.

Here is a devastating admission from the Ellen White…
“The Sabbath question is to be the issue in the great final conflict in which all the world will act a part. Men have honored Satan’s principles above the principles that rule in the heavens. They have accepted the spurious sabbath, which Satan has exalted as the sign of his authority. But God has set His seal upon His royal requirement. Each sabbath institution bears the name of its author, an ineffaceable mark that shows the authority of each. It is our work to lead the people to understand this. … God has called us to uplift the standard of His downtrodden Sabbath. How important, then, that our example in Sabbathkeeping should be right.” Testimonies to the Church, Vol. 6, p. 352-353

**Fact:** A counterfeit has to look a great deal like the original. Sunday cannot rightly be called a Sabbath (spurious or otherwise) as it is not the 7th day of anything. Sunday does not “look” like a Sabbath, so it is an obvious fraud. Satyrday, however, looks a lot like the 7th day of the week, just the wrong week, so satyrday observance is the other half of a very clever dual counterfeit.

I just showed you from the Law and the Prophets and the historical record that satyrday observation is pure pagan idolatry, Brian. You can’t just sit there and ignore this challenge. Please try to prove that satyrday is the Sabbath of Creation. I double-dog dare you.

For example if the Bible says the Son of Elohim was “begotten” Jn. 3:16, believe it! But if a preacher comes along and says “it means this”, you may want to consider infiltration. If the Bible says Messiah died on a “cross” believe it. But if some scholar says “the Bible was tampered with”, you may want to consider: let the Word of YHVH be true and every man a liar. YHWH and His Word is your Rock. Your anchor is the Scriptures!

This is a side issue. However, I agree that Isaiah 8:20 is my anchor in Scripture. YHVH knew that we would be lied to and would be fooled into accepting the traditions of men or would apostatize and forget the Law. This is why He gave us the truth tests I offered on page 2 above. When you actually APPLY these Truth Tests, it becomes VERY clear that the OT was not translated perfectly in a few places and that there were things added to the NT that were not in the original manuscripts. I’m not a Jesuit; I am simply being honest with the evidence. The Truth Tests bear these things out. But since this is not on point, I’ll let this go for now. Feel free to ask about this later if you want. I don’t think you will bother because you know that what I’ve said is the truth. The problem is that you were not honest with your readers, and your intention was to get people to believe that satyrday is the Sabbath of Scripture and you believe that the Scriptures will bear this out.

And you could not be more wrong if you tried. Indeed, our anchor is the Scriptures. And the Scriptures calls satyrday worship idolatry, the curse of Jeroboam actually.

Friedrich Delitzsch taught at the University of Liepzig and Berlin. Liepzig opened in 1409 after it had been officially endorsed by Pope Alexander V in his Bull of Acknowledgement.

So did you really think that Friedrich Delitzsch would be part of the Protestant Reformation? By going Sola Scriptura?

“Delitzsch maintained that many Old Testament writings were borrowed from Babylonian tales.” [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Friedrich_Delitzsch](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Friedrich_Delitzsch)
And Delitzsch was obviously in error about this, but again, his misunderstanding is the same as yours. You both believe that the Jews are Israelites, so you look at modern Jews and their faith as being an extension of the people and religion we see in Scripture, which is completely false. Satyrday worship may have originated in Babylon, as the pagan planetary week originated during the time of the Babylonian Empire. Saturn was the most feared god in the pantheon. He is credited with eating his own children and the heathens were terrified of him, thus to appease him, they worshipped him first before the worship of lesser gods. Delitzsch may have simply connected the dots from the Babylonian calendar to the modern Jewish day of worship.

It certainly does not mean that ancient Israel’s calendar originated in Babylon, but the Jewish one certainly seems to, and Delitzsch merely points this out. That he had an agenda to disrupt men’s faith in the OT is acknowledged, but that does not mean that everything he wrote was in error.

Friedrich Delitzsch obviously was on the other side cooperating with the Jesuit Agenda to stop the Reformation with counter-reformation progress from the opposite direction.

Unsubstantiated claim. Was he fighting against YHVH? Yes, so are you. Was he a Jesuit? You have no evidence that he was, and you should redact this from your book. If he has family around, they can actually sue you for slander if you cannot prove your accusations. You don’t have to be a Jesuit to think that the Jewish calendar is similar to the Babylonian calendar; all you have to be is honest. You don’t have to be a Jesuit to assume that the ancient Israelite calendar came from the Babylonian calendar; all you have to be is misinformed as to the identity of the Jews.

About the same time Delitzsch gave his Babel/Bible lectures, he got a little help from his creepster Illuminati friends in the 1903 Universal Jewish Encyclopedia [Unsubstantiated claim. Where is your evidence that the UJE was produced by the Illuminati? You cannot just present things as fact without evidence, Brian.] under (Pastoral Feasts) “(The Sabbath, as marking the end of the week, reveals its lunar origin . . . Later the week and the Sabbath became fixed; and this gradually resulted in taking from the New Moon festival its popular importance.”

Wow! Where is Yahuwah your Creator? Where is Yahuwah delivering the Sabbath at Mt. Sinai?

Unsubstantiated accusations. There is nothing in this quote that takes anything away from YHVH or Scripture or nature. Your issue is that you don’t agree with it, so you start with the accusations. You have yet to prove that satyrday IS the Sabbath, which is not possible anyway, but until you can, you cannot make these accusations (when you can’t make them stick) without sounding like one who sows discord.

The Creator established a Lunar Sabbath, Brian. I will soon offer you this evidence. Trust me.

Again another quote from the Encyclopedia Britannica 9th Edition under Sabbath, 2 Origin of the Sabbath, where it argues that the original Sabbath or week was lunar as it creepingly states: “we cannot tell when the Sabbath became dissociated from the month; but the change seems to have been made before the Book of the Covenant, (the Torah), which already regards the Sabbath simply as an institution of humanity and ignores the new moon.” [If we were in a trial before a jury, I would object to your use of “creepingly states” as grandstanding and leading the witness. It STATES that they cannot tell when the Sabbath became dissociated with the month.
It does not matter whether you find it creepy, what matters is if the ladies and gentlemen of the jury find it creepy in light of all the other evidence presented. By the time I’m done presenting evidence, this statement will be recognized as a fact, not creepy. Again where is your Creator in this picture? It’s all just “Humanism”.

Indeed, they’ve spoiled the truth of their first statement by what very much sounds like taking the Creator out of the Sabbath. That said, Torah does not ignore New Moon.

Other quotes from the Illuminati materials [Unsubstantiated claim] make it appear that shepherds were out on the hill sides lost track of time and started using the moon and dividing it into quarters, and that the Sabbath we now have derived from the “supposed” original lunar weeks. [The authors of these quotes were very well aware of ancient Israel’s history of Lunar Sabbatism based on their knowledge of Scripture and other Israelite historians. The Lunar Sabbath has nothing to do with forgetful shepherds out on a hillside, Israel got it from YHVH. Evidence will be presented soon enough.] Ultimately what you have going on here is that the creepsters [Objection, your honor! Sustained.] are giving human origins to the Sabbath, that man is evolving to a higher state, starting out with the lunar Sabbaths, then man establishing the Jewish Sabbath, and ultimately ending up with Sunday Sabbath, as if man were evolving. All this was part of the Babel/Bible Controversy.

Evolving to a higher state while observing the Torah less and less is not possible, even if this is the observation of Babel/Bible Controversy. The Lunar Sabbath has nothing to do with the evolution of calendars; it is actually practicing (and returning to) the original calendar.

“Delitzsch manifested a fixation with the evolutionary and historical progression of the Christian religion from its early stages in the Hebrew Scriptures to the New Testament, how it declined from that expression under the influence of Greek metaphysics, and finally how it evolved again from the dissolution of dogma by Martin Luther into a new nobleness of heart. [All this is saying is that the doctrines of Israel devolved from their grand original after Israel was associated with Greek thinking, then later evolved (got better) after Martin Luther’s ministry. Is this not actually a truthful statement?] This found fruition specially (sic) for studies of ancient Israel in the famous construction of Wellhausen, which became the acceptable way of understanding Israelite religion. First, early Israelites religion was natural and free from law as expressed by Yahwistic and Elohistic sources. Its cycles were related to the agricultural year, and the priesthood was universal, and worship could take place anywhere. Second, the Deuteronomic materials reveal that Israel’s sacred festivals were subsequently cut off from nature and given new dates based on mathematical calculations. [I disagree that Israel ever lived free from the law. Israel’s cycles are STILL related to the agricultural year; Deuteronomy does not cut off these cycles from nature. The given dates actually PROVE that nature is still involved as Passover/Unleavened Bread in the first month still takes place during the barley harvest, Feast of Weeks after the firstfruit of the summer wheat harvest, and in the seventh month Tabernacles, at the end of the (agricultural) year, comes after the final harvest.]

“The idea that religion had progressed through several evolutionary stages so pervaded nineteenth-century scholarship that many assumed religion was still progressing higher and higher to an ultimate good. (On the problems of evolutionary thought as particularly prevalent in Delitzsch, see Jacob J. Finkelstein, ‘Bible and Babel: A Comparative Study of the Hebrew and Babylonian Religious Spirit,” Commentary 26 (1958): 431-44 esp. 442-44.)” Journal of Biblical Literature Vol. 121 No. 3 p. 452
Trust me, I’m not promoting Delitzsch, but I’m not willing to call him a liar when he is telling the truth. The trick is to recognize what is truth. The way to do that is to apply the Truth Tests given in the law and the prophets. I’ve done that, Brian. You haven’t. You’ve repeated your traditions, nothing more, then you’ve slandered a few scholars in the hopes of persuading your readers to agree with you. This is not very solid reporting of the evidence.

Jesuits were fuming because the true Bible Sabbath was brought into the Reformation in the mid 19th Century with the Advent Movement and has gained significant ground. The Jesuit goal was to stop this type of progress. Since a lot of sincere individuals were seeking for the original Sabbath, the Jesuits laid down the groundwork (like in the Universal Jewish Encyclopedia) for fanaticism to pick up the Lunar Sabbaths as the original.

Brian, I hate to break it to you, but Ellen White saw this coming, and she gave her tacit approval and actually included herself in the body of believers who accepted the Sabbath more fully. Here Ellen White calls it like she sees it, and she names names…

“I saw that G-d had children who do not see and keep the Sabbath. They have not rejected the light upon it. And at the commencement of the time of trouble, we were filled with the H-ly Ghost as we went forth and proclaimed the Sabbath more fully. This enraged the churches and nominal Adventists, as they could not refute the Sabbath truth. And at this time G-d’s chosen all saw clearly that we had the truth, and they came out and endured the persecution with us. I saw the sword, famine, pestilence, and great confusion in the land. The wicked thought that we had brought the judgments upon them, and they rose up and took counsel to rid the earth of us, thinking that then the evil would be stayed.” Early Writings, p. 33

Adventists, even nominal ones (which means in name only) have already accepted the Sabbath. What new Sabbath message could possibly incite rage among Adventists? Please note that this is an end time event, and the ones with the Sabbath reform message are filled with the Father’s Spirit, and that the Adventists could NOT REFUTE it! Ellen White thought that those in the Advent movement were Yah’s chosen people. Now please note that Ellen White puts herself in the number who would come out (of Adventism) to endure persecution. She thought that the last days were upon them, so she figured that it was only a short matter of time before this “Sabbath more fully” message would be delivered. Little did she know how long it would be.

Chapter Four

Bad Company

“And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather expose them.” Ephesians 5:11 NKJV

The Lunar Sabbath theory came out of the Anti-Semitic Groups with ties to the Ku Klux Klan.

“Jonathan David Brown is credited with being the first so-called ‘sabbath keeper’ in this century (actually ever) to begin the practice of counting the Sabbath from the New Moon day rather than using the modern seven day week. Brown published the book Keeping Yahweh’s Appointments in 1998, which explains the practice, which has since spread like a virus. (I have an actual copy).
Credited by whom? Wikipedia makes this claim which is publicly edited. If Jonathan David Brown WAS the first in this century to observe the Lunar Sabbath, then all of Israel is lost…

*Thus saith YHVH, which giveth the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night, which divideth the sea when the waves thereof roar; YHVH of hosts is His Name:
If those ordinances [of the moon] depart from before me, saith YHVH, then the seed of Israel also shall cease from being a nation before Me for ever. Jeremiah 31:35-36*

Anyone who knows ANYTHING about prophecy knows that YHVH is returning for Israelites, the remnant first. And Israel consists of Israelites born and also strangers who have joined themselves to Israel by following the Torah and recognizing YHVH as Elohim. Jeremiah flat out states that if the ordinances of the moon are no longer observed, then Israel will cease to be a nation in the Father’s eyes. This has not happened proving that Jonathan David Brown was NOT the first man of this century to (or actually ever) observe the Creation Calendar along with the ordinances of the moon, just as there were 7000 who had not bent the knee to Ba’al in Elijah’s day. Because YHVH is still returning, and He is looking for the remnant of Israel, then there have ALWAYS been faithful Creation Calendar observers somewhere on this earth.

“Brown is a noted anti-Semite who has been convicted for his connection to a 1990 Synagogue shooting. In 1992, Brown was sentenced to a 27 month federal prison term and fined $10,000 for accessory after the fact to a conspiracy to violate civil rights under 18 U.S.C 3 and 241 (so-called Hate-Crimes), and for perjury under 18 U.S.C 1623a. It was established in Court that Brown helped Damion Patton, described by Nashville Police as a juvenile ‘skinhead’, and Leonard William Armstrong, the Grand Dragon of the Tennessee White Knights of the Ku Klux Klan hide from authorities and disguise their car after Patton and Armstrong carried out a pre-dawn drive-by shooting of a synagogue in Nashville, Tennessee on June 10, 1990.

“In court it was revealed that in the evening of June 9, 1990, Brown attended a meeting of an (sic) anti-Semite white supremacists which Patton and Armstrong were also attending. At 1:00 a.m. on June 10, Patton drove past the West End Synagogue in Nashville and Armstrong fired several shots through its windows with a TEC-9 assault pistol. Fortunately the building was unoccupied so no one was injured. Brown’s apartment was searched under warrant on June 15th as police looked for Patton. As a result of this search, authorities seized items belonging to Mr. Brown, which indicated his membership in the Ku Klux Klan and other white supremacist groups. In the days following the shooting incident, Brown helped Patton evade authorities by lying to police regarding Patton’s whereabouts, by hiding him at his farm in Pleasantville, and by helping Patton change the color of his car from white to black with spray paint. Brown gave Patton a license place from one of his trucks and supplied Patton with enough money to drive to Las Vegas and stay there. Some five months later, Brown allowed Patton to live again on his farm for a month. In September 1991, the FBI arrested Patton who pleaded guilty to his part in the synagogue shooting.

“In 1994 Brown sought to overturn his convictions to the United States Court of Appeals for the sixth Circuit. Brown argued that the synagogue was owned by a corporation and not by citizens, and thus could not be covered by 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1982 (1988), which he argued applied solely to the property rights of citizens. Brown also challenged as unwarranted the seizure of his personal property. The three-judge court upheld Brown’s convictions on March 21, 1995.
“Three years after these events Brown published his Lunar Sabbath theory, and it has spread like a virus.” *The Lunar Sabbath Error* study by James Trimm

I suppose that if Bro. Trimm goes after the character of all Lunar Sabbatarians that THIS will prove that the lunar Sabbath is incorrect? Brian, if you and James Trimm were to look into the collective closet of all Lunar Sabbatarians on earth I imagine you would find alcoholics, adulterers, smokers, spouse abusers, tax collectors, tax cheats, convicted felons, drug abusers, slothfulness, gossipers, and the like. You know—the same type of people Yahushua would have hung out with. Trimm’s theory is thus: If you can’t discredit the doctrine, then discredit those who believe it. Is this all the better you can do Brian?

And truth be told, if we were to look in the collective closet of Catholics, Protestants, Atheists and Jews, we would find the SAME types of people: alcoholics, adulterers, smokers, spouse abusers, tax collectors, tax cheats, convicted felons, drug abusers, slothfulness, gossipers, and so forth, so who or what gives James Trimm the authority to cast stones at other believers?

*Let he who is without sin cast the first stone.* That goes for you too, Brian.

Is there anyone in the list below that is NOT numbered among the righteous? Adam was pussy-whipped, following his wife’s example rather than obeying Yah. Noah got drunk. Job raised 10 unrighteous children. Abraham lied saying his wife was his sister. Isaac did the same thing. Lot was selfish, wanting the best land for himself. Jacob took advantage of Esau, stealing his brother’s birthright. Moses was a murderer and impatient. Aaron and Miriam were backbiters. Elijah was afraid for his life and discouraged, doubting Yah’s ability to protect him. Gideon did not believe YHVH. David was a murderer and an adulterer. Solomon had many heathen wives. Jeremiah was a whiner. Jonah disobeyed Yah. Samson sought to fulfill his own lusts. Matthew was a tax collector. Thomas was a doubter. Peter could cuss the wall paper off a wall. Paul persecuted the righteous. Thus if Jonathan David Brown did anything wrong in the eyes of heaven, he’s in pretty good company. You and Trimm should be ashamed of yourselves. Yah uses cracked pots because that is all He has to work with.

Brian, focus my friend. Focus. Stop looking at man and start looking at the doctrine. If you insist upon looking at man (being a respecter of persons is anti-Torah), start by looking at the one in the mirror. Today the term anti-Semite means anti-Jew, not anti-Israelite. The REAL Semites or Israelites (people of European descent) are anti-Jew. What is worse, Brian, being anti-Torah or anti-Jew? Worshipping on satyrday is anti-Torah. So is falsely judging others.

“Precious light is to shine forth from the word of God, and *let no one presume to dictate what shall or what shall not be brought before the people in the messages of enlightenment that He shall send, and so quench the Spirit of God*. Whatever may be his position of authority, no one has a right to shut away the light from the people. When a message comes in the name of the Lord [His Name is YHVH] to His people, no one may excuse himself from an investigation of its claims. No one can afford to stand back in an attitude of indifference and self-confidence and say: ‘I know what is truth. I am satisfied with my position. I have set my stakes, and I will not be moved away from my position, whatever may come. *I will not listen to the message of this messenger for I know that it cannot be truth.*’ It was from pursuing this very course that the popular churches were left in partial darkness, and that is why the messages of heaven have not reached them.” *Testimonies on Sabbath School Work, p. 65*
I’m guessing that you did not know that Ellen White wrote testimonies specifically concerning your attitude against the Lunar Sabbath and your attitude toward men like Jonathan David Brown. Here are a few more she wrote as a warning to you…

The momentous issues at stake through neglect of the Word of God should be carefully considered. The study of the Bible is worthy of the best mental effort, the most sanctified ability. When new light is presented to the church, it is perilous to shut yourselves away from it.

**Refusing to hear because you are prejudiced against the message or the messenger will not make your case excusable before God.** To condemn that which you have not heard and do not understand will not exalt your wisdom in the eyes of those who are candid in their investigations of truth. **And to speak with contempt of those whom God has sent with a message of truth, is folly and madness.** *Testimonies to Sabbath School Workers, p. 60*

We shall not be accepted and honored of God in doing the same work that our fathers did. We do not occupy the position which they occupied in the unfolding of truth. In order to be accepted and honored as they were, **we must improve the light which shines upon us, as they improved that which shone upon them; we must do as they would have done, had they lived in our day. Luther and the Wesleys were reformers in their time. It is our duty to continue the work of reform. If we neglect to heed the light, it will become darkness; and the degree of darkness will be proportionate to the light rejected.** *Spirit of Prophecy, Vol. 4, p. 186*

The prophet of God declares that in the last days knowledge shall be increased. There are new truths to be revealed to the humble seeker. **The teachings of God’s word are to be freed from the errors and superstition with which they have been encumbered.** Doctrines that are not sanctioned by the Scriptures have been widely taught, and many have honestly accepted them; but when the truth is revealed, **it becomes the duty of every one to accept it.** Those who allow worldly interests, desire for popularity, or pride of opinion, to separate them from the truth, **must render an account to God for their neglect.** *Spirit of Prophecy, Vol. 4, p. 186*

Wherever the truths of the gospel are proclaimed, those who honestly desire to do right are led to a diligent searching of the Scriptures. **If, in the closing scenes of this earth’s history, those to whom testing truths are proclaimed would follow the example of the Bereans, searching the Scriptures daily, and comparing with God’s word the messages brought them, there would today be a large number loyal to the precepts of God’s law, where now there are comparatively few.** **But when unpopular Bible truths are presented, many refuse to make this investigation.** Though unable to controvert the plain teachings of Scripture, they yet manifest the utmost reluctance to study the evidences offered. Some assume that even if these doctrines are indeed true, it matters little whether or not they accept the new light, and they cling to pleasing fables which the enemy uses to lead souls astray. Thus their minds are blinded by error, and they become separated from heaven. *Acts of the Apostles, p. 232*

These admonitions were written specifically for you Brian. You have not accepted the Lunar Sabbath because you have a pre-conceived bias against it, and if you continue on without examining the evidence, it’s going to bite you in the butt. You are a better student than this. A LOT better.

Chapter Five
Scriptural Arguments Against Lunar Sabbaths

This is the chapter I’ve been waiting for. It is here that I will show you that you do err, not knowing the Scriptures. This is the chapter that is going to prove to your readers that you have not examined the evidence and that you have answered the matter before you even heard it (to your folly and shame), Proverbs 18:13. Before I respond to your arguments, here is a list of Scriptural arguments that PROVE the Lunar Sabbath. I trust that you will respond to each one as I am going to respond to each of your arguments.

Proof questions:

1. Where does it say in Scripture that saturday is the Sabbath?

2. Where does it say in Scripture that the Sabbath is every seventh day in an unbroken cycle of seven days?

Answer these two simple questions and this issue goes away. Simple as that. But there is a LOT more…

3. Every weekly Sabbath that can be date identified in Scripture falls on either the 8th, 15th, 22nd or 29th day of the (lunar) month. http://www.creationcalendar.com/CalendarIssue/28-8-15-22-29_Proof.pdf. This cannot happen with the current solar calendar; this does however occur on a lunar-solar calendar though. Can you find a single weekly Sabbath in Scripture that falls on a date other than these?

4. Read Exodus 31:13, Ezekiel 20:12 and 20:20 and understand that the Sabbath is not only a sign [Hebrew word owth] but also an appointed time [Hebrew word mo’edim] as discovered in Genesis 1:14, Psalm 104:19, and Leviticus 23:1-3. Genesis 1:14 clearly says that either the sun or moon will regulate these calendar events, signs (owth), seasons (mo’edim), days and years. Can you go outside, look at the sun and tell whether it is either saturday or the Sabbath? If not, you are relying on the wrong light. Try the other one.

5. The new moon days never fall during the common week; they are a third category of day. Ezekiel 46:1 says that the gate to the temple is shut on all six working days, but open on the Sabbath and new moon. Last month, new moon was on a friday. If the tabernacle were still here and I asked you, “Would the gate have been open or shut?”, how would you answer? If you say open because it is new moon day, I would respond that it is a work day, it must be shut. If you agreed, “Of course friday is a work day, it must be shut.” I’d reply, “But friend, it is new moon, it must be open.” You have no correct answer because you are comparing the Scriptural calendar event to a pagan/papal/Roman/solar-only/man-made calendar. Isaiah 66:23, II Kings 4:18-23, Amos 8:5 are three more witnesses that the new moon cannot fall on one of the six work days.

Day one and day 30 are NOT weekdays, they are days of the new moon, but have something in common with the Sabbaths--both are days of convocation with Yah, both are welcomed by an open gate to the temple. There is not always a 30th day now. There used to be 12 thirty day months, making a 360 lunar-solar year.
I have seen and used a few different calendar illustrations, but the model below more accurately shows the uniqueness, presenting new moon as the third category of day better. The days of the work week are in black. The new moon days are in blue, the Sabbaths (the seventh day of the week) are in red, the green numbers indicate the number of the days of the work week.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
<th>5th</th>
<th>6th</th>
<th>7th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. The 14th day of Abib is always the preparation day for first day of Unleavened Bread. Abib 14 floats on our current version of the Roman calendar. Abib 10 is the day to purchase a lamb if you don’t already own one. Abib 10 floats on the Roman calendar. The fact is that the 10th, 14th and 16th of Abib are, without exception, commanded work days. The barley could be harvested on the 16th only after the Wave Sheaf had been offered and accepted, Leviticus 23:9-14. If there is a continuous 7 day cycle, every few years either the 10th, 14th or 16th will fall on a saturday. In fact, saturday fell on Abib 10 in 2003. Saturday fell on the 14th of Abib in 2004, 2008 and 2011. Saturday fell on the 16th of Abib in 2002, 2006 and 2009. There is no legislation provided to do these tasks a day early or late in case these days “just happen” to fall on the Sabbath, these work dates are fixed. YHVH never has Israel do common work on a rest day, and did not here either. These days will never fall on the Sabbath, yet they will fall on your saturday every few years. Can you explain this?

7. The battle of Jericho was a seven day event. Every able bodied Israelite male took with him every available weapon at his disposal. The priests even carried with them the sacred Ark of the Covenant. In this battle/siege, Israel is on the offensive, they are the aggressors. Read the account in Joshua 6. Only Joshua knew it would be a 7 day affair, the men were told they would attack when Joshua gave them the signal. These soldiers were marching around Jericho for seven days in attack mode, on a full tilt adrenaline rush, not knowing for sure when the signal would come. Which day was the Sabbath? Did YHVH ever send Israel into battle on the Sabbath? The battle of Jericho is more a calendar statement than it is about the conquest of Canaan. How do I know this? Simple. Israel had JUST come out of the wilderness after a 40 year sojourn, having to relearn the law (including the Sabbath) of Yah. Do you suppose that the VERY first thing YHVH commanded Israel to do would be to break the Sabbath with a 7 day siege? If the Sabbath is every seventh day in an unbroken cycle, then ONE of the days Israel marched around Jericho had to have been the weekly Sabbath. You think about this. If you are interested in knowing how they took Jericho WITHOUT breaking the Sabbath, ask (or look at the calendar model above). It is so simple a child can figure it out. Let me know your response on this one, OK?

And it was in the second month, on the first day of the month, that YHWH said to Joshua, Rise up, behold I have given Jericho into thy hand with all the people thereof; and all your fighting men shall go round the city, once each day, thus shall ye do for six days.
And on the seventh day they went round the city seven times, and the priests blew upon trumpets.
Jasher 88:14, 17

8. You cannot count backward by 7 to any of the years accepted by scholars for the crucifixion [30, 31 or 33 A.D.] and find a fri, satyrday (Sabbath), sun. crucifixion weekend. There are three reasons for this. 1) In the first century, Israel was still observing the natural calendar established at Creation. 2) Nature [the cycle of the moon] does not lie. 3) Rome was not using the current calendar in the first century either. They had an 8 day market calendar called the Roman Republican Calendar. Since Israel was not using the Julian or Gregorian calendar in the first century (Hint: the current calendar, the Gregorian calendar, was not invented until 1582) and Rome was using an 8 day weekly calendar, why do you assume that the crucifixion was on a fri day followed by a satyrday in the grave and a s-day resurrection? Rome did not officially adopt the pagan seven day planetary week, which is still in use today, until 321 A.D. The Scandinavian pagan named days (woden’s day, thor’s day and freya’s day or friday, were not added to the calendar until the Middle Ages, several hundred years after the crucifixion.

Chew on these 8 questions and facts Brian while I respond to all of your arguments you have brought against the Lunar Sabbath. You have made a very regrettable mistake to claim that your arguments against the Lunar Sabbath are Scriptural when I’ve just used Scripture to prove that the Sabbaths are Lunar and that satyrday cannot possibly be the Sabbath. Just how regrettable will be directly proportional to the relative ease in which I am able to respond to your claims.

Here are your arguments...

Argument #1) When Joseph had his dream about “the sun and themoon and the eleven stars” bowing to him, Jacob made the connection right away that the sun had male attributes and the moon had female attributes, Jacob stated: “shall I and your mother and your brethren indeed come to bow down ourselves to you to the earth.” (sic? This was a question.) Gen. 37:9-10

Elsewhere the sun is given male attributes: “as a bridegroom coming out of his chamber, and rejoiceth as a strong man to run a race.” Psalm 19:5 see “his” also in verse 6

“But unto you that fear My name shall the Sun of righteousness arise with healing in his wings.” Malachi 4:2 (see also Judges 5:31; Isa. 13:10

No one denies that genders are applied to certain Hebrew words. What is your point here? This is common to all languages. In English, feminine pronouns are used to describe the earth (mother earth), ships, nations and churches, etc., while masculine pronouns are used to describe time (father time), the human race (mankind), death (grim reaper), etc. Hurricanes used to receive only male names until the feminists started complaining.

In Spanish el gato means “the cat” but el is a masculine pronoun. What if the cat is a girl? La cucaracha means the roach, but la is a feminine pronoun. What if the roach is a boy?

The Sun is a type of Yahushua the Son who stated: “I am the light of the world.” Jn 8:12; 9:5 His bride the church reflects the light of her Groom, she is clothed with His righteousness, expressed in Revelation 12 as “clothed with the sun.”
The sun being a type is irrelevant, not being on point. However, Revelation presents a pretty good picture of the last day assembly of believers. Revelation 12 reveals a woman (body of believers) who clearly understands the calendar of YHVH, which is found in the sun, moon and stars. Please note that she is not standing on the moon, she has not conquered moon worship, rather the moon is shown as being under her feet; it is her foundation.

The Moon is given female attributes, as in Joseph’s dream. Also the church is “likened unto a comely and delicate woman.” Jer. 6:2, and is “fair as the moon.” Song of Solomon 6:10

What is your point Brian? Does it matter if the sun is masculine or the moon feminine in Hebrew? How does this affect Genesis 1:14? The calendar of YHVH is found in the heavens, the two great lights, and the stars also.

The king and queen of heaven are considered “rulers,” the greater light, the sun rules the day (Gen. 1:16 & Ps. 136:8), and therefore rules the seventh day, which means that the moon does not rule the day, nor govern the seventh day, and thus the moon does not govern the “week”. [It is true, the greater light will rule the day and the lesser light will rule the night, but you have taken these texts out of context. Genesis 1:14 is the context of Genesis 1:16. In verse 14, Yah is explaining that His calendar will be regulated by the lights in the heavens. These two lights will regulate the signs (owth), seasons (mo’edim), days and years. Please note that Night is not one of the calendar segments listed. Night is not on the calendar. Genesis 1:16 and Psalm 136:8-9 is speaking about what portion of the day/night cycle will be ruled by which light. Genesis 1:16 and Psalm 136:8-9 are doing nothing more than dividing up the day/night cycle. The signs, seasons and years are NOT mentioned in these two verses, but ARE regulated by one or both of these two lights as per Genesis 1:14.] The queen of heaven, the moon, rules the night (Gen. 1:16 & Ps. 136:9), like the female she has a monthly cycle. “You created the moon to mark the months.” Ps. 104:19 TEV The KJV reads the same verse a little different “He appointed the moon for seasons.” Ps. 104:19 But, the moon does not determine the seasons of Spring, Summer, Autumn and Winter. The sun determines the seasons. The KJV should be understood in this sense; He appointed the moon for festive seasons.

Earlier you said let Yah be true and every man a liar, and here you are CORRECTING Scripture! First you offer a horrible translation for Psalm 104:19, the TEV. While it is true that the Father did create the moon to regulate the months, that is NOT what the Hebrew in Psalm 104:19 says and you know it.

The three Hebrew words used in this sentence are: He appointed the moon for seasons. The word “month” does not exist anywhere in this verse.

Brian, you also know that the Hebrew word translated seasons (H4150) does not mean Spring, Summer, Autumn and Winter. Lunar Sabbath keepers know this as well. And while we agree that seasons is not a very good translation of the word mo’edim in this particular verse, “festive season” is not what mo’edim means in this verse either.

Mo’edim means a festival, an assembly, a congregation, place of meeting, signal, appointed time, set or solemn feast, etc. We know for a fact that Israel worshipped before YHVH at certain phases of the moon in certain months, we commonly call them the feasts.

I have more to say, but it will be better served in answer to your next argument…
Argument #2) Now the seventh day Sabbath is not a festive season, because it reoccurs all year. Although Lev. 23 calls the Sabbath a feast, Ps 104:19 cannot include the moon for determining the Sabbath, because a festive season refers strictly to the annual Feast days.

Brian, you do err, not knowing the Scriptures, and you really should reconsider before Deuteronomy 4:2 applies to you.

It is true; the Sabbath is not a “festive season”. Mo’edim does not mean festive season, it means set feast or appointed time. These are times to meet with YHVH, occasions that are announced by the blowing of the shofar. And, Brian, regardless of your interpretation, Leviticus 23:1-3 twice calls the WEEKLY Sabbath a feast (H4150—mo ‘ed). Genesis 1:14 clearly states that the H4150 mo’edim will be regulated by either the sun or the moon, but Psalm 104:19 reduces those two options down to ONE—the moon.

If the sun does not regulate the set feasts or appointed times (and we all know it doesn’t Brian), then the moon is the only other option and Psalm 104:19 flat out SAYS that the moon regulates the H4150 feasts. How can you argue that the moon can’t regulate the Sabbath when Scripture calls the weekly Sabbath a feast two times in the Torah and you know full well that the sun does not regulate the mo’edim? Are you so desperate to keep saturday as your Sabbath that you are willing to ignore what Scripture says and to change the meaning of the Hebrew words to fit your own theology?

Genesis 1:14 is a calendar statement. Leviticus 23:1-3 is a calendar statement. By itself, the first half of Psalm 104:19 may not appear to be a calendar statement, but combined with these two other verses, I think even the most ignorant person in the room would admit that Psalm 104:19 is saying the SAME thing that Genesis 1:14 is saying, only that it is more specific. Brian, you are a lot smarter than the most ignorant person in the room, which means that you are purposely and willfully NOT accepting the Scriptural evidence. Shame on you.

“He made the moon also to serve in her season for the declaration of times, and a sign to the world. From the Moon is the sign of the Feasts, a light that decreaseth in her perfection. The month is called after her name, increasing wonderfully in her changing, being an instrument of the armies above shining in the firmament of heaven.” Ecclesiasticus 43:6-8

For those not familiar with this passage, it is not from Ecclesiastes, the book between Proverbs and Song of Solomon. Ecclesiasticus is a book from the Apocrypha, also known as Sirach.

Notice in the above quote that the Week is not called after her name!

But notice that the Sabbath is called a sign, using the SAME Hebrew word found in Genesis 1:14 and more than likely the same Hebrew/Aramic word used in the Apocrypha.

And Elohim said, Let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, H226 and for seasons, H4150 and for days, H3117 and years. H8141

Genesis 1:14
Speak thou also unto the children of Israel, saying, Verily My sabbaths 
H7676 ye shall keep: for it is a sign 
H226 between Me and you throughout your generations; that ye may know that I am YHVH that doth sanctify you. **Exodus 31:13**

I would not dream of leaving you with out 2-3 witnesses, considering that this is one of the ways YHVH told us to establish what is truth….

Moreover also I gave them My sabbaths, 
H7676 to be a sign 
H226 between Me and them, that they might know that I am YHVH that sanctify them. **Ezekiel 20:12**

And hallow My sabbaths, 
H7676 and they shall be a sign 
H226 between Me and you, that ye may know that I am YHVH your Elohim. **Ezekiel 20:20**

The Sabbath is a sign Brian. **Genesis 1:14** is a calendar statement. The Sabbath is a calendar event. I just gave you three texts calling the Sabbath (a calendar event) a sign, meaning that either the sun or the moon will regulate the weekly Sabbath. Can you go outside, look at the sun and tell whether it is satyrday or the Sabbath? No, because you are looking at the wrong light in the heavens.

How can you say that the moon does not regulate the week and Sabbath when, by process of elimination, regulation of the weekly Sabbath by the sun is completely ruled out?

Together these rulers, the king and queen of heaven determine the year, that is, the new moon after the Vernal Equinox!

Brian, I understand you are using a metaphor, but that is rather dangerous, don’t you think? Even Nebuchadnezzar, in **Daniel 4:37**, recognized YHVH as the king of heaven. And the year does not begin at the new moon after the Vernal Equinox.

You say this because of the a statement made in the appendix of **Spirit of Prophecy, Vol. 4, 1884 edition**, p. 497 and **Great Controversy, 1888 edition**, p. 681. I respect Mrs. White for the things she was shown, but she did not write the appendices found in these two books. In fact they are no longer included in the newer editions of the Great Controversy, but the falsehood continues. Here are three quotes (3 witnesses) from EGW that shows when SHE felt Passover would occur.

“… The time of the Passover corresponded to the close of March or the beginning of April, and the whole land was bright with flowers, and glad with the song of birds. All along the way were spots memorable in the history of Israel, and fathers and mothers recounted to their children the wonders that God had wrought for His people in ages past. They beguiled their journey with song and music, and when at last the towers of Jerusalem came into view, every voice joined in the triumphant strain.” **Desire of Ages, p. 75**

“The first of these festivals, the Passover, the feast of unleavened bread, occurred in Abib, the first month of the Jewish year, corresponding to the last of March and the beginning of April. The cold of winter was past, the latter rain had ended, and all nature rejoiced in the freshness and beauty of the springtime. The grass was green on the hills and valleys, and wild flowers everywhere brightened the fields. The moon, now approaching the full, made the evenings delightful.” **Patriarchs and Prophets, p. 537**
At least she is consistent. Here is another quote from 1896: “The feast was held near the close of March or the beginning of April. This was springtime in Palestine, and the whole land was bright with flowers, and glad with the song of birds.” Story of Jesus, p. 31.

Notice that she offers NO evidence that Passover can take place as late in the pagan months of April or early May. Notice that she does not say that the month of Abib began in late March or early April, she said Passover (Abib 14) would be in late March or early April, which means that the month would have begun two weeks previous, which means that the first new moon could very well be before the vernal equinox. Is it possible to misunderstand these very clear statements? Nature proves that the new moon nearest the Spring Equinox announces the new year, not always the new moon after, and Sister White agrees. The ONLY way for Passover to be late in the pagan months of March to early April is if you start the new lunar-solar year at the new moon nearest the spring equinox (+/- March 21). Nature is the first gospel. All nature screams the majesty of YHVH.

Brian, you taught me that in new moon in 1844 took place one day before the vernal equinox, which put Passover near the first of the pagan month of May. Brian, the barley harvest is caused by the increasing light from the sun, not heat, not the amount of rain. There has NEVER been a barley harvest in late April or early May because the barley does not the servant of ignorant men. When you see THIS moon:

![Moon Image](image)

...this is the announcement in the heavens that the new year has begun. This bowl shaped moon appears ONE time in the year, and you admitted below that the year is regulated by the sun and the moon (quite to my surprise). The sun brings on the first season of the year (Spring), but the moon announces the first month of the year. This moon above is the one that YHVH was pointing out to Moses and Aaron in Exodus 12:2.
This chodesh [New Moon] shall be unto you the beginning of chodeshim [months]: it shall be the first chodesh [New Moon] of the year to you.

If the year begins only ONE time a year (near the beginning of spring), then the moon that obviously regulates the lunar months should have a signal that is seen only ONE time in the year near the beginning of spring. This bowl shaped moon, not tipped but flat across the horns, is seen ONLY at the new moon nearest the Spring Equinox.

The moon does its job, and Ellen White corroborates this by saying THREE times that Passover occurs in late march or early april.

“And Elohim said, Let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to divide the day from the night: and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and years.” Gen. 1:14

Signs: (the moon for the months)
Seasons: (the sun, festive seasons the moon)
Days: (the sun)
Years: (the sun & moon)

Signs: There are prophesied to be signs in the sun, moon AND stars. But if we are speaking only of calendar events, then I agree. The moon regulates the signs, including the weekly Sabbath (Exodus 31:13, Ezekiel 20:12 and 20:20). Brian, the word sign makes no reference to the month and you know it. Will you continue to mislead your readers like this or will I have to continue to hold your feet to the fire?

Seasons: The seasons spoken referred to by the Hebrew word mo‘edim are NOT the seasons caused by the sun (winter, spring, summer and fall). The Hebrew word mo‘edim, in this context, refers to the set feasts, appointed times, of which the weekly Sabbath is one. The light that regulates them is the moon, Genesis 1:14, Psalm 104:19, Leviticus 23:1-3.

Interestingly, you got the days and years correct.

Argument #3) The Weekly Cycle goes back to creation week, where the seventh day is made a memorial of creation, in other words to keep in memory, to “Remember”. “And Elohim blessed the seventh day, and sanctified it.” (or, set it apart) Gen 2:3 This indicates the seventh day should follow a regular and uninterrupted sequence from the first day of creation until now, every seventh day without breaks century after century.

Brian, does YHVH let you put Him in a box like this often?

Yes, the weekly cycle goes back to creation, but that weekly cycle is NOT the pagan planetary week which was first observed between 800-600 B.C., that was later adopted by the Roman Empire in A.D. 321 and which is now generally observed by nearly every nation on earth.

What about Creation week in general or Genesis 2:3 in particular indicates that “the seventh day should follow a regular and uninterrupted sequence from the first day of creation until now”? You have said repeatedly that the week is only seven days in duration. Lunar Sabbath keepers agree. All this verse says is that YHVH blessed the seventh day OF THE WEEK. It says nothing about every seventh day in succession and you know it. You are inserting this definition of the week because it is your belief, but it is NOT what Scripture says and we both know it.
In your opinion, the seventh day SHOULD follow a regular and uninterrupted sequence because the pagan planetary week that you promote presents uninterrupted seven day weeks. There is nothing in this text or any other Sabbath reference that indicates that the weeks are not interrupted by virtue of New Moon each month. All these verses comment on the sanctity of the seventh day of the WEEK. Where the weeks fit into a month is neither mentioned nor implied.

“A long habit of not thinking a thing wrong gives it the superficial appearance of being right.”

_Thomas Paine._

This is the ONLY evidence you can provide, Brian. If you had something else you would have provided it by now. You have your tradition and nothing more.

In Scripture the First Day of the Week followed immediately AFTER the Sabbath day (Matt. 28:1, Mk. 16:1, 2; Luke 23:56-24:1, Jn. 20:1). A week in Scripture is consistently 7 days or years (see Gen. 29:27, 28; Dan 9:27). Which rules out going 8 or 9 days to start the week up again, which Lunar Sabbath observers have to do. In Greek the first day of the week is called “the first of the Sabbath(s).”

And without trying you just helped prove the Lunar Sabbath. In each of the NT passages above you proved that the first day of the week followed the 15th day of the month. These verses are all about the crucifixion weekend, and the 15th day of Abib is not only a weekly Sabbath but also the annual Sabbath of Unleavened Bread. In no case did you provide any evidence in Scripture that the first day of the week follows the LAST Sabbath of a lunar month (and you can’t) because it is this area of the calendar months that the new moon interrupts the weekly cycle.

For the record, there is no such thing as an 8 or 9 day week. You don’t observe weeks that long and neither do Lunar Sabbath keepers. All weeks in Scripture are 7 days in duration. However, you have neglected to properly identify, define and include New Moon days in your calendar. _Proof 5_ above (pp. 20-21) reveals that Scripture does not include New Moon days in the week. They are a third category of day. Your calendar model collapses under the weight of this Scriptural evidence.

The first day of the week is never separated from the seventh day of that week. So the Greek rendering of the Hebrew week is quite accurate as the first day of the week is the first (day in the count toward each) of the Sabbaths. This fact is not altered by the Lunar-solar Creation Calendar. You are trying to connect the seventh day of one week with the first day of the next.

_Argument #4_ The moon was not created until day 4. (see Gen. 1:14-16). Second Witness: “Upon the fourth day thou commanded that the Sun should shine, and the Moon give her light, and the stars (planets) should be in order.” II Esdras 6:45 KJV Apocrypha [First of all, there is no evidence that the Apocrypha is completely inspired. It is not still included in Scripture for a reason. Second, the account in Genesis destroys the account in II Esdras. Evidence below.] The first creation week was already in progress without a moon, therefore the moon cannot govern the weekly cycle. Likewise the Sun also was not created until the fourth day. While the sun may rule the day, it does not rule the week. Yahuwah your Elohim Creator of heaven and earth RULES the week. All that was needed for the weekly cycle to begin was the earth to spin on its axis. The seventh turn or revolution was to be a blessed day with your Creator.
Two major issues here. Both prove your ignorance of Scripture. Brian, ignorance may seem like a strong word, but it is not fatal, nor is it meant as an insult. Ignorance is not permanent and is easily corrected. Ignorance means you lack knowledge. Add that knowledge and ignorance disappears. Yah has winked at our collective ignorance for centuries, and He continues to wink at yours, but there will come a time when He will call all men to repent, see Acts 17:30. This means that all will be shown the evidence of their ignorance which will cause righteous men to repent. Here is that evidence of the ignorance I mentioned…

1. The sun and moon were not “created” from scratch on the fourth day. The first week and month of earth’s history (and every other month in Scripture) looked like this…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Moon (Genesis 1:1-2)</th>
<th>Day 1 of week (Genesis 1:3-5)</th>
<th>Day 2 of week (Genesis 1:6-8)</th>
<th>Day 3 of week (Genesis 1:9-13)</th>
<th>Day 4 of week (Genesis 1:14-19)</th>
<th>Day 5 of week (Genesis 1:20-23)</th>
<th>Day 6 of week (Genesis 1:24-31)</th>
<th>7th day Sabbath (Genesis 2:1-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What was the first thing created during creation week? Light? No. Genesis 1:1-2 says heaven and earth. What this means becomes clear based on what was done on the next day, the first day of the work week. And this is where some refuse to “see”, even though it is ink on the page.

And Elohim said, Let there be light: and there was light. And Elohim saw the light, that it was good: and Elohim divided the light from the darkness. And Elohim called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day. Genesis 1:3-5

There is only one light source in the universe that can divide Day from Night, the sun. The Hebrew word day (yom) means heat as from the sun. Since the Hebrew understanding of day (yom) requires sunlight, if the sun was NOT lit on day one, how are there 3 numbered “days” before the 4th week day of Creation? According to Genesis 1:3-5, this separation of day and night is the sole responsibility of the one great luminary lit on that day, the sun.

Since the sun was lit in Genesis 1:3-5, the moon was thus illuminated as the moon resides in the same heaven with the sun, meaning the sun, moon and stars were not created on day 4! Can this be proven from Scripture? Sure. Moses used two different words in the creation account. One means created from scratch (H#1254, bara, an example of which is found in Genesis 1:1), the other (asah) doesn’t. An example of the other word is found in Genesis 1:16.

And God made (asah) two great lights; the greater light to rule the day, and the lesser light to rule the night: he made the stars also. And God set them in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth, And to rule over the day and over the night, and to divide the light from the darkness: and God saw that it was good. And the evening and the morning were the fourth day. Genesis 1:16-19
This word “made” (Strong’s H\# 6213) is used several times during the creation week. This is the Hebrew word asah, (ah-saw).

Created: H1254 \( \text{בּּרָא} \), baw-
raw’, A primitive root; (absolutely) to create; (qualified) to cut down (a wood), select, feed (as formative processes): - choose, create (creator), cut down, dispatch, do, make (fat). See Genesis 1:1

Made: H6213 \( \text{‘ָּשָּׁה} \), aw-saw’, A primitive root; to do or make, in the broadest sense and widest application: - accomplish, advance, appoint, apt, be at, become, bear, bestow, bring forth, bruise, be busy, X certainly, have the charge of, commit, deal (with), deck, + displease, do, (ready) dress (-ed), (put in) execute (-ion), exercise, fashion, + feast, [fight-] ing man, + finish, fit, fly, follow, fulfil, furnish, gather, get, go about, govern, grant, great, + hinder, hold ([a feast]), X indeed, + be industrious, + journey, keep, labour, maintain, make, be meet, observe, be occupied, offer, + officer, pare, bring (come) to pass, perform, practise, prepare, procure, provide, put, requite, X sacrifice, serve, set, shew, X sin, spend, X surely, take, X thoroughly, trim, X very, + vex, be [warr-] ior, work (-man), yield, use. See Genesis 1:16

Asah means made from something, or advanced upon or appointed. The Hebrew word “made” would be used in this sentence; I made (asah) my bed this morning. The bed was not created from scratch this morning; it was already there, it was only advanced upon and made ready for use the next night. For example: He appointed (asah) the moon for seasons…Psalm 104:19. Here David very nearly quotes Genesis 1:14, only he’s very specific about the role of the moon. Genesis 1:14 says the two great luminaries in the heavens were created to regulate signs, seasons, days and years. Seasons here in Psalm 104:19 is the same Hebrew seasons found in Genesis 1:14; mo’edim, meaning appointed times or set feasts. Leaviticus 23:1-3 calls the weekly Sabbath a feast, using the same Hebrew word, mo’edim. So, right from creation, the Sabbath has been regulated by a luminary in the heavens, not by a pagan/papal man-made calendar created for the express purpose of worshipping false gods.

The simple fact is that time on earth began in Genesis 1:1-2, not Genesis 1:3-5. It is the movement of the sun, moon and stars that define time on earth. Also, one of the physical laws of the universe is that without motion there can be no time. The inverse is also true, without time there can be no motion. So, since time began on earth at the moment the Creator spoke heaven and earth into existence, what all did He create and set in motion at that time? Do you deny the absolute laws of the universe? You must deny them if you insist that the sun and moon did not exist until the 4th day of Creation. Lunar Sabbatarians do not deny universal law, we embrace it.

YHVH created earth and heaven (clearly along with its celestial bodies) at a certain point in time defined in Genesis 1:1-2. He doesn’t call it a day because the time piece that regulates the day was not yet lit. Then at the beginning of the first day of the week, YHVH lights the sun creating light and day and night as a result. Since the earth and sun were created during the initial event, it is natural that the other objects in the heavens (planets, moons, et al) were created as well. We are not wrestling the Scriptures because the underlying Hebrew should have been translated in this way. Then on day four, YHVH advanced upon the luminaries that He had created earlier [when He created the heavens and the earth and then illuminated them on day one], and ordained them, appointed them as time keepers. The moon rules the week/Sabbath (signs) and the month (seasons). The sun rules the day and the sun and moon work together to regulate the year.
“Most of our so-called reasoning consists in finding arguments for going on believing as we already do.” James Harvey Robinson.

“There is a principle which is a bar against all information, which is proof against all arguments, and which cannot fail to keep a man in everlasting ignorance; that principle is contempt prior to investigation.” Herbert Spencer

2. The earth does not spin on an axis and it is not revolving around the sun. You already know this but it just has not sunk in yet because several times I’ve seen you use one of the verses that prove this very thing. Here is that verse…

Psalm 19:4-6 - Their line is gone out through all the earth, and their words to the end of the world. In them hath He [YHVH] set a tabernacle for the sun, Which [the sun] is as a bridegroom coming out of his chamber, and rejoiceth as a strong man to run a race. His [the sun’s] going forth is from the end of the heaven, and his [the sun’s] circuit unto the ends of it: and there is nothing hid from the heat thereof.

The sun “races” around the earth—which is a conclusion you’ve never come to because you were not paying attention to what the text was actually SAYING. In order for the sun to go around the earth, the earth would have to be rather stationary.

You like witnesses, don’t you? The earth does not move! Three witnesses…

Psalm 93:1 - YHVH reigneth, He is clothed with majesty; YHVH is clothed with strength, wherewith He hath girded Himself: the world also is stablished, that it cannot be moved.

Psalm 96:10 - Say among the heathen that YHVH reigneth: the world also shall be established that it shall not be moved: He shall judge the people righteous.

I Chronicles 16:29-30 - Give unto YHVH the glory due unto His name: bring an offering, and come before Him: worship YHVH in the beauty of holiness. Fear before Him, all the earth: the world also shall be stable, that it be not moved.

Below are some of the texts from a study I’ve prepared on this subject. Here is a link to the entire study: http://www.creationcalendar.com/files/Geocentricity.pdf

Joshua 10:12-13 - Then spake Joshua to YHVH in the day when YHVH delivered up the Amorites before the children of Israel, and he said in the sight of Israel, Sun, stand thou still upon Gibeon; and thou, Moon, in the valley of Ajalon. And the sun stood still, and the moon stayed, until the people had avenged themselves upon their enemies. Is not this written in the book of Jasher? So the sun stood still in the midst of heaven, and hasted not to go down about a whole day.

Habakkuk 3:11 - The sun and moon stood still in their habitation… Only something that was previously moving can be said to have stood still.
Why is it that Scripture says repeatedly that the sun rises (Genesis 19:23, Judges 9:33, Matthew 5:45, Mark 16:2, et al) or goes down (Psalm 50:1, Genesis 15:2, Exodus 17:12, Luke 4:40, Ephesians 4:26, et al) but NEVER says that the earth rotates around the sun or spins on an axis?

Science has NEVER been able to prove that the earth is moving, rotating around the sun or spinning on an axis. Mathematically, science has determined the equations that would describe such movement, but cannot prove it to be true. The fact that they use geocentric (sun goes around the earth) equations to keep our satellites in orbit around the earth should tell you something. If they were to program our satellites with heliocentric (the earth goes around the sun) equations the satellites fly off into outer space.

If you are somewhere between “OK, but I can’t wrap my mind around this” and “This guy is an idiot”, please examine the evidence on the numerous websites at the end of the Geocentric study linked above. I’m not an idiot. I’m just simple minded enough to accept Scripture for what it says (and does not say). Nature does not lie, however, men and science do.

I wish to state for the record, Brian, that I do not consider you to be a liar. I have always thought that for an English speaking layman, you were one of the best students of Hebrew and Greek in the feast keeping movement. You’ve unearthed things in Scripture that no one else was finding, so I KNOW you know how to study. The fact that you are NOT finding these things or coming to the conclusions that Lunar Sabbatarians have come to is because you are not looking, not because the evidence is not there. Ignorance and willful ignorance are not the same thing.

Wake up my friend. Laodicea does not look good on you.

**Argument #5** Pentecost is called the “Feast of Weeks” (Ex. 34:22; Num. 28:26; Deut. 16:9, 10, 16; II Chr. 8:13). “Seven Sabbaths shall be complete: even unto the morrow after the seventh Sabbath will you number fifty days.” Lev. 23:15-16  No fixed date by the moon! [Brian, even though I agree that this feast is not fixed in Leviticus 23:15-16 by a given lunar date, it does fall on the last Sabbath of the 4th month when you carry out the count instructions given.] We must “count” (Lev. 23:15) from the morrow after “ha-Shabbat” (the seventh-day Sabbath) that falls during the seven days of Unleavened Bread (Lev. 23:11).

Again, you offer your interpretation rather than what the passage says in context. This is not good exegesis, it is pride of opinion and prejudice—in this case prejudice AGAINST the truth.

Your interpretation is that this “count” begins after a satyrday that will inevitably fall somewhere during the 7 days of Unleavened Bread, when in fact, it is referring to the 15th day of Abib as the 15th is the ONLY Sabbath date identified in the context of the passage…

And on the fifteenth day of the same month is the feast of unleavened bread unto YHVH: seven days ye must eat unleavened bread.  
In the first day ye shall have an holy convocation: ye shall do no servile work therein.  
But ye shall offer an offering made by fire unto YHVH seven days: in the seventh day is an holy convocation: ye shall do no servile work therein.  
And YHVH spake unto Moses, saying,  
Speak unto the children of Israel, and say unto them, When ye be come into the land which I give unto you, and shall reap the harvest thereof, then ye shall bring a sheaf of the firstfruits of your harvest unto the priest:
And he shall wave the sheaf before YHVH, to be accepted for you: on the morrow after the sabbath the priest shall wave it. — Leviticus 23:6-11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Moon Day</th>
<th>First Week Day</th>
<th>Second Week Day</th>
<th>Third Week Day</th>
<th>Fourth Week Day</th>
<th>Fifth Week Day</th>
<th>Sixth Week Day</th>
<th>Seventh Week Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first day of the feast and the “seventh day” [of the week in context] are one and the same. The 21st is the 6th day of the week and of course the 7th day of a seven day feast, but the “seventh day” of Unleavened Bread is also spoken of in Exodus 13:6 and is called a chag (H2282) which is used 62 times in Scripture and is only used to refer to the pilgrimage feast days (found in Exodus 23:14-17), in this case, Abib 15. It is not referring to the last day of the feast, rather the first. This chag can only be the 15th of Abib.

The Sabbath commandment in Exodus 20 is linked to Creation week. The 4th commandment listed in Deuteronomy 5 is linked to the deliverance of Israel. That date was the 15th of Abib. I submit to you that this “seventh day” is Abib 15, and it is not only an annual Sabbath, but a weekly Sabbath as well. This is attested to by John 19:31 as “an high day” was when a weekly Sabbath and an annual Sabbath were celebrated on the same day. Since saturday is not qualified to be the Sabbath of Scripture, these high days occurred every year on the 15th days of the first and seventh months.

In The Antiquities of the Jews, Chapter 10, section 5, Josephus Flavius has this to say about the Passover season:

(248) “In the month of Xanthicus, which is by us called Nisan, and is the beginning of the year, on the fourteenth day of the lunar month, when the sun is in Aries (for in this month it was that we were delivered from bondage under the Egyptians, and law ordained that we should every year slay that sacrifice which I before told you we slew when we came out of Egypt, and which was called the Passover; and so we do celebrate this Passover in companies, leaving nothing of what we sacrifice till the day following. (249) The feast of unleavened bread succeeds that of the Passover, and falls on the fifteenth day of the month and continued seven days, wherein they feed on unleavened bread... (250) But on the second day of unleavened bread, which is the sixteenth day of the month, they first partake of the fruits of the earth, for before that day, they do not touch them. ... (251) They take a handful of ears, and dry them, then beat them small and purge the barley from the bran; they then bring one tenth deal to the altar, to Elohim; and, casting one handful of it upon the fire they leaven the rest for use of the priest; and after this it is that they may publicly or privately reap their harvest.”

Josephus calls Abib 16 wavesheaf day meaning that ONLY the day after Abib 15 was considered the first day of the week in first century Israel. So the waving of the firstfruit and the beginning of the count to Pentecost took place on Abib 16, the only morrow after the Sabbath (Abib 15) available in the context of the passage.
Leviticus 23:4-14 gives the timeline for this particular event. Leviticus 23 is Torah. In this passage, Abib 14 is Passover, the next day is the Sabbath of unleavened Bread, and the morrow after the Sabbath is Abib 16. If you require a historical reference for this, I presume that Josephus is acceptable. Josephus was a first century Hebrew historian, born in A.D. 37, and whose parents would have been in Jerusalem during Yahuwah’s ministry.

Josephus is explaining to the Gentile nations how the barley could be harvested on the 16th, and says exactly what Leviticus 23 says about the feast of Passover, Unleavened Bread and wavesheaf.

No matter how you slice it, according to the Lunar Sabbath belief, you will have numbered 50 days BEFORE you can get “seven Sabbaths”, because once a month their lunar week will extend 8 or 9 days. This is a real Scriptural embarrassment to the Lunar Sabbath doctrine because Yahuwah said “the morrow AFTER seventh Sabbath shall you number fifty days.” Lev. 23:16 [Why are you numbering 50 days from wavesheaf then? Read the passage above again Brian. You were told to number 50 days from the morrow after the seventh Sabbath, not wavesheaf. I’m not embarrassed to number 50 days after the seventh Sabbath. Why should I be?] As I said the Lunar-sabbath folks number fifty days BEFORE they can get seven Sabbaths! Bass ackwards ain’t it!

Actually, the only bass ackward thing here is you counting 50 days from wavesheaf. Leviticus 23:15-16 does not say to count 50 days from wavesheaf. We are told to number SABBATHS. So when you get to the seventh Sabbath, the only number on the board should be SEVEN! If you have 49, then you were not counting what you were TOLD to count. New Moon days are not the weekly Sabbaths, so they are not included in the first segment of this count. Count Sabbaths. Period. Then from the morrow after the seventh Sabbath shall you number 50 days.

Some have pointed out that “ad” or “od” (Strong’s H-5704, H-5703, translated as Even unto) does not mean “FROM” the morrow after the 7th Sabbath (and they would be correct) but H-4480 does. Guess where THAT word is?

Leviticus 23:16 Even unto 5704 the morrow 4480, 4283 after the seventh 7637 sabbath 7676 shall ye number 5608 fifty 2572 days, 3117 and ye shall offer 7126 a new 2319 meat offering 4503 unto YHVH. 3068

Look at what Strong’s says about H-5704 “whether of space (even unto) or time (during, while, until)”. Leviticus 23:16 is about time, not space, so we’ve been applying the word in the wrong manner all along. The Hebrew actually should have been translated: WHILE (H5704) FROM (H4480) the morrow (after the) seventh Sabbath (shall ye) number fifty days (and ye shall) offer a new meat offering (unto) YHVH.

The Fenton Translation is the ONLY translation that seems to be honest with this evidence:

You shall also count for yourselves from the day after the Sabbath that you bring the Wave-Sheaf, seven Sabbaths. They must be complete. Then after the seventh Sabbath, you shall count fifty days, when you shall present a new offering to the Ever-Living. Leviticus 23:15-16
In fact, Fenton agrees with Philo, a man who lived 2000 years ago. “The solemn assembly on the occasion of the festival of the sheaf having such great privileges, is the prelude to another festival of still greater importance; for from this day the fiftieth day is reckoned, making up the sacred number of seven sevens, with the addition of a unit as a seal to the whole…” The works of Philo, The Special Laws, II, The Seventh Festival, XXX (176), page 584.

This sounds as if Pentecost is 50 days after wavesheaf, doesn’t it? Let’s let Philo speak…

“These men assemble at the end of seven weeks venerating not only the simple week of seven days, but also its multiplied power. For they know it to be pure and always virgin; and it is a prelude and a kind of forefeast of the greatest feast, which is assigned to the number fifty, the most holy and natural of numbers…” The works of Philo, On The Contemplative Life, VIII (65), page 704.

Great, greater and greatest. Wavesheaf is the great feast spoken of. From this passage it is clear that at the end of the seven weeks there was a greater feast but the greatest was yet to come, the one assigned to the number 50. Remember that 50 day count? So, does Philo see Pentecost being 50 days from wave sheaf, or 50 days from the end of the seven week count?

Please examine this evidence for yourselves. Philo agrees with nature, Leviticus 23 and the Sinai account in Exodus 32. The correct count to Feast of Weeks looks like this…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Month</th>
<th>2nd Month</th>
<th>3rd Month</th>
<th>4th Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>1-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wave sheaf</td>
<td>1st “Sabbath complete”</td>
<td>2nd “Sabbath complete”</td>
<td>3rd “Sabbath complete”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th Sabbath</td>
<td>4th “Sabbath complete”</td>
<td>5th “Sabbath complete”</td>
<td>6th “Sabbath complete”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th Sabbath</td>
<td>7th “Sabbath complete”</td>
<td>8th “Sabbath complete”</td>
<td>9th “Sabbath complete”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please see these three studies for a complete examination of all the evidence:
This date (the 29th day of the 4th month) can be proved by three different methods, two counts in Scripture and one count in nature. This is three witnesses. If you are counting 50 days from wavesheaf, you are not only going against the count given in Scripture, you are using the Catholic count to Pentecost. If you think this is a serious charge, then seriously considering examining the evidence in the above three links. You won’t regret it.

Argument #6) The commandment states: “Six days shalt thou labor and do all thy work.” Ex. 20:9 The Lunar Sabbath belief is that the 8th, 15th, 22nd and 29th days of the lunar months are the Sabbaths to keep holy. But from the 29th to the 8th is a gap of 8 or 9 days depending if the month is 29 or 30 days, [that is correct] thus a person could work 7 or 8 days [Says who? New Moon days were not included in the work week. I don’t say so, Scripture says so, I’m just repeating it. It is clear that some work was done on New Moon (examples below) but none of it seems to be paid labor or what we would call commerce]. But Yahuwah your Creator said “six days shalt thou labor and do all thy work: but the seventh day is the Sabbath of Yahuwah your Elohim: in it you shall no do any work.” Ex. 20:9, 10

The foundation of the earth was laid on new moon day (Genesis 1:1). This was a segment of time BEFORE the first work day of the first month of the first year of earth’s history. The desert tabernacle was erected on new moon (Exodus 40:2-17), the foundation of Yah’s temple was laid on new moon day (1 Esdras 5:57) and the foundation of Solomon’s Temple was relaid on new moon day (Haggai 1:1, 2, 8, 12). There is a convocation that takes place on new moon day, but the convocation is called “holy”, not the day. For the Sabbath, the DAY is called “holy”. On the first day of the first month, Noah removed the roof from the ark (Genesis 8:13), not typically something that would be done on the weekly Sabbath, but was non-commerce labor. The first census was taken on new moon day (Numbers 1:1-4). Again, not a Sabbath activity, but neither was it commerce.

What is the problem here? It is not my fault that Scripture excludes the New Moon days from the work week. Live with it. Better yet, find a calendar that will support it. When you do, you too will be a Lunar Sabbatarian because there is no other calendar that holds up to the demands found in Scripture.

When it says Six days shalt thou labor and do all thy work but the seventh day is the Sabbath of YHVH your Elohim, it is talking about ONE week in time. The scope of this commandment does not take into account where a week fits into a month and to force it to do so or assume that it does will cause you to run afoul with Deuteronomy 4:2.

Argument #7) Notice the fourth commandment never mentions, Remember the 8th, 15th, 22nd, and 29th days of the lunar months are days to keep holy! Where in Scripture does it state that the Sabbath is the 8th, 15th, 22nd and 29th? [If you have to ask, it proves that you have not examined the evidence. But SINCE you asked, I will be only too happy to show you where Scripture says this.] Because with no instruction on this, maybe the Babylonians were correct going by the 7th, 14th, 21st, 28th. Or, someone could just make up their own version going by the 9th, 16th, 23rd, 30th or (1st). Thus, there is a lack of Biblical instruction for the lunar sabbaths.

Not to argue, but the sabbaths the Babylonian worshipped on were the 1st, 7th, 14th, 19th, 21st and 28th days of the month. Again, you did not do your homework before you opened your mouth.
He that answereth a matter before he heareth it, it is folly and shame unto him.  Proverbs 18:13

Click here to see where the Scriptures call the 8\textsuperscript{th}, 15\textsuperscript{th}, 22\textsuperscript{nd} and 29\textsuperscript{th} days of the lunar months the weekly Sabbaths: http://www.creationcalendar.com/CalendarIssue/28-8-15-22-29_Proof.pdf. If Lunar Sabbatarians had no evidence for their Sabbaths, they would be the same caliber of student as satyrday Sabbatarians. We HAVE evidence. You don’t.

**Argument #8)** Again the Lunar Sabbath teaching is that the 8\textsuperscript{th}, 15\textsuperscript{th}, 22\textsuperscript{nd}, and 29\textsuperscript{th} days of every lunar month are Sabbaths. [Yeah, we covered that part.] Someone forgot to inform Yahuwah your Creator of this when HE was in the cloudy pillar. [Tread softly Brian; you are putting Yah in your box of doctrines again. Someone forgot to inform Yahushua of this too I guess as he instructed the paralytic to carry his bedroll home on the Sabbath after being released from his physical bondage, John 5:1-11.] Check it out for yourself! The cloudy pillar ignored the 15\textsuperscript{th} day of the month as a Sabbath because Yahuwah caused the children of Israel to carry all their burdens on that day in their journey. [And you JUST added to Torah (Deuteronomy 4:2).] Scripture never says they carried their burdens out of Egypt. Truth be told, they LEFT their burdens behind in Egypt. They did take their meager belongings with them though.] Here is the quote: “All the children of Israel came into the wilderness of Sin, . . . **ON the fifteenth day of the second month.**” Ex. 16:1 Do you realize how good this quote is? This quote is as good as if it had said that the Sabbath was on the 12\textsuperscript{th} day of the month. Because it shows that the 15\textsuperscript{th} day of the month was NOT a Sabbath. If the fifteenth day would have been a Sabbath the cloudy pillar would have rested and the children of Israel would not have carried their burdens on that day. I suggest we follow the cloudy pillar and not Satan’s whirlwinds full of dirt and mire.

Brian, when is it ever a sin to be released from bondage (spiritual or physical) on the Sabbath? They were not carrying any burdens, this was not considered work, this was a victory parade. You read Deuteronomy 5:12-15 and then tell me that YHVH did not know that Abib 15 (the day after Passover) was the weekly Sabbath.

*Keep the sabbath day to sanctify it, as YHVH thy Elohim hath commanded thee.*

*Six days thou shalt labour, and do all thy work:*

*But the seventh day is the sabbath of YHVH thy Elohim: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, nor thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thine ox, nor thine ass, nor any of thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates; that thy manservant and thy maidservant may rest as well as thou.*

*And remember that thou wast a servant in the land of Egypt, and that YHVH thy Elohim brought thee out thence through a mighty hand and by a stretched out arm: therefore YHVH thy Elohim commanded thee to keep the sabbath day.*  Deuteronomy 5:12-15

See also Exodus 20:8-11 and Leviticus 23:6-8. Even though Scripture does not come out and say so, one could say that Exodus 20 makes a claim that the weekly Sabbath is a memorial to the Creation week. But Deuteronomy 5 proves that the weekly Sabbath is also a memorial to the exodus from Egypt. That occurred on the 15\textsuperscript{th} day of the first lunar month, and is memorialized for all eternity.

Checkmate. Brian, you brought this upon yourself. I have shown you the error of your conclusions for years and still you persist in worshipping the Creator using a pagan calendar.
The only calendar that provides for these events looks like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Moon Day</th>
<th>First Week Day</th>
<th>Second Week Day</th>
<th>Third Week Day</th>
<th>Fourth Week Day</th>
<th>Fifth Week Day</th>
<th>Sixth Week Day</th>
<th>Seventh Week Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Argument #9**) Leviticus 23 lists all the Feasts for the Levite to proclaim to be holy gatherings and perform special services, offer sacrifices, etc. including the weekly Sabbath.

1st Feast listed: the weekly Sabbath, **NO date given by the moon.** Lev. 23:2-3.

2nd Feast listed: Unleavened Bread, **date given by the moon** beginning at the fourteenth day of the month at even, continuing seven days. Lev. 23:5, 6 compare Ex. 12:18

3rd Feast listed: Pentecost or Shabuot meaning ‘weeks’, no date given by the moon, but semi-related with the moon, for the count began after the weekly Sabbath that came within the days of Unleavened Bread. They were to count seven Sabbaths (49 days), next day was the 50th, called in Greek Pentecost. [Um, excuse me, there is **NO date given by the moon** for Pentecost. One is not hinted at or implied. Granted, the actual date is the 29th day of the 4th month, so it DOES fall on a lunar derived date, but it is not stated anywhere in the text. Rather, a mathematical formula is given instead. The fact that weekly Sabbaths and this Feast is not given a specific lunar date means that a specific lunar date is not necessary to still conclude that these appointed times are regulated by the moon as stated elsewhere in Scripture.]

4th Feast listed: Feast of Trumpets, **date given by the moon**, first day of the seventh month Lev 23:24, culminating with the Day of Atonement on the tenth day of the month Lev. 23:27-32

5th Feast listed: Tabernacles, **date given by the moon**, fifteenth day of the seventh month for seven days, and the eighth day is a special day called in the Hebrew “shabbaton”. Lev. 23:39

Notice the annual Feasts are given dates by the moon, but **not** the weekly Sabbath!

The Sabbath is at the end of each week. There would have to be at least 48 lunar dates given to accommodate your accusation. Brian, don’t you think ancient Israelites, even those with a low IQ, knew when the end of each week occurred? It ain’t rocket science. **Genesis 1:14, Psalm 104:19** and Leviticus 23:1-3 already prove that the Sabbath is regulated by the moon by virtue that it is **LISTED in Leviticus 23** among the other mo’edim, the other two verses stating that the calendar mo’edim would be regulated by the lights in the heavens, the moon in particular.

The fact that the only weekly Sabbaths that can be date identified in Scripture fall on the 8th, 15th, 22nd, and 29th days of the lunar months is more than enough evidence. The study that lists all of the known texts (to date) where the Sabbaths are date identified on these days of the month is above embedded in **Proof Question 3** on p. 20.

“When the heart is brought into harmony with the word, a new life will spring up within you, a new light will shine upon every line of the word, and it will become the voice of G-d to your soul. **In this way you will take celestial observations, and know whither you are going, and be able to make the most of your privileges to-day.**” **Ellen White, Review & Herald, 11-24-1891** *(Christian Education, p. 80)*
This is an utterly amazing quote. Here’s another.

“The searching of the Scriptures would reveal the harmony existing between the various parts of the Bible, and the bearing of one passage upon another. We do not perceive the meaning of the word of G-d without much study; but the reward of the study of the Bible is exceedingly precious to him who fears G-d and earnestly searches for truth as for hidden treasure. At the present day there are a large number in our churches who are not sufficiently interested in Bible study to seek to understand the mysteries of the truth. They do not go below the surface. Those who are living in these last days, who acknowledge the binding claims of the law of G-d, have no ordinary responsibility. They are not to be satisfied with the surface truths. That which lies plainly revealed, which costs us no effort, will not be esteemed as highly as the treasure that costs us diligent, prayerful research and investigation. Celestial truth is represented as treasure hid in a field, “which when a man hath found, he hideth, and for joy thereof goeth and selleth all that he hath, and buyeth that field,” that he may work every part of it to discover the golden ore or precious gems. The earth itself cannot reveal treasures of as great value as can the Bible. No toil can so repay the diligent worker, as can the search for truth.” Ellen White, Review & Herald 11-15-1892

Brian, you’ve heard of Isaiah 28:9-10? Apply it. Ellen White says that this is how you go about searching for truth.

Argument #10) The annual Feast Days are all related with the harvest, but not the weekly Sabbath:

1) Unleavened Bread, the barley harvest. The wave-sheaf was to be waved before Yauwah on the morrow after the Sabbath that fell within the days of Unleavened Bread Lev. 23:11

2) Pentecost was the wheat harvest Exodus 34:22

3) Feast of Trumpets & Day of Atonement when sabbatics and jubilees were to be announced, relating to the agricultural year. Leviticus 25:3, 4, 9, 10

4) Feast of Tabernacles, the big harvest also called the Feast of Ingathering Exodus 23:16

No harvest identified with the weekly Sabbath, thus the Biblical writers divided a category for Festivals, New Moons and Sabbath days (see Col. 2:17, I Chr. 23:31; II Chr. 2:4, 32:3; Neh. 10:33; Isa. 1:13, 14; Ezek. 45:17 & Hosea 2:11. Conclusion the Sabbath is not exactly on the same par as the feast days, or campmeeting schedule, therefore using the moon to calculate the Sabbath is a gross error.

Oh brother. Brian, there was no harvest tied up with Feast of Trumpets or Day of Atonement and you full well know it. So do all your readers. The ONLY Feasts that were tied to a harvest were the annual pilgrimage feasts, those listed in Exodus 23:14-17 and again in 34:18, 22. Your argument does not prove that the Sabbath is not regulated by the moon because you are not taking into consideration the verses that indicate the Sabbath’s lunar connection. You are comparing apples (the pilgrimage feasts) and oranges (a years worth of appointed times).

Please note that the weekly Sabbath is NOT an annual pilgrimage feast as it is not tied to a particular harvest. Leviticus 23 does not list only the pilgrimage feasts, it lists the all of the mo’edim, which just happen to be regulated by the moon and includes the weekly Sabbath.
This may not be my best argument, the Lunar Sabbath folks gain say it pretty good in their favor, but I’m still convinced it is the truth. [Actually, from my perspective, your previous argument was the lamest one you’ve offered.] Consider the argument carefully:

1) The “morrow after the Sabbath” is the first day of the week (Sunday). [You are adding to the Torah, Deuteronomy 4:2. The first day of the week is not called sunday in Scripture, and sunday was originally the second day of the pagan planetary week, not the first.]

2) The new-crop grain must not be eaten until the priest offers the wave-sheaf (on Sunday) Leviticus 23:14. [You are adding to the Torah, Deuteronomy 4:2. Wavesheaf is not called sunday in Scripture, it is Abib 16, and it is not possible for the Gregorian calendar to have a ‘sunday’ fall on Abib 16 every year.]

3) Joshua and the people of Israel ate of the new grain on the “morrow after the Passover”, [Did you read the verse Brian. It does not say they ate the new grain on the morrow after the Passover, it openly says they ate the old corn.] which shows that that year the Sabbath fell on the 14th day of the month, and on the 15th (the first day of the week) they did the wave-sheaf (Joshua 5:11, 12)

As it reads: “While the people of Israel were encamped in Gilgal they kept the Passover on the fourteenth day of the month at evening in the plains of Jericho. And ON THE MORROW AFTER THE PASSOVER they ATE THE PRODUCE of the land, unleavened cakes and parched grain. And the manna ceased on the morrow, when they ate the produce of the land;…” Joshua 5:10-12 RSV

RSV? When did you start using the Rotten Stinking Version? You’ve always staunchly defended the KJV, Brian. What changed? Your doctrines, your motives, your ability to defend your faith with the KJV?

“Old Corn” in the KJV is a bad translation, the Hebrew word means “produce” as in Gesenius Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon.

You have GOT to be kidding me!

And the manna ceased on the morrow after they had eaten of the old corn.

H4480 is a prepositional in this case translated OF the old corn.

H5669, הָעִבְרוֹ, ‘âbûr, aw-boor’, The same as H5668; passed, that is, kept over; used only of stored grain: - old corn.

H5668 means crossed, passed, transit, the root for the meaning in H5669 that this corn was passed its prime, kept over, stored grain, OLD CORN.

There is NOTHING wrong with the KJV translation of Awboor. The only problem is that the KJV translation contradicts your conclusion causing you to take the unprecedented step of turning to the RSV. I am absolutely stunned. Who are you and what have you done with my friend Brian?
Good ole Joshua counted to Pentecost correctly “on the morrow after the seventh Shabbat (49th day) shall you number fifty days.” Lev. 23:11, 16

Thus, we see how important it is to count to Pentecost correctly keeping the 49th “seventh Sabbath” and the 50th, Pentecost together. It saves us from “witty inventions” Prov. 8:12.

Good ol’ Joshua knew better than to count days from wavesheaf; he would have counted Sabbaths, seven of them, then counted 50 days from the morrow after the seventh Sabbath, just they were instructed in Torah. Also, Joshua 5:10-12 has nothing to do with the count to Pentecost, it references the Passover season alone. And we both know it.

I was the first to gainsay this argument over 10 years ago. And my rebuttal has not changed. You do err, Brian, not knowing the Scriptures, which is confusing considering how good of a student you are on other topics. You REALLY shouldn’t have offered this argument because my rebuttal is going to cause your readers to recognize your intentional deceit. It has to be intentional because no one is this ignorant by accident.

And the children of Israel encamped in Gilgal, and kept the passover on the fourteenth day of the month at even in the plains of Jericho.

And they did eat of the old corn of the land on the morrow after the passover, unleavened cakes, and parched corn in the selfsame day.

And the manna ceased on the morrow after they had eaten of the old corn of the land; neither had the children of Israel manna any more; but they did eat of the fruit of the land of Canaan that year. Joshua 5:10-12

Passover is the 14th. Israel ate the old corn (old barley) of the land on the morrow after Passover (Abib 15), and the manna ceased on the morrow after Israel had eaten the old corn (which is Abib 16). Israel ate the fruit of the land (produce, new barley) the day after they ate the old corn. Old corn eaten Abib 15, new corn eaten Abib 16 following the Leviticus 23:5-11 blueprint.

The actual words in the verse destroy your conclusion already Brian, but the depth of your error has not yet been plumbed. The issue is when Israel could eat the new barley which they could do only after wavesheaf. Leviticus 23:9-14 indicates this was waved on the 16th of Abib, the day after the Sabbath which is Abib 15. Interestingly, the Interlinear agrees with the KJV, they ate the old corn on the morrow after the Passover (on the 15th of Abib) not the new. It says so right in Joshua 5:11.

Joshua 5:12 clearly says the Israelites ate the new produce of the land the day after (on Abib 16) they ate the old corn on the 15th.

Watch where your word produce actually does appear:

And the manna ceased on the morrow after they had eaten of the old corn of the land; neither had the children of Israel manna any more; but they did eat of the fruit of the land of Canaan that year.

H8393, תּבוּאה, ‘teb-oo-aw’, From H935; income, that is, produce (literally or figuratively): - fruit, gain, increase, revenue.
In addition, Brian, *Joshua 1:11* tells us that three days before crossing the Jordan, Israel was commanded to prepare food. *Joshua 4:19* says they crossed over on the 10th of Abib, meaning that they were preparing victuals on the 7th of Abib. If the 14th is a Sabbath as you allege Brian, so is the 7th, see calendar model below. Apparently you would have Israel breaking the Sabbath, preparing victuals on the seventh day Sabbath.

![Calendar Model](image)

Also, a calendar model such as the one above runs afoul *Ezekiel 46:1, Amos 8:5, Isaiah 66:23* and *II Kings 4:23* as it forces the first day of the month (New Moon) to be a week day. New Moon days (in Scripture) never fall during the seven day work week. Ever.

When you start a doctrine with a cracked, crumbly foundation, whatever doctrine you build on it will collapse. So far, everything you have attempted to build on the premise (foundation) that satyrday is the Sabbath has fallen down around your ears. Shall I send in the search and rescue dogs or would you rather I let you remain trapped to die in the ruins?

I love you Brian. You are my brother and friend. Friends don’t let friends hold stupid doctrines.

Below we have your final argument. It too is built on a fatally flawed foundation; a premise that cannot be built upon because it is not possible to build a true doctrine upon a false premise. When I point it out, you could be totally embarrassed. And you should be.

**Argument #12)** “Wherefore the children of Israel shall keep the Sabbath throughout their generation, (sic) for a perpetual covenant.” *Exodus 31:16*

Perpetual means continual or on going, time out of mind, eternal, always etc. The Lunar Sabbaths do not have this stamp of truth impressed upon it. [Really, how so?] For no one ever heard of anyone keeping Lunar Sabbaths even 30 years ago, or 100 years ago, or 200 years, we could keep going if you want to. [Some scholars did not believe Sennacherib (the Assyrian king who fought with Hezekiah) existed either, until they dug up his palace in the sands of the Middle East a few decades ago, finding a document in the kings library chronicling the exact battle spelled out in *II Kings 19* and *II Chronicles 32*. Just because you don’t believe something does not mean it is false. Just because you DO believe something does not mean that it’s true. I can give you many similar events/people in Scripture that scholars and archaeologists disbelieved for centuries before they dug up the evidence in the sands of time, proving that they were all fools, and that Scripture was true (all along). Here are the facts. If the Feasts and Sabbaths (all the ordinances of the moon) have not been being observed for the past 30, 100 or 200 years, then read *Jeremiah 31:35-36... Thus saith YHVH, which giveth the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night, which divideth the sea when the waves thereof roar: YHVH of hosts is His name: If those ordinances [of the MOON] depart from before Me, saith YHVH, then the seed of Israel also shall cease from being a nation before me for ever. If the ordinances of the moon cease to be observed by at least ONE honest Israelite somewhere on earth, then YHVH is not coming back—because He is only returning for Israelites and those who have joined themselves to Israel. Don’t argue Brian, because you know it’s true.
There has been at least ONE honest Israelite somewhere on earth holding the mantle of truth until such a time as YHVH will wake some of His people out of their lethargy so He could re-teach them the truth about the weekly Sabbath. Brian, Israel had forgotten all about the Sabbath in Egypt during the 200 or so years they were actually slaves there. It seems that unless YHVH performs the same 12 plagues/miracles/judgments here and now that you are not going to accept the lunar Sabbath message he gave to Moses. That is quite the shameful legacy Brian. Are you a practicing Jesuit now or part of Yah's chosen nation of Israel?] The lunar Sabbaths have not been kept since the days of ancient Babylon, and there are even questions about that, because archaeology proof is that they observed the 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th days of the 6th month Elul and it is speculated every other month as well. The Babylonians did not observe the 8th, 15th, 22nd and 29th days. [No, they did not, but Israel did. As long as Israel was not in apostasy, they observed the calendar of Creation, including the Lunar Sabbath, which they did, contrary to your belief, until somewhere between the time of the destruction of Jerusalem in A.D. 70 when YHVH scattered the two southern tribes to the 4 quarters of the earth and A.D. 341 (or so) when Hillel II changed the Hebrew calendar. Since this time, Israel has been scattered, and during this time they have all lost their identity. When in bondage, when Israel don’t know who they were and/or had forgotten Torah there was no penalty for not continuing to walk in the Torah. And don’t argue with me Brian, because you know this is true. You were not a feast keeper all your life, and Yah winked at your ignorance while you were not keeping His appointed times, not counting it as sin.] The idea of Lunar Sabbaths is not new, it was brought up for consideration back in the 90’s, with the Babylonian version of 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th, but it was easily overthrown because Scripture made it clear that Yahushua Messiah died on Passover the 14th of the month, and Scripture stated the next day was Sabbath “and the Sabbath drew on” Luke 23:54 Which proved that the 14th was not a Sabbath. [Clearly you have not done your homework here, (again). I agree, the Lunar Sabbath is not new. It is the only Sabbath found in Scripture, and this issue was nearly discovered by the early Advent movement in 1844, WAS discovered in 1890 and was pressed to examine it until 1938-39 when an SDA committee was seated, and then again in the 1995. The committee in 1939 was disbanded without adjourning (breaking Robert’s Rules or Order) or announcing their findings or conclusions. Please click on the link provided below. http://www.sabbathofthebible.com/pdf/SDA_History_of_the_Lunar_Sabbath_in_Adventism.pdf It is clear that you do not know this history. Not only was the Lunar Sabbath doctrine NOT easily overthrown, the committees were split causing the General Conference to shut them down without coming to a vote because it was clear that satyrday would not have won unanimously which was the only vote the GC would tolerate.] Might have worked for unbelievers, but it was not tailored for believers in Messiah, so the creepsters had to slink off back to the drawing board and figure out some other way to take believers out of the covenant so that they will not have heavens protection during the seven last plagues. So they made the 8th, 15th, 22nd and 29th day of each Scriptural month a sabbath. The whole thing is written with the finger of man.

Brian, I fear for you. Turn back from the path you are on my friend.

If you extrapolate the current Gregorian calendar back into time, Passover (the full moon of the first month) would have fallen on the pagan we-day in 27 A.D. It would have been on the pagan mo-day in 28 A.D. The pagan su-day in 29 A.D. The pagan th-day in 30 A.D. The pagan mo-day in 31 A.D. The pagan su-day in 32 A.D. And the pagan th-day in 33 A.D.

It is your firm conviction that Passover was on a friday, the Sabbath on satyrday followed by a sunday resurrection, but the lunar cycle contradicts that conclusion and belief. Nature does not lie, men do.
Please understand, neither Rome nor Israel were observing the pagan planetary week in the first century. But because the lunar cycles (in this case, the full moon of the first lunar month) are easily tracked forward or backward in time, these are the results you would come to IF the modern calendar were practiced in the first century Israel. What this proves is that there were no fri-sat-sun weekend Passovers on ANY of the dates between 27 and 33 A.D. covering all the suspected years of the crucifixion. And the day after Passover in the year of the crucifixion was most certainly the weekly Sabbath, see Mark 15:42, Luke 23:54-56, John 19:31.

The Adventist denomination ADMITS that satyrday is not the Sabbath, and they have KNOWN this since 1843. This is not a “conspiracy theory”. In fact William Miller himself originally believed that “Jesus” was crucified in A.D. 33 instead of A.D. 31 because he was not able to harmonize sunday (his Sabbath) of the Julian/Gregorian Calendar to the resurrection. Miller and others could have determined (had they understood Israel’s calendar) that the full moon for Passover in A.D. 31 fell on what we would now call monday or tuesday, not on friday, thus making it impossible for Yahushua to have rested in the tomb on a satyrday, followed by a sunday resurrection. The SDA committee in 1939 DID discover that there was no Friday crucifixion in A.D. 31. which led to this committee being shut down by the hierarchy.

Forty years later in 1883, the problem was still unresolved. In that year, the first Adventist Yearbook was published. It included calendar information for all of 1883 – including dates and times for astronomical new moon, first quarter, full moon and last quarter phases of the moon. On page 66 of the online 1883 Yearbook, appeared the following statement beneath the calendar for July:

“These who disbelieve in the seventh-day Sabbath bring against it numerous objections, all of which are readily answered with the exception of one,
–THE CROSS. This is the great, the unanswerable objection to the Bible Sabbath.” (Capitalization in original)

Please see photo copy of this quote and the page on which it was printed on the next page.

So from the early 1840’s to 1883, early Adventists were UNABLE to prove that satyrday is the Sabbath. There is a reason for this. Satyrday is not the Sabbath. The Sabbath is the seventh day of the Hebrew week, not the pagan planetary week. It got worse.

The SDA church learned for certain that Israel observed a lunar/solar calendar back in the late 1930’s. At that time a committee was formed to “prove” a fri-sat-sun crucifixion weekend, but found that when they counted backward by 7 to 31 A.D., Passover did not fall on friday, the same thing they discovered in 1843. Perplexed, the committee wrote extensively to leading scholars around the world trying to figure this out, but they were told by every one of them that what they had found was correct, that Israel had a lunar/solar calendar, and that Passover in 31 A.D. would not have fallen no a friday if you count backward by seven. While trying to figure out what was going on, WWII broke out and the committee was disbanded and never reconvened. None other than M. L. Andreasen stopped all further investigation by saying that a lunar calendar would be too confusing for the common man to grasp. You can find all of this information on this website: www.4angelspublications.com
Sister White said in 1896 that the Father could have returned before that time IF the Jones and Waggoner’s 1888 message (a return to statute observance) had been accepted. The feasts are only observed on a lunar calendar. This would have eventually proved the Sabbath by the moon and Israel’s lunar/solar calendar as the committee in 1938-1939 discovered.
Grace Amadon sat on that committee (above) and chronicled the findings of the same. The committee found that they could not count backward by 7 to the crucifixion, and discovered that Israel employed a lunar/solar calendar, but did NOTHING with this information (well, Miss Amadon tried). In fact, it was swept under the rug by ONE man: M. L. Andreasen. So much for taking it before a multitude of counselors. From what we can now determine, Miss Amadon was the only one who made the effort to get to the bottom of this issue, even after the committee had been dissolved. Here is what she had to say:

“There can be no substitute for the governing principles of luni-solar time . . . These laws were established by the Creator from the very origin of time, and they will last throughout eternity. If they seem useless and impractical to us, it is because we do not understand them.” Grace Amadon

What the battle of Jericho does in the Old Testament, the crucifixion does in the New. Both prove that satyrday is NOT the Sabbath. You cannot have a seven consecutive day battle without encountering a “satyrday” Sabbath (assuming that was the calendar Israel was using—they weren’t). And there are only three dates that scholars agree MIGHT have been the year of the crucifixion: 30, 31 and 33 A.D. And in NONE of these years was there a fri/sat/sun sequence for Passover (Crucifixion day), the first day of Unleavened Bread (which is also the weekly Sabbath), and the day of wavesheaf (resurrection day). As long as SDA’s insist that 31 A.D. was the crucifixion year, they will be unable to prove that satryday is the Sabbath. So, which will it be: prophecy or the Sabbath? SDA’s have one of these (and perhaps both) wrong. Nature (the position of the moon in 31 A.D.) proves the SDA Sabbath wrong, and without a 31 A.D. crucifixion, SDA prophecy crumbles. Please examine the magnitude of this issue at this link: http://www.creationcalendar.com/CalendarIssue/26-SDAOctober22-1844.pdf.

However the continuous repeating seven day cycle does have this stamp of “perpetual” from the beginning of time with Yahuwah to Adam, Methuselah, Noah, Shem, Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, on down to Moses, Joshua, Samuel, David, Ezra, Nehemiah, Judah Maccabee, Joseph and Mary, Yahushua Himself; His apostles, their followers, Ethiopians, Celts, Patrick, the Waldenses, Choctaw & Florida Indians, Cherokee nation, Seventh-day Baptists, Seventh-day Adventists, World Wide Church of God, Assembly of Yahweh and many more to numerous to mention. [The pagan-papal calendar with its repeating 7 day cycle has the stamp of perpetual covenant? You’ve got to be kidding me! This is based upon nothing more than your false sense of security in the tradition of your fathers. The repeating 7 day week is only 2600 years old! It was created by pagans and adopted by Rome in A.D. 321. All ancient nations, including Israel, prior to 800-700 B.C. had the SAME lunar solar calendar that consisted of 12 thirty day months for a 360 day year. The weeks and months were regulated by the moon. Every one of the men listed above who lived before the 4th century A.D. observed the Lunar Sabbath as proven in the pages above. All of the believers had after that (from the Ethiopians to the Assemblies of Yahweh) was the calendar of Hillel II, which was a bastardized version of the Hebrew calendar wed to the Roman calendar with its repeating 7 day planetary week. All of these great men observed the 7th day of the planetary week because that was the only calendar they had. These men were Israelites or had joined themselves to Israel by their Torah observance (to the best of their knowledge). These men had forgotten the True Sabbath of Yah because of this judgment: And He hath violently taken away His tabernacle, as if it were of a garden: He hath destroyed His places of the assembly: YHVH hath caused the solemn feasts and sabbaths to be forgotten in Zion, and hath despised in the indignation of His anger the king and the priest. Lamentations 2:6. When Yah causes us to forget, it is forgotten until He chooses to restore that knowledge to His people.
This is what happened at the end of Israel’s Egyptian captivity. When in bondage and knowledge is withheld or forgotten, there’s no judgment. These men from Ethiopia to the Assemblies of Yahweh were (and are) in bondage to Rome. The papacy ruled supreme and many of these mighty men suffered the greatest indignities known to man. Ethiopia alone may have escaped the wrath of the papacy, but they were not spared the world wide use of the Roman calendar. Yah winked at the ignorance of these great men, as He has winked at ours, but that should not give you the false sense of security that you presently have in your current conclusion. See quotes below.] Josephus stated: “There is not any city of the Grecians, nor of the Barbarians, nor any nation whatsoever, whither our custom of resting on the seventh day hath not come!” M’Clatchie, Notes and Queries on China and Japan (edited by Dennys) Vol. 4 Nos 7, 8 p. 100 With such a great cloud of witnesses, its (sic) hard imagine (sic) that anyone could doubt the perpetuity of the seventh-day Sabbath. Oh by the way it will also be kept in Yahuwah’s house during the thousand years and after the thousand years in Yahuwah’s house it will be kept by His followers in the Promise (sic) Land or New Earth.

“For His church in every generation God has a special truth and a special work. The truth is revealed to the childlike and humble. At the outset its advocates are few. By great men of the world and by a world conforming church, they are opposed and despised. See John the Baptist, standing alone to rebuke the pride and formalism of the Jewish nation. See Martin Luther withstanding that mighty church. As in earlier ages, the special truths for this time are found, not with the ecclesiastical authorities, but with men and women who are not too learned or too wise to believe the Word of God.” Christ’s Object Lessons, 78, 79

“We have many lessons to learn, and many, many to unlearn. God and heaven alone are infallible. Those who think that they will never have to give up a cherished view, never have occasion to change an opinion, will be disappointed. As long as we hold to our own ideas and opinions with determined persistency, we cannot have the unity for which Christ prayed.” Review & Herald, 7/26/1892

“It is the first and highest duty of every rational being to learn from the Scriptures what is truth, and then to walk in the light, and encourage others to follow his example. We should day by day study the Bible diligently, weighing every thought, and comparing scripture with scripture. With divine help, we are to form our opinions for ourselves, as we are to answer for ourselves before God.” The Great Controversy, p. 598

Many Gems Yet to Be Discovered.--New light will ever be revealed in the word of God to him who is in living connection with the Sun of Righteousness. Let no one come to the conclusion that there is no more truth to be revealed. The diligent, prayerful seeker for truth will find precious rays of light yet to shine forth from the word of God. Many gems are yet scattered that are to be gathered together to become the property of the remnant people of God. Councils to Writers and Editors, p.35

“We do not occupy the position which they occupied in the unfolding of truth. In order to be accepted and honored as they were, we must improve the light which shines upon us, as they improved that which shone upon them; we must do as they would have done, had they lived in our day. Luther and the Wesleys were reformers in their time. It is our duty to continue the work of reform. If we neglect to heed the light, it will become darkness; and the degree of darkness will be proportionate to the light rejected.” Spirit of Prophecy, Vol. 4, p. 186
“Precious light is to shine forth from the word of God, and let no one presume to dictate what shall or what shall not be brought before the people in the messages of enlightenment that He shall send, and so quench the Spirit of God. Whatever may be his position of authority, no one has a right to shut away the light from the people. When a message comes in the name of the Lord [His Name is YHVH] to His people, no one may excuse himself from an investigation of its claims. No one can afford to stand back in an attitude of indifference and self-confidence and say: ‘I know what is truth. I am satisfied with my position. I have set my stakes, and I will not be moved away from my position, whatever may come. I will not listen to the message of this messenger for I know that it cannot be truth.’ It was from pursuing this very course that the popular churches were left in partial darkness, and that is why the messages of heaven have not reached them.” *Testimonies On Sabbath School Work, p. 65*

“There is no excuse for anyone in taking a position that there is no more truth to be revealed, and that all our [SDA] expositions of Scripture are without error. The fact that certain doctrines have been held as truth for many years by our people, is not proof that our ideas are infallible. Age will not make error into truth, and truth can afford to be fair. No true doctrine will lose anything by close investigation.” *Review & Herald, 12-20-1892*

“In every age there is a new development of truth, a message of God to the people of that generation. The old truths are all essential; new truth is not independent of the old, but an unfolding of it. It is only as the old truths are understood that we can comprehend the new. When Christ desired to open to His disciples the truth of His resurrection, He began ‘at Moses and all the prophets’ and ‘expounded unto them in all the scriptures the things concerning Himself.’ Luke 24:27. But it is the light which shines in the fresh unfolding of truth that glorifies the old. He who rejects or neglects the new **does not really possess the old**. For him it loses its vital power and becomes but a lifeless form.” *Christ’s Object Lessons, pp. 127-128*

“There are many at the present day clinging to the customs and traditions of their fathers. When the Lord sends them additional light, they refuse to accept it, because, not having been granted to their fathers, it was not received by them. We are not placed where our fathers were; consequently our duties and responsibilities are not the same as theirs. We shall not be approved of God in looking to the example of our fathers to determine our duty instead of searching the Word of Truth for ourselves. Our responsibility is greater than was that of our ancestors. We are accountable for the light which was handed down as an inheritance for us, and **we are accountable also for the additional light which is now shining upon us from the word of God.**” *The Great Controversy p. 164*

“...All the light does not burst upon us at once, but it comes as we can bear it.” *Testimonies Volume 4 p. 446*

“There are new truths to be revealed to the humble seeker. The teachings of God's word are to be freed from the errors and superstition with which they have been encumbered. Doctrines that are not sanctioned by the Scriptures have been widely taught, and many have honestly accepted them; but when the truth is revealed, it becomes the duty of every one to accept it. Those who allow worldly interests, desire for popularity, or pride of opinion, to separate them from the truth, **must render an account to God for their neglect.**” *Spirit of Prophecy, Vol. 4, p. 186*

May none of these judgments count against you in the Judgment. Follow the cloud Brian.
“For as the new heavens and the new earth, which I will make, shall remain before Me, saith Yahuwah, so shall your seed and your name remain. And it shall come to pass, that from one new moon to another, and from one Sabbath to another, shall all flesh come to worship before Me, saith Yahuwah.” Isaiah 66:22, 23

Feel free to share this information and help “Proclaim the Sabbath more fully”!
“Proclaim Liberty” Leviticus 25:10

Sabbath more fully, huh? Here is this information again…

“I saw that G-d had children who do not see and keep the Sabbath. They have not rejected the light upon it. And at the commencement of the time of trouble, we were filled with the H-ly Ghost as we went forth and proclaimed the Sabbath more fully. This enraged the churches and nominal Adventists, as they could not refute the Sabbath truth. And at this time G-d’s chosen all saw clearly that we had the truth, and they came out and endured the persecution with us. I saw the sword, famine, pestilence, and great confusion in the land. The wicked thought that we had brought the judgments upon them, and they rose up and took counsel to rid the earth of us, thinking that then the evil would be stayed.” Early Writings, p. 33

Adventists, even nominal ones (which means, in name only) have already accepted the Sabbath. Look at the context of this passage. This “Sabbath more fully” message is enabled by the power of the Spirit of YHVH. Adventists cannot refute it from Scripture. The Father’s chosen ones ALL saw that the ones with the “Sabbath more fully” message had the TRUTH, and they came OUT of Adventism and the other “churches” and endured persecution with them!

What new Sabbath message could possibly incite rage among Adventists? The last final conflict is not going to be about Sabbath vs. sunday, it is going to be about the True Sabbath vs. satyrday. Remember, a counterfeit has to look a great deal like the original. Sunday, the first day of the week, looks NOTHING like the seventh-day Sabbath. But a spurious Sabbath, the seventh day of a counterfeit calendar, could generate sufficient hostilities. It’s here. Now is the time!

Last chapter…

Come Out of Babylon

Yes Brian, this is good advice, and applies to you as well.

The best historical evidence that lunar weeks were observed, was in Babylon. Wake up! [If it were not so rude, I would tell you to sit down and shut up. Why don’t you read this link: http://www.creationcalendar.com/CalendarIssue/PhiloJosephusEssenes.pdf How many pages of historical evidence do you need? I have more. You did not do any research before you so boldly proclaimed this drivel, and yet you think that your position is based on solid rock, the straight truth. Your statement above is not true and your admonition for Lunar Sabbatarians to wake up is preposterous. You wake up Brian.] Who cares if the magicians, and the astrologers, and the sorcerers, and the Chaldeans, and the soothsayers observed lunar weeks? And it could be true that Israelites like Manasseh who “observed times (not feast days), and used enchantments, and used witchcraft, and dealt with a familiar spirit, and with wizards: he wrought much evil in the sight of Yahuwah to provoke Him to anger.” II Chr. 33:6, might have observed lunar weeks.
Quite right. Who cares whether Babylonians or any other pagans observed lunar weeks or Sabbaths. If heathens are found obeying YHVH, good for them. The question at hand is whether Almighty Yah required lunar Sabbath observance from Israel and whether they did or did not obey. If YHVH created a lunar-solar calendar (and Lunar regulated Sabbath) Israel’s Lunar Sabbath keeping is not affected one whit by heathens were doing the same thing. And this could have been done along (sic – a long) time back in the history of Israelite apostasy. But that does not make it the truth. Modern day Jewish encyclopedias might make it look valid and ancient. But that still does not make it the truth. Paul said we wrestle against these creepsters in high places who promote these false theories.

You are holding up satyrday as the Sabbath standard when you have yet to prove that it is the Sabbath of Scripture. You make bold and vain claims against the Lunar Sabbath when you have not done any research. Then you make ridiculous comments about apostasy, encyclopedias and creepsters all in an effort to mislead your readers by raising fear and doubt. This is a sad day Brian. This is what nay-sayers stoop to, not men of your stature.

Elohim’s Word says clearly: “Babylon the great is fallen, is fallen and is become the habitation of devils, and the hold of every foul spirit, and a cage for every unclean and hateful bird. For all nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication . . . Come out of her My people, that ye be not partakers of her sins (breaking the commandments), and that ye receive not of her plagues.” Revelation 18:2, 4 (sic, quoted part of verse 3 also.)

The Lunar Sabbath theory is “not subject to the law of Elohim, neither indeed can be.” Romans 8:7 [You be very careful Brian. This is a lie, you are speaking falsely. The Lunar Sabbath is not only subject to the law of Elohim; it is a commanded part of it, being established in Genesis 1:14. One way to lose the respect of your friends is to lie to them. One way for a man to show his love for his friend is to point out error as true love cannot tolerate false doctrine. You, my friend, are grossly in error.] Paul told Timothy: “In meekness instructing those that oppose themselves; if Elohim peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth, II Tim. 2:25, in other words, if we wish to show ourselves repentant towards the Creator, this can only be done by acknowledging the truth. Which means being subject to what Scripture says. “The seventh day is the Sabbath.” Ex. 20:10

Yes, and Scripture also says that the seventh day Sabbath is regulated by the moon.

I believe the reason folks pipe into the “lying wonders” of the Lunar Sabbaths is because the “receive not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause Elohim shall send them strong delusion, that they might believe a lie: That they might be damned who believe not the truth, but had” their own “pleasure on My holy day” II Thess. 2:9-12 & Isa. 58:13. “Remember the Sabbath day to keep it Set-apart.” Exodus 20:8

Indeed, a very sad day.

You want us to accept satyrday as the Sabbath without a shred of historical or Scriptural evidence (obviously there is no evidence in nature as the pagan planetary week does not involve any cycles of the sun or moon). We have to accept a day as the Sabbath (without proof) and if we don’t, we have not received the love of the truth that we might be saved, and for this cause YHVH will send us strong delusion?
It would seem to me that keeping the Sabbath on a pagan/papal calendar is the strong delusion. Wasn’t it the papacy that was to think to change times and laws (Daniel 7:25) and later it is said about this beast power that all the world will wonder (wander) after the beast (Revelation 13:3)?

You need to look in the mirror Brian. Men who live in glass houses should not throw stones. Lunar Sabbath keepers ARE remembering the Sabbath day that was commanded and established in Genesis 2:3 and 1:14. Children of YHVH do not worship using a pagan calendar because they were told not to do as the heathens do (Jeremiah 10:2).

Summary Review

Argument #1 The moon does not rule the “day”, therefore does not rule the seventh day. (Gen. 1:16 & Ps. 136:9)

In these verses, the only thing on the table is what light rules the day and what light rules the night. The weeks, months and years are not mentioned, so these verses are strictly limited to establishing which light rules during the day and during the night. I agree, the sun rules the day and the moon and stars rule the night, and I’m shocked that you did not try to point out that the moon can’t possibly rule the night because it seen during the day during half of the lunar cycle.

Sure, this would contradict what Scripture says, but that has not stopped you from making many other statements that contradict Scripture. Why the restraint here?

Argument #2 The Sabbath is not a festive season, therefore Ps. 104:19 refers to the moon governing only the annual feasts, not the weekly feast.

Psalm 104:19 says that the moon regulates the mo’edim (not festive seasons). Leviticus 23:1-3 calls the weekly Sabbath a mo’ed twice, offering a second witness in the same passage. I’m sorry Brian, but this means that the Sabbath is regulated by the moon. Genesis 1:14 is the icing on the cake, stating that either the sun or moon would regulate signs (owth), seasons (mo’edim), days and years. These are instructions that even a child can understand.

We know for a fact that the Sabbath is a sign (owth), Exodus 31:13, Ezekiel 20:12 and 20:20. And Genesis 1:14 demands that the signs of YHVH would be regulated by either the greater or lesser light. Can you go outside, look at the sun and tell whether it is either saturday or the Sabbath? No. Because you are looking on the wrong light. Try the other one.

Argument #3 The seventh day is a memorial of creation, to “remember”, therefore a continual uninterrupted seven day cycle is meant, this rules out going 8 or 9 days until the next Sabbath.

I can’t bite my tongue hard enough.

Indeed, Exodus 20:8-11 says that the Sabbath is a memorial of the seventh day of Creation. And Deuteronomy 5:12-15 says that the Sabbath is ALSO a memorial of the day Israel was released from Egypt. That day was Abib 15, and it is the weekly Sabbath EVERY month. You do err, not knowing the Scriptures.
The command in **Exodus 20** cannot contradict the command in **Deuteronomy 5**, or vice versa, and it does not on the Lunar Calendar. The same cannot be said for the pagan/papal week of the Gregorian calendar. Also, there is nothing in **Exodus 20** that sustains the erroneous traditional belief that “a continual uninterrupted seven day cycle is meant”. All it says is that the Sabbath is the seventh day of the week, and that is the ONLY thing that can be concluded by this verse.

Again, it is not my fault that the New Moon days (either 1-2 each month) interrupt the weekly cycles from one month to the next. If you have a complaint, take it up with the inspiration behind **Ezekiel 46:1, Amos 8:5, Isaiah 66:23 and II Kings 4:23**. It won’t get you anywhere, because your traditions don’t mean squat to the Almighty. It would be a whole lot easier and permit you to save a little dignity if you would just admit the truth.

**The truth is:** You lied about the lunar Sabbath to your readers.

**The truth is:** The weekly Sabbath in Scripture is regulated by the moon.

**Argument #4** The Moon was created on the 4th day of creation week, the week was in progress without the moon, therefore the moon does not govern the week.

A common oversight, considering that the truth has been held hostage by the traditions of men. The moon was created at the same time as the sun. The sun rules the day. **Genesis 1:3-5** says that the light that was created (lit) on the first day of Creation week was called DAY. There cannot be, nor has there ever been a DAY (so called) on planet earth since the first day of Creation week that was not regulated by the sun. There are three named DAYS before the 4th day of Creation week.

**The truth is:** The sun and moon were created from scratch in **Genesis 1:1-2**, and the sun was lit in **Genesis 1:3-5**, illuminating the moon in the process. The sun and moon were merely given their job descriptions on the 4th day of Creation.

**The truth is:** If the moon was NOT created in **Genesis 1:1-2**, it would NOT be in the right place to later regulate the annual feasts which are each part of a lunar month.

**Newsflash!** The first week of Creation was part of the first lunar month of earth’s history. Passover and Unleavened Bread are in the first month. If the moon was not created in **Genesis 1:1-2**, then in order for the full moon to announce the first day of Unleavened Bread on the 15th day of Abib, the first Hebrew month, YHVH would have had to “create” a 4 day old moon in **Genesis 1:14-17**, meaning that the weekly Sabbath would STILL be regulated by the moon.

**Argument #5** Pentecost counts to 50 days after seven Sabbaths, lunar folks count to 50 days before they can get seven Sabbaths.

That’s right, Pentecost is a 50 day count after the seventh Sabbath. Lunar folks don’t count days from wavesheaf because we were told to count Sabbaths complete (meaning compete weeks). When we get to the seventh Sabbath, the number we have come to is 7, not 49. We were not told to count days from wavesheaf.

**The truth is:** If we HAD been told to number days from wavesheaf, then the 50th day would be 2-4 days before the seventh Sabbath (as you have accused).
The truth is: We were told to number 50 days from the morrow AFTER the seventh Sabbath.

The truth is: The wheat harvest in ancient Israel and the Middle East was a summer harvest, using spring/summer wheat, not winter wheat which is used for the Catholic Pentecost. You, Brian, keep Pentecost in the spring in timing with the papacy. I must question your association with the Jesuits.

Argument #6 The 4th commandment states you can work “six days”, then rest Sabbath, (sic) lunar folks could work 7 or 8 days, not Scriptural.

New Moon days are not days of the work week. However, there was clearly non-commerce work performed during New Moon days in Scripture. You can interpret this any way you like.

The truth is: New Moon days are days of the month, not days of any week.

The truth is: The month is neither inferred nor implied in the fourth commandment, only the week. Therefore to imply that Lunar Sabbatarians can not possibly observe the weekly Sabbath because they also recognize the New Moon’s status as a third category or day is without merit.

Argument #7 The 4th commandment never mentions the 8th, 15th, 22nd 29th days of the month are Sabbaths to keep holy. Lunar Sabbaths have a complete lack of Biblical instruction.

If this were true, then why are the only Sabbaths that can be date identified in Scripture always on the 8th, 15th, 22nd and 29th days of their respective months?


The truth is: You do err, Brian, not knowing the Scriptures.

Argument #8 Exodus 16:1 shows the 15th day of the month was not a lunar Sabbath, because the cloudy pillar ignored that day as a Sabbath and caused Israel to carry their burdens that day instead of resting.

What burdens? The Israelites were slaves of the worst sort. Just how many possessions do you think they had? They were not carrying their few flocks. They had the clothes on their back, the women carried their unleavened bread in their skirts (Exodus 12:34) and the children were the pack mules that carried out all of the Egyptian silver and gold (Exodus 3:22).

The truth is: Being released from bondage is NOT a burden. This was not work, it was a victory parade.

The truth is: If it was a sin for Israel to leave Egypt on the Sabbath, being released from bondage, it was a sin for the paralytic Yahushua healed on the Sabbath to carry home his bed (mattress in Greek).

The truth is: It is NOT a sin to be released from bondage on the Sabbath.

Argument #9 The weekly Sabbath is not given a lunar date as the other festivals in Lev. 23.
That does not mean it is not regulated by the moon. Pentecost is not given a specific lunar date either, yet it lands on a specific lunar date every year. The weekly Sabbath would require 48 lunar dates to be given in Leviticus 23 if YHVH could be forced into your box and forced to give lunar dates for each Sabbath.

The truth is: You don’t have a box big enough or strong enough to put Yah in.

Argument #10  No agricultural harvest is connected to the Sabbath day as the annual festivals, again differentiating the Sabbath from the other festivals that use the moon.

Agreed, there is an annual harvest linked to each of the annual feasts, but a particular harvest is not the reason these festivals and the weekly Sabbath are listed together.

The truth is: The weekly Sabbath is listed along with the annual feasts in Leviticus 23, so YHVH makes no such differentiation.

The truth is: The annual festivals and the weekly Sabbaths are all regulated by the moon, which is why they are all listed in Leviticus 23 and all (including the weekly Sabbath) are called mo’edim, the calendar segment Scripture says is regulated by the moon.

Argument #11  Joshua 5:11, 12 shows the 14th day of the month was a Sabbath, not the 15th as today’s lunar Sabbath doctrine teaches.

The truth is: You do err, not knowing the Scriptures.

And the children of Israel encamped in Gilgal, and kept the passover on the fourteenth day of the month at even in the plains of Jericho.
And they did eat of the old corn of the land on the morrow after the passover, unleavened cakes, and parched corn in the selfsame day.
And the manna ceased on the morrow after they had eaten of the old corn of the land; neither had the children of Israel manna any more; but they did eat of the fruit of the land of Canaan that year. Joshua 5:10-12

Passover is the 14th day of Abib, Israel ate the old corn (old barley) of the land on the morrow after (Abib 15) the Passover, and the manna ceased on the morrow after (Abib 16) Israel had eaten the old corn, Israel ate the fruit (produce, new barley) the day after they ate the old corn. Old corn eaten on Abib 15, new corn eaten on Abib 16. JUST like it says in Leviticus 23:5-11.

Joshua 5:12 clearly says the Israelites ate the new produce of the land the day after (on Abib 16) they ate the old corn on the 15th.

The truth is: Abib 15 is the only named Sabbath in Leviticus 23:5-11.

Argument #12  Lunar Sabbaths are not “perpetual” like the repeating seventh day Sabbath (Ex 31:16), no record of anyone keeping them since ancient Babylon.

What, the Sabbath always being the 8th, 15th, 22nd and 29th days of every month is not perpetual enough for you?
The truth is: You have no proof that the weekly Sabbath is on an unbroken repeating seven day cycle. And you’ve offered no proof because you have no proof.

The truth is: Philo, the Essenes, Josephus, Clement of Alexandria, et al, not to mention modern scholars such as Hutton Webster and Clark Nelson, as well as those associated with Scribner’s Dictionary of the Bible, Universal Jewish Encyclopedia and Encyclopedia Biblica, et al, all point to the same thing. That you do err, knowing about as much about the historical record of ancient Israel’s Lunar Sabbath keeping as you do the Scriptures that commanded them to do so in the first place.

The truth is: *He that answereth a matter before he heareth it, it is folly and shame unto him.*

Proverbs 18:13.

Brian, the only reason it has come to this is because of the above proverb. If you had not opened your mouth before paying due diligence to the evidence, you would not have painted yourself into a corner. The ONLY reason you continue to speak against the Lunar Sabbath is because you are not willing to admit you are wrong, and that you did not examine the evidence before you came out against it. In addition to this, you are also not willing to jeopardize the donations your ministry receives and the financial graces bestowed upon your family by your wife’s staunch SDA relatives.

You know what? The truth is not a beauty pageant. It is not up for a democratic vote, and it is not suspended just for you.

“If these persons do not humble their hearts before G-d, if they harbor the suggestions of Satan, doubt and infidelity will take possession of the soul, and they will see everything in a false light. Let the seeds of doubt once be sown in their hearts and they will have an abundant harvest to reap. They will come to mistrust and disbelieve truths which are plain and full of beauty to others who have not educated themselves in unbelief. Those who train the mind to seize upon everything which they can use as a peg to hang a doubt upon, and suggest these thoughts to other minds, will always find occasion to doubt. They will question and criticize everything that arises in the unfolding of truth, criticize the work and position of others, criticize every branch of the work in which they have not themselves a part.” Testimonies for the Church, Vol. 5, p. 689

“G-d gives light to guide those who honestly desire light and truth; but it is not His purpose to remove all cause for questioning and doubt. He gives sufficient evidence to found faith upon, and then requires men to accept that evidence and exercise faith.” Testimonies for the Church, Vol. 5, p. 303.

“Our brethren should be willing to investigate in a candid way every point of controversy. If a brother is teaching error, those who are in responsible positions ought to know it; and if he is teaching truth, they ought to take their stand at his side. We should all know what is being taught among us; for if it is truth, we need it. We are all under obligation to G-d to know what He sends us. He has given directions by which we may test every doctrine,--‘To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them.’ [ISA. 8:20.] If the light presented meets this test, we are not to refuse to accept it because it does not agree with our ideas.” Gospel Workers, p. 300.
“The momentous issues at stake through neglect of the Word of G-d should be carefully considered. The study of the Bible is worthy of the best mental effort, the most sanctified ability. When new light is presented to the church, it is perilous to shut yourselves away from it. Refusing to hear because you are prejudiced against the message or the messenger will not make your case excusable before G-d. To condemn that which you have not heard and do not understand will not exalt your wisdom in the eyes of those who are candid in their investigations of truth. And to speak with contempt of those whom G-d has sent with a message of truth, is folly and madness. If our youth are seeking to educate themselves to be workers in His cause, they should learn the way of the L-rd, and live by every word that proceedeth out of His mouth. They are not to make up their minds that the whole truth has been unfolded, and that the Infinite One has no more light for His people. If they entrench themselves in the belief that the whole truth has been revealed, they will be in danger of discarding precious jewels of truth that shall be discovered as men turn their attention to the searching of the rich mine of G-d’s Word.” Testimonies to Sabbath School Workers, p. 60

The four quotations above just sawed you off at the knees and points out your sin. And I can say this to you with all sincerity and without prejudice or malice because we are equals, and I am your brother.

Oh yeah. Don’t forget that I love you. I’ve never stopped even though the light of heaven passed you by over 10 years ago leaving you to defend your faith with little more than your tradition. Your diatribe above and my rebuttal prove that you are fighting against the only Sabbath that heaven has ever sanctified on earth. The Lunar Sabbath.

Respectfully submitted,

Troy Miller
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